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OBJECTIVE — Light-to-moderate alcohol consumption has been associated with reduced cardiovascular disease (CVD) mortality, which may be explained by increased insulin sensitivity (S_I) and an improved lipoprotein and blood pressure profile. Prior research has shown improved \( S_I \) with light-to-moderate alcohol intake even though somewhat imprecise measures of \( S_I \) were used.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Relationships between alcohol use and \( S_I \) and CVD risk factors were assessed in a cross-sectional analysis of 1,196 white, African-American, and Hispanic men and women from the Insulin Resistance and Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS). Five categories of previous-year alcohol use (never, <0.5 drinks/day, 0.5–0.99 drinks/day, 1–2.99 drinks/day, and ≥3 drinks/day) and \( S_I + 1 \) (frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test with Bergman minimal model analysis), log fasting insulin, log triglycerides, HDL cholesterol, and systolic/diastolic blood pressure were examined using analysis of variance.

RESULTS — Univariate analysis showed an inverse U-shaped relationship between \( S_I \) and alcohol intake, with a peak at the 0.5–0.99 drinks/day category. A U-shaped relationship was observed between fasting insulin and the lipid and blood pressure measures. After adjustment for demographic (clinic, sex, ethnicity, age), lifestyle (smoking, dietary energy/fat intake, physical activity), and physical (BMI, waist circumference) variables, the alcohol/insulin association was attenuated, but the association with lipids and blood pressure remained for high-intake categories.

CONCLUSIONS — These data suggest that the enhanced \( S_I \) associated with light-to-moderate alcohol consumption may be a function solely of a BMI and central adiposity profile more favorable to higher \( S_I \).

A large body of cross-sectional and cohort data suggests that light-to-moderate consumption of alcoholic beverages (1–2 alcoholic drinks/day) is associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity and mortality compared with abstainers and heavy consumers (1–16). This U- or J-shaped relationship might be accounted for by nutritional components of alcoholic beverages or through alcohol's mediating effect of CVD risk factors, such as insulin sensitivity (\( S_I \)) (7,17–20), lipids (20, 21), clotting factors (22,23), blood pressure (24,25), or some combination of these factors.

Previous research has shown that light-to-moderate alcohol consumption is associated with an insulin profile favoring reduced CVD risk compared with nondrinkers and heavy drinkers (17-20,26,27). Prospective population-based data have shown an inverse association between light-to-moderate alcohol consumption and the development of type 2 diabetes (28–31). Three other prospective population-based studies show a positive association between alcohol intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in men but not in women (32–34). Despite these findings, there are some gaps in this current body of knowledge. A wide array of measures has been used to estimate \( S_I \), such as fasting insulin only (19,20,27), or fasting or 2-h postload insulin and glucose to calculate a surrogate measure of \( S_I \) (17,18), or fasting and 1-, 2-, and 3-h postchallenge plasma insulin and glucose concentrations and a modified insulin suppression test (26), limiting to some degree the quality of this outcome measure.

Measurement of self-reported alcohol consumption can also prove to be problematic. Alcohol intake assessed in epidemiologic studies is typically derived from a food item listed on a food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) and less frequently by a questionnaire designed specifically for alcohol intake. Issues that need to be addressed to adequately assess alcohol intake include patterns of alcohol use, types of beverages consumed, and history of alcohol use.

Other gaps in our understanding of the relationship between alcohol consumption and \( S_I \) include the influence of race/ethnicity, as well as diabetes status, on this association. This study aims to illustrate the relation between alcohol consumption and \( S_I \) in an ethnically diverse population using a sensitive measure of \( S_I \) and a rigorous standardized method of assessing alcohol intake. Relations between alcohol consumption and other CVD risk factors (lipids...
and lipoproteins, body composition, and blood pressure) were also examined.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Study population**

The design and rationale of the Insulin Resistance and Atherosclerosis Study (IRAS) have been described in detail elsewhere (35). Briefly, the IRAS is a large epidemiological study designed to assess the association between \( S_I \) and measures of atherosclerosis and prevalent CVD. Participants in the IRAS were recruited from four sites: Los Angeles and Oakland, CA; San Antonio, TX; and the San Luis Valley, CO. The goal of the sampling strategy was to obtain equal numbers of participants according to sex, ethnicity, and glucose tolerance status (normal, impaired glucose tolerance, type 2 diabetes).

