Diet, Growth, and the Risk for Type 1 Diabetes in Childhood

A matched case-referent study

OBJECTIVE — To study the association between type 1 diabetes risk and previous intake of energy, accounting for body size and previous intake of nutrients and foods, accounting for the energy intake.

RESULTS — Average intake of energy, carbohydrate, fat, and protein was significantly higher among the case subjects as well as mean weight-for-age SD score. Higher energy intake and weight-for-age were both associated with increased diabetes risk after adjustment for each other: OR (95% CI) for medium and high levels of energy intake were 1.33 (0.52–3.42) and 5.23 (1.67–16.38), respectively, and for weight-for-age were 3.20 (1.30–7.88) and 3.09 (1.16–8.22), respectively. High intake of carbohydrates, especially disaccharides and sucrose, increased the risk. Lifestyle habits leading to higher energy intake probably play a role in promoting the more rapid growth of the prediabetic children. As body size and energy intake are positively associated, there is a need to simultaneously consider previous dietary intake, as well as body size, when studying the risk of type 1 diabetes in childhood.

CONCLUSIONS — Higher energy intake and larger body size were independently associated with increased diabetes risk. Of the different nutrients, higher intake of carbohydrates, particularly disaccharides and sucrose, increased the risk. Lifestyle habits leading to higher energy intake and more rapid growth in childhood may contribute to the increase of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes by different mechanisms.

Dietary intake of certain nutrients and possible toxic food components is of interest in the search for triggers or promoters of the autoimmune β-cell destruction that may lead to type 1 diabetes (1–3). Studies of infant diet indicated that short breast-feeding duration and early introduction of cow’s milk proteins may be causally related to the development of childhood diabetes (4) and progressive β-cell autoimmunity before the age of 4 years (5). Some studies also found that high intake of cow’s milk later in childhood is associated with increased risk of diabetes (6,7). In a previous population-based case-referent study, our group showed a dose-response relationship between the risk of developing childhood diabetes and the frequency of intake of foods rich in protein, carbohydrates, and nitrosamines (8). Moreover, the nutrition-associated risk profiles differed between the age-groups (9). Analyzing prospectively recorded childhood growth data, we have also showed that future diabetic children had a higher linear growth rate several years before the diagnosis compared with age- and sex-matched referent children (10). Studies from different populations have confirmed that and also found that children who develop type 1 diabetes are heavier and have higher BMI or weight-for-height both during infancy and later in childhood compared with referent children (11–15). Although genetic predisposition toward rapid growth and susceptibility to hyperinsulinemia may be the underlying causes, differences of energy intake probably play a role in promoting the more rapid growth of the prediabetic children. As body size and energy intake are positively associated, there is a need to simultaneously consider previous dietary intake, as well as body size, when studying the risk of type 1 diabetes in childhood.

In the present study, we investigate whether higher intake of energy 1 year before the diagnosis is associated with an increase in type 1 diabetes risk, taking relative body size into account. The association between the intake of certain nutrients or groups of food with diabetes risk, taking the total energy intake into account, was also studied.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The Karolinska Institute Ethics Committee and the Swedish Data Inspection Board approved the study. Incident diabetic case subjects 7–14 years of age at diagnosis, occurring in the Stockholm region in Sweden during 1991–1993, were invited to participate. Case subjects were identified at four pediatric departments, where all children aged 0–14 years with suspected diabetes...
are referred. The determination of diabetes type was made on clinical grounds. Analysis of autoantibodies was not performed. One hundred consecutive case subjects were invited and 99 accepted. For each case subject, two referent children matched for age, sex, and geographical region within Stockholm were identified through the official Swedish population register and invited to participate. A total of 180 (90%) referents agreed to participate.

