Inflammatory Markers, Adiponectin, and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in the Pima Indian
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OBJECTIVE — To examine the association between adiponectin, a known predictor of diabetes in Pima Indians, and markers of inflammation and endothelial function in nondiabetic subjects and to assess whether these markers predict later diabetes in a case-control study within a longitudinal health study in Pima Indians.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Participants with normal glucose tolerance at baseline were selected. Case subjects (who later developed type 2 diabetes), and control subjects (n = 71 pairs) were matched for BMI, age, and sex. Adiponectin, C-reactive protein (CRP), interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor-α, phospholipase A2 (sPLA2), soluble E-selectin (SE-selectin), soluble intracellular adhesion molecule-1, soluble vascular adhesion molecule-1, and von Willebrand factor (vWF) were measured in baseline samples.

RESULTS — Adiponectin was negatively correlated with CRP (r = −0.25, P < 0.05), IL-6 (r = −0.20, P < 0.05), sPLA2 (r = −0.22, P < 0.05), and SE-selectin (r = −0.20, P < 0.05). CRP and IL-6 did not predict diabetes. Only vWF predicted the development of diabetes (incidence rate ratio 0.67 for a 1-SD difference, 95% CI 0.41–1.00, P = 0.03), but this was not significant after adjustment for age, glucose, HbA1c, waist circumference, and fasting insulin (hazard rate ratio 0.73, 95% CI 0.46–1.16, P = 0.18).

CONCLUSIONS — Adiponectin is negatively correlated with markers of inflammation in vivo. In case and control subjects matched for BMI, with the exception of vWF, none of the inflammatory markers predicted diabetes. Adiponectin may be the link between adiposity, inflammation, and type 2 diabetes.
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Chronic inflammation has been postulated to play a role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes (1). Cross-sectional studies have shown that obesity and insulin resistance are associated with higher levels of markers of inflammation and endothelial function (2–6). Recent prospective studies have shown a relationship between various inflammatory markers, specifically sialic acid, orosomucoid, C-reactive protein (CRP), and interleukin (IL)-6, and the risk of developing type 2 diabetes (7–10). In the Pima Indians of Arizona, elevations in serum immunoglobulins and white blood cell count (WBC) have also been found to predict diabetes (11,12). Adiponectin is a 244 amino acid adipose-specific protein (13) that has been shown to downregulate inflammatory responses in vitro (14,15), but it also improves glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in mouse models of diabetes (16). Adiponectin is related to insulin resistance and adiposity in humans (17,18). Recently, we have shown in the subjects of this report that adiponectin is protective against later development of diabetes (19).

We hypothesized that adiponectin might underpin relationships of markers of inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and obesity and later risk of type 2 diabetes. Therefore, in the same nested case control study mentioned above (19), we examined the relationship of adiponectin to a variety of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction and assessed the relationship of these markers to later incidence of diabetes.
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Table 1—Baseline characteristics for case and control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Case subjects</th>
<th>Control subjects</th>
<th>Pr &gt;</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n (women/men)</td>
<td>71 (47/24)</td>
<td>71 (47/24)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>32.6 ± 9.3</td>
<td>32.0 ± 8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>36.33 ± 6.9</td>
<td>36.29 ± 6.9</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>112.7 ± 16.9</td>
<td>113.0 ± 17.3</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>5.31 ± 0.44</td>
<td>5.29 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2hPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>6.07 ± 1.01</td>
<td>5.92 ± 1.00</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>256 (179-338)</td>
<td>265 (179-338)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-h insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>794 (509-1,167)</td>
<td>937 (517-1,285)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up time (years)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.2</td>
<td>6.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample storage time (years)</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD and median (range 25–75%). Follow-up time = last exam or exam at diabetes diagnosis – data of baseline exam; sample storage time = date samples were thawed and aliquoted for measurements (1 June 2001) – date of baseline exam. Pr > | t | is the result of the paired t test.

and June of 1997 and if their heritage was at least one-half Pima or closely related Tohono O’odham (Papago).