Recruitment of white and non-Hispanic African-American subjects in California occurred through Kaiser Permanente, a non-profit health maintenance organization. Recruitment of Hispanic subjects occurred primarily in the San Antonio and San Luis Valley clinics as part of two ongoing population-based studies (the San Antonio Heart Study [36] and the San Luis Valley Diabetes Study [37]). From the 3,416 potential participants contacted, 1,625 agreed to participate (48% recruitment rate).

The IRAS examinations involved two clinic visits at respective sites ~1 week apart. For the present secondary data analyses, variables assessed during clinic visits by IRAS participants were used. Variables were measured using the following standardized procedures.

**Study outcome variables**

\( S_I \) was assessed using an insulin-enhanced frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test (FSIGT) (12 samples) (38) with minimal model analysis (39). FSIGTs were performed with an insulin (0.03 U/kg) and glucose (0.3 g/kg body wt) injection at 0 and 20 min, respectively. Insulin was used instead of tolbutamide to ensure accurate computation of \( S_I \) across ranges of glucose tolerance. Blood samples were collected at 0, 2, 4, 8, 19, 22, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100, and 180 min for determination of glucose and insulin levels. Blood levels of insulin and glucose were assessed in a central laboratory (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA); plasma glucose was measured using the glucose oxidase technique on an automated autoanalyzer (YSI, Yellow Springs, OH); and insulin was assessed by radioimmunoassay. These values were used to estimate the parameters of the minimal model, using the MINIMOD mathematical modeling method (39). This method of assessing \( S_I \) correlates well with the more difficult "gold standard" method—namely the euglycemic clamp (40).

Fasting lipids and lipoproteins were assessed by the quantification procedure in the laboratory of MedStar Research Institute (B.V. Howard, Washington, DC). Blood pressure was measured with a mercury sphygmomanometer with the subject seated for 5 min. The average of the second and third of three measurements was used.

**Alcohol consumption**

Current usual intake of alcohol over the previous month was assessed using a 10-item questionnaire, and alcohol intake in grams per day was calculated overall and by particular beverage type (beer, wine, or liquor). For this analysis, alcohol consumption was considered as a continuous variable (grams of alcohol per day) and as a categorical variable (drinks per day, assuming an average of 12 g/drink). Five categories of current alcohol intake were created for data analysis: never, <0.5 drinks/day, 0.5–0.99 drinks/day, 1.0–2.99 drinks/day, and ≥3 drinks/day. Never-drinkers were identified by a "no" response to the question “In your entire life, have you had at least 12 drinks of any kind of alcoholic beverage?” Ever-drinkers were identified by a “yes” response to the previous question and by a response of “>1 year ago” to the open-ended question “How long ago did you last drink any kind of alcoholic beverage?” Individuals classified as ex-drinkers (n = 254) were excluded from the analysis, since ex-drinkers represent a wide variety of health circumstances. Pattern of alcohol use was assessed using a single-item question that asked, “Do you typically drink: a) about the same every day; b) more on weekends; c) more during the week; or d) only on special occasions?”

**Potential confounds**

Energy and dietary fat intake were assessed using a 1-year semiquantitative FFQ from the National Cancer Institute, modified at each center to capture regional and ethnic variation in dietary patterns. Height, weight, and waist-to-hip ratio were measured using protocol standardized across clinics. Weight and height were measured in duplicate and recorded to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.5 cm, respectively. BMI was calculated as weight
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Table 2—$S_i$ values according to alcohol consumption categories by sex, ethnicity, and diabetes status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol consumption</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>&lt;0.5 drinks/day</th>
<th>0.5–0.99 drinks/day</th>
<th>1–2.99 drinks/day</th>
<th>≥3 drinks/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hispanic white</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic-American</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normoglycemic</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired glucose tolerance</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(in kilograms) divided by height (in meters) squared. Waist circumference was measured in duplicate using a metal tape. Measurements were recorded to the nearest 0.5 cm. Waist circumference was measured at the indentation between the 10th rib and the iliac crest at mid-respiration. Physical activity was measured using two separate items. First, a single-item question on usual frequency of vigorous activity was assessed with fixed responses from “rarely to never” to “5 or more times per week.” Data for this analysis are presented as the percentage of subjects who report having vigorous activity at least two times per week. Second, a structured interview was used to collect a 1-year recall of physical activities, from which an estimated energy expenditure was determined. These measures are described more clearly elsewhere (41).