**Dietary data**

A food frequency questionnaire including 206 different foods and dishes was developed on the basis of previous dietary studies of Swedish children in relevant ages. Evaluation of the dietary history in school children showed satisfactory agreement with 24-h recall for energy and all nutrients included in the current study, although dietary history tended to give higher group mean values (16). Reproducibility of the method was also good—for most nutrients the correlations were moderate to high between two dietary history interviews performed with a 3-month interval (16). Copies of the questionnaire are available from the authors. Interviews were performed by experienced dietitians who received special training on how to avoid bias when asking questions. Children were interviewed together with the primary care taker or both parents regarding the dietary intake 1 year before the diabetes diagnosis or the interview. Mothers were present in all but a few instances. The interview started by mapping the general food habits, meal frequencies, and meals at home, school, and elsewhere and thereafter advanced into meals and general dietary intake. A system of cross-checking to the meal pattern and number of breakfasts, lunches, and dinners per week was used throughout the interview. The interview was also supported by a listing of all of the dishes served at the schools in question during the reference period—in Sweden all children receive free school lunches, and no commercial school cafeterias are available in schools. Consumed amounts were estimated using household measures and pictures of portion sizes. Frequencies and amounts of consumed foods were recorded in the questionnaire. Interviews were performed at the hospital (most case subjects) or at home (some case subjects and all referents). The same dietitian interviewed the case subject and matched referent children. Average individual intake of certain foods or food groups was calculated and expressed as grams per day. Dietary data were transformed into energy and nutrient intake per day using the Swedish Food Data Bank, computerized by the Swedish National Food Administration. Food groups were represented by several items: milk group includes sweet and sour milk, yogurt, cream, and ice-cream; bread group includes dark and white bread, biscuits, and pasta; and nitrosamine-containing foods include different sorts of smoked meat, sausage, and fish.

**Growth data**

After permission from the parents, prospectively recorded growth data were retrieved from the records at Child Health Clinics and School Health services. Growth data before the diagnosis or interview were available for 77 (78%) case subjects and 148 (82%) referents, with 5.7 and 5.1 measurements per individual on average, respectively (P = 0.54). Most of the growth data available covered the school age (from 6 years of age). Growth data were transformed into age- and sex-specific SD scores according to the 1978 Centers for Disease Control/World Health Organization reference (17) used by the EpiNut program (EPI Info, version 6.1; Division of Surveillance and Epidemiology, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA). Calculation of the weight-for-height SD scores in EpiNut is limited to 11.5 years and ≤145 cm for males and 10 years and ≤137 cm for females; thus, our analyses were limited accordingly. To represent the relative body size of the individual, we calculated an average SD score of measurements taken during the 4-year period preceding the diagnosis or interview. As growth of the case children may be influenced by metabolic disturbances, measurements taken during the last 3 months preceding the diagnosis were excluded from the calculations. We chose to include the data recorded during the last 4-year period in order to make the number of measurements included comparable for children of different age. Seventy-four case subjects and 143 referents had growth data available (P = 0.37), with 2.3 and 2.5 measurements on average, respectively (P = 0.22).

Dietary analysis was possible for 98 matched sets of case and referent children: 78 with 2 and 20 with 1 referent child per case. Analysis including both dietary and growth information was possible for 67 matched sets (67%): 40 with 2 and 27 with 1 referent child per case. There were no significant differences in the intake of energy, protein, fat, and carbohydrates between the children with and without growth information (data not shown).

**Statistical analysis**

Mean intake of energy and different nutrients and mean SD scores of case subjects and referents were compared using one-way ANOVA. Crude and adjusted ORs for the risk of developing diabetes and 95% CIs were calculated using conditional logistic regression analysis of matched case-referent sets (EGRET, Epidemiological Graphics Estimation and Testing Packages; Statistical and Epidemiological Research, Seattle, WA). For the dietary analyses the variables were dichotomized using the 75th percentile of the distribution in case subjects and referents for the cutoff. ORs associated with high intake (above the 75th percentile) of certain nutrient or food groups were adjusted for the high intake of energy by including both dichotomous variables into the same model (nutrient/food and total energy intake). For the analyses of diet and growth, the intake of energy and average SD scores were grouped into three levels of exposure using the values of the 33rd and 66th percentiles of the distribution for the cutoff.

**RESULTS** — Of 206 foods and dishes listed on the interview form, both case subjects and referents consumed 77 items on average. The mean frequency of intake and average portion size did not differ significantly between the case and referent children (data not shown), but mean registered amounts of food tended to be slightly higher for the case subjects (32 and 30 g, respectively, P = 0.08). The average daily intake of energy, protein, fat, carbohydrates, and selected groups of food was higher among the case subjects compared with the referents (Table 1).

There was a crude association between high intake (above the 75th percentile) of energy, protein, and carbohydrates with increased diabetes risk (Table 2). When analyzing different types of carbohydrates, high intake of disaccharides,
and sucrose in particular, was associated with increased risk. The association with high intake of carbohydrates, as well as disaccharides and sucrose, remained significant even after the adjustment for high intake of energy (Table 2).

High intake (above the 75th percentile) of milk, bread, and candy was associated with increased risk of type 1 diabetes (Table 2). There was no association with high intake of soft drinks. The intake of milk was high among the study participants: one-third of children consumed over 1,000 g milk/day. When adjusted for the total energy intake, only high intake of bread remained a significant risk factor (Table 2). High intake of foods containing nitrosamines was not associated with increased risk of diabetes (OR 1.29 [95% CI 0.71–2.37]).