The goal of the present analysis, as a case-control study nested within a longitudinal study, was to efficiently estimate the incidence rate ratio (IRR) for diabetes associated with specific inflammatory markers, i.e., the same IRRs that would be obtained in a longitudinal cohort study. To estimate these parameters without bias, case and control subjects must be selected in a specific fashion (21–23). In essence, case subjects must be incident case subjects (i.e., free of disease at baseline), and for each case subject at least one matched control must be selected from among those who are at risk for the disease at the time the case subject developed the disease (i.e., among those who could contribute person-time in an incidence analysis). In the present study, case subjects who developed incident diabetes according to 1985 World Health Organization criteria (24) following the baseline exam were selected. For each case subject, a control subject (who had not developed type 2 diabetes at the time of the follow-up of the case) was selected from the baseline population matched for age (within 10 years), BMI (within 1 kg/m²), and sex. Where more than one control subject fulfilled these criteria, the subject most closely matched for BMI was chosen.

Because in an incidence analysis someone without disease at one time point is still at risk for disease later, they are included as free of disease at one point and then as having disease at another point. Similarly, if they remain without disease they are counted as not having disease at all time points for which they have been followed. Therefore, in keeping with this in the analogous nested case-control study, the same control subject can be matched to more than one case subject (in the present study eight control subjects were used twice and one three times). Control subjects can subsequently become case subjects themselves (as occurred twice, one subject was selected as a control twice and then became a case, the other was a control once and a case). Thus, the 71 pairs are derived from 129 participants. If case and control subjects are selected in this manner, the analytic approach calculates a true IRR (23).

Laboratory methods

Plasma glucose was measured by the potassium ferricyanide method (Technicon) or after October 1991 by the hexokinase method (Ciba-Corning). Insulin was measured by immunoassay using a Concept four analyzer (ICN Pharmaceuticals, Costa Mesa, CA). Samples from the 2-h blood draw of the OGTT, stored as EDTA plasma at −70° centigrade, were batch analyzed in duplicate as follows. CRP was measured with a highly sensitive sandwich enzyme immunoassay, using rabbit anti-human CRP CRP immunoglobulin as a capturing and detecting antibody (Dako, Copenhagen, Denmark) with intra- and interassay CVs of 4.7 and 2.4%, respectively. IL-6 and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) were measured by sandwich enzyme immunoassay (Quantikine High Sensitivity; R&D Systems, Oxon, U.K.). Intra- and interassay CVs for IL-6 are <11.1% and 16.5% and for TNF-α <14.3% and <22.6%, respectively, as reported by the manufacturer. Phospholipase A2 (sPLA2) was measured by enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) with inter- and intra-assay CVs of 7.9 and 6.3%. Soluble E-selectin (SE-selectin), soluble intracellular adhesion molecule (sICAM)-1, and soluble vascular adhesion molecule (sVCAM)-1 were measured by sandwich enzyme immunoassay (R&D Systems). Intra- and interassay CVs were as follows: SE-selectin 1.3 and 5.5%, sICAM-1 3.5 and 5.1%, and sVCAM-1 <8.0 and <8.0%, respectively. Plasma von Willebrand factor (vWF) antigen was measured by ELISA using rabbit anti-vWF antigen IgG as a catching antibody and a peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-vWF antigen as a detecting antibody (Dako, Copenhagen, Denmark). Levels of vWF are expressed as a percentage of vWF antigen in normal pooled plasma, which is defined as 100%. The intra- and interassay CVs were 2.3 and 3.8%, respectively. Adiponectin was measured using an ELISA with an adiponectin-specific antibody, as described previously (24). Intra- and interassay CVs were 3.3 and 7.4%, respectively.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using software from the SAS Institute (Cary, NC). All inflammatory and endothelial markers and fasting insulin were log transformed to achieve a normal distribution. A paired t test was used to compare baseline metabolic measurements and levels of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction between case and control subjects. Spearman correlations were calculated to examine the relationship between inflammatory and endothelial markers and between baseline metabolic measurements and these markers for the 129 individuals in the study (so each individual was only included once in this analysis). The IRRs for the development of diabetes were calculated by conditional logistic regression, maintaining the pairwise matching of case and control subjects. To facilitate comparisons between measurements, IRRs were calculated for a 1-SD difference for all variables except age.
ly). VWF was strongly associated with diabetes and positively correlated with SE-selectin.

