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The Effect of Alcohol Intake on Insulin Sensitivity in Men

A randomized controlled trial
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OBJECTIVE — Population data suggest that alcohol consumption may influence the risk of diabetes in a biphasic manner, but this has not been tested by any controlled interventions. The object of this study was to determine whether reducing alcohol intake in moderate-to-heavy drinkers (40–110 g/day) results in improvement in insulin sensitivity.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A 4-week run-in period where subjects maintained their usual drinking pattern was followed by randomization to a two-way cross-over intervention study. In each of two 4-week treatment interventions, subjects either substituted their usual alcohol intake with a 0.9% alcohol beer or maintained their usual alcohol intake. At the end of each 4-week period, insulin sensitivity as determined by the low-dose insulin glucose infusion test and the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) score, and biomarkers of alcohol consumption (γ-glutamyl transpeptidase [γ-GT] and HDL cholesterol) were measured.

RESULTS — A total of 16 healthy men aged 51.0 ± 2.7 (mean ± SEM) years with a BMI of 26.4 ± 0.61 kg/m² completed the study. There was a large reduction in alcohol intake (72.4 ± 5.0 vs. 7.9 ± 1.6 g/day, P < 0.001) and significant reductions in γ-GT (geometric mean 24.4 units/l [95% CI 19.7–30.2] vs. 18.6 units/l [15.5–22.2], P < 0.01) and HDL cholesterol (1.36 ± 0.07 vs. 1.13 ± 0.07 mmol/l, P < 0.001). There was no effect of alcohol on insulin sensitivity index (ISI), fasting insulin, glucose, or HOMA score.

CONCLUSIONS — A substantial reduction in alcohol intake from 7.2 to 0.8 standard drinks per day in healthy men did not change insulin sensitivity as measured by ISI or HOMA score.
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Presently, it is difficult to simply define overall effects of alcohol on the insulin-glucose axis. Acutely, alcohol impairs insulin sensitivity in short-term experiments using insulin-glucose clamp techniques (1–5). In contrast, evidence from cross-sectional population-based studies suggests an association between chronic alcohol exposure and improvement in insulin sensitivity (6), but such studies are rendered inherently weak by the potential for confounding by other variables affecting insulin sensitivity, especially adiposity (7–10). Although there have been no prospective studies evaluating the effect of long-term alcohol use on insulin sensitivity, per se, there have been a number of studies investigat
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A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.
premenopausal women found that chronic moderate red wine consumption (~20 g/day) neither improved nor worsened insulin sensitivity as determined using the intravenous glucose tolerance test (19). In contrast, a more recent study in postmenopausal women reported that drinking 30 g alcohol/day improved insulin sensitivity estimated using fasting insulin, triglycerides, and fat-free mass (20).

On the basis of the current epidemiological evidence for men, we hypothesized that the moderate-to-heavy alcohol use in such subjects would result in reduced insulin sensitivity. To test this hypothesis we undertook a controlled intervention study in healthy predominantly beer-drinking men without preexisting diabetes. The gold standard for the assessment of insulin sensitivity is the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique (21). The continuous low-dose insulin and glucose infusion test (LDIGIT) (22) has previously been validated against this gold standard method (LDIGIT) (23) and fasting HDL cholesterol were measured to corroborate changes in self-reported alcohol intake.

**Evaluation of insulin sensitivity**

The LDIGITs were performed in the morning after an overnight fast at the end of each study phase. A 20-gauge cannula was inserted into a large antecubital vein for the constant 150-min infusion of both insulin (25 mU·kg⁻¹·h⁻¹) and glucose (4 mg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). Another 20-gauge cannula was placed into an antecubital vein in the contralateral arm to allow for blood sampling at 0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 130, 140, 145, and 150 min. Steady-state blood glucose (Gss) and steady-state serum insulin (Iss) were calculated from the blood samples collected over the last 30 min of the LDIGIT. The insulin sensitivity index (ISI) was calculated as glucose infusion rate (Ginf) divided by Iss and normalized to the ratio of Gss/Insulin (i.e., ISI_LDIGIT = Ginf/Gss Iss) and expressed as ml (g/kg/min per pmol/lnsulin) (22). The estimate of insulin resistance by homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) (23) was used to examine associations between alcohol intake and outcome variables. The study had an 80% power to detect a 49% change in ISI at an α of 0.05. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05. Food frequency categorical data were converted (using category midpoints) before analysis with Wilcoxon’s test.