Statistical analysis

Because of missing $S_i$ values, 145 observations were excluded from the analysis. An additional 254 ex-drinkers were removed, along with 30 subjects who provided incomplete data or data with extreme values, leaving a total sample size of 1,196. Data were analyzed using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) version 6.12 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Preliminary descriptive univariate analyses were performed on these data. Study characteristics were compared between categories of alcohol consumption using $t$ tests for continuous variables and $\chi^2$ analysis for dichotomous variables. Analysis of variance was performed to examine differences in means of six outcomes for five categories of alcohol consumption. Outcomes of interest included $S_i$, triglycerides, fasting insulin, HDL cholesterol, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure (Table 1). For the primary outcome of interest ($S_i$) data were stratified by sex, ethnicity, and diabetes status (Table 2).

Based on previous research, it was hypothesized that the association between alcohol intake and $S_i$ may be curvilinear. Therefore, to assess the linear or curvilinear relationship between alcohol consumption as a continuous variable and $S_i$, the continuous alcohol consumption variable was added to the model in an unadjusted regression analysis as a singular (linear) or squared (quadratic) interaction term. For a statistically significant quadratic term, a plot was ascertained to determine the highest point of $S_i$ in the alcohol consumption continuum (Fig. 1).

Measures of $S_i$, triglycerides, and fasting insulin were log-transformed to normalize distributions for analysis, and results were back-transformed for presentation in the tables. Two models were used for comparisons of each outcome variable among the alcohol consumption groups (Table 3). Model 1 adjusted for demographic (clinic, sex, ethnicity, age) and lifestyle (smoking, dietary energy/fat intake, physical activity) variables; model 2 adjusted for demographic and lifestyle variables (model 1), along with BMI and waist circumference.

To test whether diabetes status affected the association between alcohol consump-
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The relationship between alcohol consumption and \( S_I \) was not affected by diabetes status (\( P = 0.4726 \) for interaction term) or sex (\( P = 0.3001 \) for interaction term). The relationship between alcohol consumption and \( S_I \) differed slightly by ethnic status, although not significantly so (\( P = 0.1192 \) for interaction term). \( S_I \) was greatest for non-Hispanic white and Hispanic subjects in the 0.5–0.99 drinks/day category, whereas for African-American subjects, \( S_I \) was greatest in the 1–2.99 drinks/day category (Table 2).

The relationship between alcohol consumption and \( S_I \) did not vary according to type of alcohol consumed, but typical pattern of use did independently predict \( S_I \). \( S_I \) was greater for subjects who drank the same each day (1.78), followed by those who drank more on the weekends (1.56). The lowest \( S_I \) value among drinkers was found for those who drank only on special occasions (1.14), but was slightly higher than that found for non-drinkers (1.06). Nondrinkers had a significantly lower \( S_I \) compared with those who drank more on the weekend (\( P < 0.001 \)) and those who drank the same each day (\( P < 0.0001 \)).
When adjusting for demographic and lifestyle characteristics, BMI and waist circumference altered the relationship between alcohol consumption and $S_I$ and fasting insulin (Table 3, model 2). Whereas the pattern was similar, the significant differences in $S_I$ between nondrinkers and moderate drinkers dissipated, as did the difference in fasting insulin between nondrinkers and subjects in the 0.5–0.99 drinks/day category, indicating that BMI and central adiposity mediated the U-shaped association observed in the univariate analysis.