Table 3—Relationships between inflammatory and endothelial markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Waist</th>
<th>FPG</th>
<th>2hPG</th>
<th>HbA1c</th>
<th>Fasting insulin</th>
<th>2-h insulin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
<td>-0.18*</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.20*</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.25‡</td>
<td>-0.29†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>0.53†</td>
<td>0.50†</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>0.57†</td>
<td>0.56†</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.28‡</td>
<td>-0.19*</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPLA2</td>
<td>0.23‡</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sE-selectin</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>0.29†</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sICAM-1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19*</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sVCAM-1</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.24‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vWF</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman correlations: *P < 0.05, †P < 0.01, ‡P < 0.001. Spearman r values in bold when P < 0.05. Each individual was included only once, because of missing values there were 127 individuals with measurements of CRP, TNF-α, sPLA2, sE-selectin, sICAM-1, sVCAM-1, and vWF; 126 with measurements of IL-6, and 128 with measurements of adiponectin.

RESULTS

Study subjects
Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the case and control subjects. Case and control subjects had similar age, BMI, fasting and 2-h glucose, and fasting and 2-h insulin concentrations. Baseline HbA1c was slightly higher in case compared with control subjects (5.5 vs. 5.3%, P = 0.005). The mean follow-up time was longer for the control subjects, but there was no systematic difference in sample storage time between case and control subjects.

Correlations between variables
Relationships between the markers in all subjects are shown in Table 2. Adiponectin was significantly and negatively correlated with CRP, IL-6, sPLA2, and SE-selectin (r = -0.25, -0.20, -0.22, and -0.20, respectively, P < 0.05). CRP was highly correlated with IL-6 (r = 0.56, P < 0.001), sPLA2 (r = 0.43, P < 0.001) and was also positively related to sICAM-1 (r = 0.29, P < 0.01). IL-6 also positively correlated with sICAM-1 (r = 0.22, P < 0.05). SE-selectin was correlated with sICAM-1 and sVCAM-1 (r = 0.36, P < 0.001 and r = 0.26, P < 0.01, respectively). VWF was strongly associated with sVCAM-1 (r = 0.52, P < 0.001). Relationships of these markers to baseline BMI, waist circumference, and fasting and 2-h glucose and insulin concentrations are shown in Table 3. BMI and waist circumference were negatively correlated with adiponectin and positively correlated with CRP, IL-6, sPLA2, and SE-selectin. Fasting insulin was negatively correlated with adiponectin and TNF-α and positively correlated with SE-selectin. Partial correlations with adjustments for the effect of sex or group (whether a subject was a case or a control) did not alter the results (data not shown). The effect of the interaction between group and each variable was also tested in a general linearized model and was not significant. Only adiponectin was significantly different between case and control subjects at baseline, i.e., lower in case subjects (Table 4). Correlations between baseline fasting and 2-h plasma glucose and HbA1c were also calculated. There was no statistically significant correlation between these variables at the baseline exam.

Predictors of diabetes
In a conditional logistic regression analysis of baseline variables (Figs. 1 and 2), CRP and IL-6 were not predictive of the development of diabetes in the univariate model (IRR 1.03, 95% CI 0.68–1.55, P = 0.88 and IRR = 0.75 95% CI 0.45–1.30, P = 0.28, respectively). In comparison, our previously reported findings in these subjects (18) showed that adiponectin predicted type 2 diabetes in both the univariate and multivariate models (IRR 0.63, 95% CI 0.43–0.92, P = 0.02 for univariate model and IRR 0.65, 95% CI 0.41–0.98, P = 0.04 for multivariate model). In the present study, the IRR is slightly different in the multivariate model because of the inclusion of HbA1c. VWF was marginally protective for the development of diabetes in the univariate model (IRR 0.67, 95% CI 0.45–1.00, P = 0.05), and this effect was attenuated after adjustment for the same covariates (IRR 0.73, 95% CI 0.46–1.16, P = 0.19). Although SE-selectin had an IRR >1.0, it was not significantly predic-
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Table 4—Comparison of inflammatory and endothelial markers between case and control subjects