**RESULTS** — Of the 17 men recruited, 16 completed the alcohol intervention component of the study with one dropout due to a non-study-related issue. Subjects ranged in age from 28 to 65 years (mean 51), were slightly overweight (mean BMI 26.4 ± 0.6 kg/m²), normotensive, euglycemic, and normolipidemic (Table 1). At baseline, 92% of alcohol was derived from beer, a pattern maintained during intervention. The average drinking history at study entry was 21 ± 14 years. Substitution of low-alcohol beer was associated with an average decrease in self-reported alcohol intake of 89%, from a mean of 72 ± 5 to 8 ± 2 g/day (P < 0.001) (Table 1). This is equivalent to a reduction in intake from approximately seven to one standard drink per day (one standard drink = 10 g/day). There was a 24% decrease in the geometric mean of...
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Table 1—Clinical and metabolic parameters measured at the end of each 4-week alcohol period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Baseline alcohol intake</th>
<th>Low alcohol intake</th>
<th>Usual alcohol intake</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake (g/day)</td>
<td>68.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>7.9 ± 1.6*</td>
<td>72.4 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>84.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>83.0 ± 1.5*</td>
<td>83.9 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.07*</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GT (units/l)</td>
<td>24.4 (20.9–29.8)</td>
<td>18.6 (15.5–22.2)*</td>
<td>24.4 (19.7–30.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>34 ± 6</td>
<td>33 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISI (ml/kg · min⁻¹ · pmol/l) × 10⁻³</td>
<td>17.7 (13.3–23.7)</td>
<td>18.8 (13.1–26.9)</td>
<td>20.2 (13.8–29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA score</td>
<td>1.05 (0.83–1.33)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.69–1.24)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.58–1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gss (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lss (pmol/l)</td>
<td>242 ± 15</td>
<td>244 ± 23</td>
<td>230 ± 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructosamine (µmol/l)</td>
<td>219 ± 4</td>
<td>227 ± 5</td>
<td>223 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE and geometric mean (95% CI). Significant differences *P < 0.001, †P < 0.05 from usual alcohol intake (paired t test low alcohol intake versus usual alcohol intake); n = 16 except for ISI, Gss, and Lss, where n = 12.

γ-GT in the low compared with the usual alcohol period (18.6 units/l [95% CI 15.5–22.2] vs. 24.4 units/l [19.7–30.2], P < 0.001) and a 17% reduction in HDL cholesterol (1.36 ± 0.07 vs. 1.13 ± 0.07 mmol/l, P < 0.001). Univariate regression showed that the changes in γ-GT and HDL cholesterol were each associated with the self-reported change in alcohol intake (regression coefficient B = 0.006, SE = 0.002, and adjusted R² = 0.329) and (B = 0.003, SE = 0.001, and adjusted R² = 0.224), respectively, consistent with compliance with restriction of alcohol intake during the low-alcohol period.

Mean weight was 0.9 kg heavier at the end of the usual alcohol period compared with that at the end of the low-alcohol period (Table 1). During the low-alcohol period, the subjects decreased their alcohol-derived energy intake by 485 kcal/day, whereas the frequency of drinking fruit juice and milk increased by 8 vs. 11 times/month (P < 0.05) and 13 vs. 18 times/month (P < 0.05), respectively. Weight was the only variable that had a treatment-order effect (i.e., the weight loss was greater for the group that reduced their alcohol intake in weeks 8–12, P = 0.05). However, with univariate regression the weight change was still associated with change in self-reported alcohol intake (B = 0.02, SE = 0.01, and adjusted R² = 0.179).

Baseline ISI (geometric mean 17.7 [95% CI 13.3–23.7]) was within the normal range of 12–32 previously seen in normal glucose-tolerant men (22). At baseline, mean daily alcohol intake was positively correlated (P < 0.05) with fasting insulin (r = 0.55) and HOMA score (r = 0.53), but these associations were no longer significant after controlling for BMI. Of the 16 men who completed the alcohol intervention, 12 underwent the complete set of three LDIGITs. During all of the LDIGITs, blood glucose levels increased during the first 30 min, declining thereafter, regardless of study phase. Insulin levels increased during the test, achieving plateau at 60 min. Table 1 shows details of the results from the LDIGITs with no statistical differences between alcohol intervention with respect to fasting and steady-state glucose and insulin values, fructosamine levels, HOMA score, or ISI.

CONCLUSIONS — Using a randomized controlled cross-over study design, we have shown that a reduction in daily alcohol intake from seven to one standard drink per day over 4 weeks did not appear to alter the insulin sensitivity of nondiabetic healthy men. The self-reported reduction in alcohol intake was corroborated by a decrease in both γ-GT and HDL cholesterol.

In the prospective Rancho Bernardo Study involving 220 men, those in the highest tertile of drinking (i.e., >25 g alcohol/day) had a significantly higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes compared with the lighter drinkers (17). Even after adjustment for age, BMI, and smoking, alcohol intake was significantly higher in the men who went on to develop type 2 diabetes. Additionally, the relative risk of developing type 2 diabetes in drinkers in the highest tertile was twofold higher in the overweight group of men with a BMI >26 kg/m². In the more recent and larger prospective ARIC Study involving 5,423 middle-aged men, those who consumed a substantial amount of alcohol (>36 g/day) were 50% more likely, even after adjustment for potential confounders and diabetes risk factors, to develop type 2 diabetes compared with those who drank ≈1.7 g/day (18).