When adjusting for demographic and lifestyle characteristics, triglycerides, diastolic blood pressure, and systolic blood pressure levels showed a U-shaped relationship with alcohol intake, with the lowest values seen in the 1–2.99 drinks/day category (triglycerides) or the 0.5–0.99 drinks/day category (systolic and diastolic blood pressure). Triglyceride levels were statistically significantly higher ($P < 0.01$) for consumers of 1–2.99 drinks/day compared with nondrinkers, whereas blood pressure values were not significantly different across alcohol categories. HDL cholesterol gradually increased with increasing alcohol intake, with significantly greater ($P < 0.01$) levels for each of the three highest alcohol consumption categories.

CONCLUSIONS — These data indicate that our primary hypothesis, that light-to-moderate alcohol intake is associated with $S_I$, could not be completely confirmed after adjustment for BMI and central adiposity; that is, light-to-moderate alcohol consumers no longer have a significantly better $S_I$ profile once indexes of body composition are considered. This finding parallels that of the Nurses’ Health Study, which showed that the protective effect of moderate alcohol consumption on risk of diabetes was attenuated when body weight was considered (42). Regarding our secondary outcomes, these data also support prior research showing that increasing alcohol consumption improves lipid profiles while increasing blood pressure (20,21,24,25).

Previous research has shown a correlation between alcohol intake and various indicators of $S_I$ (17–20,26,27). As shown in Table 4, these studies vary greatly in the assessment of insulin function and in the heterogeneity of alcohol consumption within the populations studied. It is likely that the variability shown in the outcomes of these studies are the result of these differences. Nonetheless, the effects of alcohol intake on $S_I$ should be considered.

The mechanism of action of alcohol on $S_I$ has been studied extensively. Biologically, acute alcohol consumption has been shown to affect insulin action. Some studies have shown that relatively large doses of alcohol acutely impair insulin-mediated glucose uptake (44,45), whereas others have shown an enhanced effect on glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (46). Some studies have also reported that acute alcohol consumption results in an initial hyperinsulinemic effect followed by a hypoinsulinemic and/or hypoglycemic effect (47,48). Acute consumption of two alcoholic drinks resulted in a more favorable insulin resistance profile.
measured by a hyperinsulinemic clamp compared with a larger dose of six drinks (49), indicating that dose of administration is an important factor in the impact on insulin response. The results of studies attempting to assess the association between chronic alcohol consumption and \(S_1\), have been fairly consistent in showing that heavy alcohol consumers are more insulin resistant, although there is little to indicate from these studies that pattern of use was assessed. It is likely that the results of this study, which show that light-to-moderate chronic consumption and consistent versus inconsistent typical consumption, reflect the optimal profile from a biologic standpoint.

The finding in the present study that body composition, i.e., BMI and central adiposity, negatively influenced the association between light-to-moderate alcohol consumption and enhanced \(S_1\) deserves some consideration. Biologically, ethanol metabolism is favored over fat metabolism in the body, which reduces lipid oxidation and enhances lipid storage (50). In the absence of alcohol calories replacing dietary calories, it is assumed that alcohol consumption would promote weight gain. However, there is no clear consensus in epidemiologic data on the relationship between alcohol intake and body weight (51). For example, Sakurai et al. (52) showed that alcohol intake was very strongly and positively associated with waist-to-hip ratio but not with BMI, whereas Liu et al. (53) showed that alcohol does not prospectively increase the risk of obesity. Previous studies of the relationship between alcohol intake and \(S_1\) have considered BMI only (18,20,27) or both BMI and some measure of central adiposity (17,19) as a potential confounder.

The present study is hampered by at least two limitations that affect the generalizability of these findings. First, the study included a small number of heavy drinkers. Only 45 of 1,196 (4.2%) participants were classified in the highest category of alcohol classification. Only 45 of 1,196 (4.2%) participants were included a small number of heavy drinkers. This study examines this relationship in a large multiethnic sample from across the U.S.

In summary, this study contradicts previous research (17,19,26,27) showing a positive effect of light-to-moderate chronic alcohol consumption on \(S_1\), in that the relationship was shown in this analysis to be attenuated by body composition—a known CVD risk factor. Further investigation of longitudinal data from the IRAS cohort will provide more definitive answers to this complex issue.
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