|                  | Case subjects | Control subjects | Pr>|t|
|------------------|---------------|------------------|-----|
| Adiponectin (µg/ml) | 4.3 (3.6–5.8) | 5.3 (4.3–6.3) | 0.01 |
| CRP (mg/l)       | 4.5 (2.5–8.3) | 4.9 (2.1–8.6) | 0.98 |
| IL-6 (pg/ml)     | 5.2 (3.3–6.2) | 4.9 (4.0–6.2) | 0.86 |
| TNF-α (pg/ml)    | 3.2 (2.4–5.2) | 3.0 (2.4–4.1) | 0.80 |
| sPLA2 (ng/ml)    | 3.0 (2.2–4.1) | 3.3 (2.2–4.5) | 0.72 |
| sE-selectin (ng/ml) | 75 (66–94) | 76 (59–94) | 0.68 |
| sICAM-1 (ng/ml)  | 324 (263–364) | 338 (298–385) | 0.40 |
| sVCAM-1 (ng/ml)  | 499 (413–550) | 490 (444–562) | 0.26 |
| vWF (%)          | 92 (65–128) | 106 (80–132) | 0.17 |

Data are median ± interquartile range. Calculations are from 70 case-control subject pairs for adiponectin and from 68 case-control subject pairs for all other markers except IL-6, which was calculated for 66 case-control subject pairs.

CONCLUSIONS — The finding that low adiponectin level is associated with increased risk of diabetes in Pimas has been previously published (18) and recently corroborated in another population (25). In the present analysis, we extend this observation by assessment of both the correlation of adiponectin to markers of inflammation and endothelial function and the relationship between these markers and incidence of diabetes. Despite strong correlations between many of these markers and the metabolic measurements at baseline, only adiponectin and vWF were significantly related to the later development of type 2 diabetes, and the effect of vWF was attenuated after controlling for multiple variables. Other inflammatory markers, most notably CRP and IL-6, were not related to later diabetes. Furthermore, the relationship between adiponectin and diabetes incidence persisted with control for these markers.

The design of the present analysis is a standard epidemiological design for a case-control study nested within a longitudinal study. Although certain aspects of this design may be counterintuitive (in that control subjects may be selected at one time point and then become case subjects at a subsequent time point and also that control subjects may be selected more than once), the assumptions in this design are the same as for a longitudinal cohort study of disease incidence (21–23). Because case and control subjects were selected in this manner, the risk ratio we calculated is a true unbiased IRR that takes into account the effect of time (23). Furthermore, if we ignore the matching and analyzed each individual in a conventional proportional hazards model, the results are very similar to those presented here (data not shown).

Our results provide further in vivo evidence that adiponectin concentrations correlate with markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction (26). These correlations are modest but present in four of the markers measured including three (CRP, IL-6, and sPLA2) generally associated with subclinical inflammation. In vitro, adiponectin modulates the immune system in several ways. Adiponectin is structurally similar to TNF-α (27) and inhibits TNF-α production by macrophages (14). Adiponectin also inhibits the expression of sICAM-1, sVCAM-1, and SE-selectin in cultured endothelial cells (15) acting via necrosis factor-κB signaling pathways (28), a pathway crucial to inflammatory response (29). Thus, the inverse correlations of adiponectin with inflammatory markers observed in the present study support the idea that adiponectin is associated with anti-inflammatory activity.

The other markers in this study were intercorrelated, with strong relationships of CRP to IL-6 and between the endothelial markers. Although the CVs for IL-6 and TNF-α were larger than for other markers, in general, relationships between markers and metabolic measures correspond to those previously reported in other series. In particular, adiponectin was negatively correlated with BMI and fasting and 2-h insulin, consistent with previous observations in the Pima Indians (17). CRP and IL-6 were positively associated with both BMI and waist circumference, and coefficients were similar to those in other studies (30,31). The exception to this is TNF-α, which was negatively correlated with fasting insulin. Although TNF-α has been thought to play an important role in mediating the insulin resistance of obesity (32), the in vivo re-

Figure 1—Prediction of type 2 diabetes by inflammatory markers, univariate model. All markers were log transformed. IRR was calculated using conditional logistic regression with values standardized to a mean of 0 and an SD of 1.
relationship of TNF-α to insulin sensitivity has been less clear. TNF-α is inversely correlated with insulin sensitivity (33,34) in some studies (33,34) but not in others (35,36).