Ethically, it is inappropriate to design an alcohol intervention study using none or occasional drinkers and increase their alcohol intake to moderate-to-heavy levels. We therefore used the alternative model, taking healthy men who were already drinking between 4 and 10 standard drinks per day and restricting their alcohol use for periods of 4 weeks in a randomized controlled cross-over study. The men in our alcohol intervention study were, on average, middle-aged, had a BMI >26 kg/m², and reduced their alcohol intake from an average of 72 g/day to 8 g/day. Our study subjects were similar in age and BMI to the men in the ARIC and Rancho Bernardo study, so we postulated that there would be an improvement in insulin sensitivity with the reduction in alcohol intake.

To our knowledge, there have been three previous reports of alcohol intervention studies investigating the effect of alcohol use on insulin sensitivity (19,20,27). Compared with our study, the men and women in the recent report from the U.K. were considerably younger (aged 21–41 years), thinner (mean BMI 22 kg/m²), had a lower alcohol intake (i.e., 31 g alcohol/day), and were more insulin sensitive with low fasting insulin ~6 pmol/l (27). Additionally, the alcohol...
intervention differed in that the subjects either drank 24 g of vodka alcohol daily for 1 week or abstained from alcohol. Using the intravenous glucose tolerance test with minimal model analysis, they also found no difference in insulin sensitivity. In addition the authors reported that they deliberately used the short study period of 1 week to reduce the influence of hepatic enzyme induction and acknowledged that a longer period of alcohol consumption was needed to confirm or refute the epidemiological findings. The second study examined postmenopausal women who drank 0, 15, or 30 g alcohol/day in orange juice for periods of 8 weeks (20). Improvement in insulin sensitivity estimated with a published index of glucose disposal rate corrected for fat-free mass based on fasting insulin and triglyceride levels was seen in the 30-g alcohol/day period. It was a little surprising to find that alcohol caused a reduction in triglycerides in these women, since regular alcohol had usually been associated with higher triglyceride levels. The third study involved 20 overweight (BMI 29.8 kg/m²) premenopausal women and compared the effect of 10 weeks of moderate red wine consumption (~20 g/day) with 10 weeks of alcohol abstinence (19). Chronic moderate wine consumption with the evening meal neither improved nor worsened insulin sensitivity based on the intravenous glucose tolerance test.

In our study, the daily baseline alcohol intake was positively correlated with fasting insulin and HOMA score, measured previously in larger population studies to reflect insulin sensitivity (28). These associations were, however, lost after controlling for BMI. Using the LDIGIT, a method validated against the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp approach to assessing insulin sensitivity (22), we did not find the expected improvement in the ISI after a substantial reduction in alcohol intake for 28 days. There are a number of possible explanations for not finding an effect. There may be no effect of reduced alcohol consumption on insulin sensitivity independent of effects mediated by increased abdominal fat. Our baseline data would also be in keeping with this conclusion. However, the possibility that our finding is a false negative needs to be considered. First, we may have been somewhat ambitious in our expectations, since we were powered to detect an improvement of ~50% in the ISI. In a previous cross-sectional study using the LDIGIT method, the difference between normal-weight impaired glucose tolerant and impaired glucose tolerant ISI was of this order of magnitude (22). Second, if we compare the HOMA scores of our subjects with previous reports, it appears that our subjects were relatively insulin sensitive (23, 25, 28). The results in our subjects after 4 weeks of substantial reductions in alcohol intake contrast with the reported acute effect of alcohol to cause insulin resistance in short-term experiments (1–5, 29). It is possible that with repeated episodes of alcohol ingestion homeostatic mechanisms are involved to maintain normal insulin sensitivity.

Our findings cannot be generalized beyond a predominantly healthy beer-drinking male population. The study was also conducted in an ambulatory setting rather than in a metabolic ward, so we were unable to tightly control for background changes in diet and weight that occurred during the switch to low alcohol beer ingestion alone. However, these changes were small, and if there was any effect on insulin sensitivity, the impact of the reported dietary changes and weight loss would have been in opposite directions, reducing the likelihood of any major background confounding influences on our final result.

Moderate alcohol consumption has been associated with lower risk of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes (30–33). It has been recognized that the improvement in HDL cholesterol is the main mechanism behind alcohol’s cardio-protective effect, but it has also been suggested that additional cardioprotective mechanisms, such as increases in insulin sensitivity, may also be operating (30, 33). A worthwhile focus for future intervention studies into the potential effects of alcohol on insulin sensitivity should be regular drinkers who also have diet-controlled diabetes.

In conclusion, in healthy men, insulin sensitivity, as measured with the low-dose insulin and glucose infusion test, did not change after a 4-week reduction in daily alcohol intake from seven to one standard drink. If this absence of any short-term effect is also seen in the longer term, it is unlikely that effects of moderate-to-heavy regular consumption on the insulin-glucose axis are of major relevance to the development of type 2 diabetestes. These results, however, do not exclude the possibility that part of the mechanism behind the effect of alcohol to impart cardiovascular protection in diabetic populations is through direct actions to improve insulin sensitivity.
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