A number of explanations for the relationship of markers of inflammation with both obesity and insulin resistance have been proposed. TNF-α has been proposed as a mediator of obesity-related insulin resistance (32,37). However, knockout of TNF-α receptors in mouse models (38) and investigations using anti-TNF-α antibodies in humans (39) have not supported this. More recently, activation of the IkK kinase β (IkKβ) pathway by inflammation has been found to influence insulin resistance in mouse models (40) and via other pathways perhaps mediated via TNF-α (32). In our study, despite the correlation between markers and adiposity and insulin resistance, only low adiponectin predicted diabetes after adjustment for other baseline variables. In other longitudinal studies, markers of inflammation did predict later type 2 diabetes. WBC, sialic acid, and orosomucoid predicted the development of type 2 diabetes in subjects in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study (ARIC) (7), as did CRP in the Cardiovascular Health Study (9), the Women’s Health Study (8), and the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (10). Although these studies also controlled statistically for the effects of obesity, there were substantial baseline differences in the degree of adiposity between subjects who developed diabetes and those who did not.

In contrast, in our study, we closely matched case and control subjects by BMI and they did not differ by waist circumference. Having matched for adiposity, there were no predictive effects for CRP or IL-6 and diabetes. Taken together, our findings suggest an alternate hypothesis: that the relationship of these other markers of subclinical inflammation to the development of type 2 diabetes is mediated by adiponectin. Adiponectin, while having anti-inflammatory activity, may mediate diabetes risk via mechanisms other than inflammation. If so, given the negative correlations between adiponectin and CRP and SE-selectin, the predictive value of inflammatory markers in other studies may have been because they are associated with obesity and were also acting as surrogate markers of hyperadiponectinemia. Thus, they may be only indirectly associated with the development of diabetes.

It is possible that an effect of CRP and SE-selectin in the development of diabetes might have been apparent in a larger study. The data from the Women’s Health Study (8) and the Cardiovascular Health Study (9) were drawn from larger cohorts, although the number of subjects who developed type 2 diabetes was only substantially higher in the Women’s Health Study (188 cases of diabetes). This suggests that the relationship of these markers to the development of diabetes is relatively weak and that adiponectin plays a far larger and potentially biologically important role than these other markers.

Compared with other populations, Pima Indians are at very high risk for diabetes and obesity. If inflammatory markers are higher in general, this might reduce the power of the study to detect an effect of such a marker. For example, the levels of CRP at the baseline exams are higher than in other studies (8,9,41). This is expected given the higher BMIs in Pimas and the relationship of CRP to adiposity. This may limit the generalizability of our results to other populations. Nevertheless, our data suggest at least within our population during this follow-up period, inflammatory markers such as CRP and IL-6 are less important than adiponectin. As with any observational study, the present analysis can determine to what extent inflammatory markers are predictive of diabetes in this population, but the effects of any interventions targeting inflammation would need to be assessed by clinical trials.

HbaA1c was slightly higher in case than control subjects at baseline, even though concomitant OGTTs showed both to be normal glucose tolerant, and there was no difference in fasting or 2-h glucose. Despite this difference, the IRRs did not change substantially in the models that included HbaA1c.

The higher levels of vWF in control subjects and its protective effect for the development of diabetes are at odds with previous reports. A number of studies have found that vWF is higher in subjects with type 2 diabetes (42,43). In the ARIC study, higher levels of vWF were predictive for the development of diabetes, particularly in women, but the effect decreased substantially after adjusting for BMI and waist-to-hip ratio (39). A smaller study in the Pima population found that vWF is positively correlated with insulin resistance, but not correlated with percentage body fat (6). Studies in other populations have found no difference in vWF levels between insulin-resistant relatives of subjects with type 2 diabetes and control subjects (44). Therefore, the correlation between vWF and adiposity and insulin resistance, two major risk factors for type 2 diabetes, is not clear. VWF was
not strongly correlated with any of the metabolic measurements in the present study (Table 3) but was protective for the development of diabetes. While vWF is a marker of endothelial dysfunction, it is elevated in conditions of vascular insult and exercise to a similar extent (45). Low levels of physical activity itself are a risk factor for the development of diabetes (46). Therefore, subjects who are less physically active may have lower vWF levels.

In summary, we have extended our previous findings on adiponectin, demonstrating that adiponectin is negatively correlated with markers on inflammation in vivo. Moreover, once adiposity is taken into account, these other markers have little or no predictive value for the development of diabetes in Pima Indians. Adiponectin may be an important link between adiposity and inflammation and type 2 diabetes.
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