Mean SD scores of measurements taken during the 4-year period preceding the diagnosis or interview tended to be higher for the diabetic compared with the referent children (Table 1). Compared with the lowest category, the risk of diabetes increased if the mean previous weight-for-age SD scores were in the medium or high categories (Table 3, model 1). Similar association was found with previous height-for-age and weight-for-height. ORs (95% CI) were 2.38 (1.01–5.58) for medium and 2.32 (1.00–5.38) for high height-for-age. For weight-for-height the corresponding ORs were 3.83 (1.19–12.30) and 4.09 (1.23–13.63), respectively. The increase in risk was, however, not linear, as ORs in the medium and high categories were of the same magnitude. The association was similar in boys and girls (data not shown).

There was a crude association between high intake of energy and increased diabetes risk (Table 3, model 1). When adjusted for each other by including into the same model, both higher previous relative weight and high intake of energy were associated with increased risk for type 1 diabetes, suggesting an independent effect (Table 3, model 2).

**CONCLUSIONS** — This study indicates that among the 7- to 14-year-old children, higher intake of energy 1 year before the diabetes diagnosis, perhaps especially in the form of disaccharides and sucrose, is associated with increased risk of diabetes. Previous findings (10–15) of a larger body size as a risk determinant of childhood-onset diabetes were confirmed. In this study we were also able to show that higher energy intake and higher relative body weight seem to be independently associated with diabetes risk. As diet may play different roles in different age-groups (9), the selected age interval limits the possibility to draw inferences outside the 7- to 14-year range.

The main results on dietary intake are in accordance with our previous larger, nationwide study based on mailed food frequency questionnaires (8). In that study the frequency of intake of foods rich in protein, fat, and carbohydrate, includ-
ing mono- and disaccharides, were found to be risk factors in addition to foods rich in nitrosamine. The latter association could not be confirmed clearly in the present study, perhaps due to lower power. Contrary to our previous findings (8), but in agreement with other reports (6,7), high intake of cow’s milk was associated with increased risk of diabetes in the present study, although the association was no longer significant after the adjustment for the high energy intake.

It is unlikely that the precision of growth data retrieved from Child Health Clinics and Schools would systematically differ between the case subjects and referents. To decrease the impact of possible measurement errors, we used a mean SD score of several measurements and excluded the measurements taken during the last 3 months preceding the diagnosis, when growth of the pre-diabetic children may be influenced by the metabolic disturbances. Unfortunately, growth data were not available for 25 (25%) case subjects and 37 (21%) referents (P = 0.37). Still, our conclusions of larger body size as a risk factor for childhood-onset diabetes should be reliable.

Only subjects with information available on both diet and growth were included into the analyses of energy intake and relative weight (67 of 98 matched datasets). However, as the dietary intake did not differ significantly between the children with and without growth data, the result should not be biased. Further, dietary data for one of the referents were missing in 20 matched sets. In the extreme situation, the missing referents may have had a distribution of the exposure close to that of the case subjects. However, when sucrose and energy distribution of case subjects was randomly assigned to the missing referents, the ORs were lower but still statistically significant (data not shown).

Although the determination of diabetes type was made on clinical grounds, we do not believe that misclassification would be of concern. Only 31 (0.5%) type 2 diabetic case subjects were found in Sweden among ~6,000 prevalent case subjects aged 0–18 years in 2001 (18). In the current study, three (3.9%) case subjects and three (2.0%) referents would be classified as obese according to a recently suggested international definition (19), also supporting the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes.

We did not have information about the pubertal stage of the study participants. However, this should not confound the conclusions regarding dietary intake. The referent group is population based and large enough to expect random distribution of pubertal stages among the children of different ages. Adjustment for the relative weight-for-age, a proxy of the pubertal development, hardly changed the effect of high energy intake.

The dietary history method faces specific difficulties in childhood. It may be difficult for the parent to estimate the dietary intake of the child, as she/he is eating not only at home but also at school or at daycare and younger children have a limited ability to cooperate in the interview themselves. The development of the current questionnaire was based on previous experience, and evaluation of the method showed that it performed satisfactorily in school children regarding both reliability and reproducibility (16). The reliability of retrospective evaluation of the diet 1 year earlier is, however, not known; therefore caution is necessary for the interpretation of the results. Much emphasis was placed on the training of the interviewers on how to avoid a biased way of asking questions, and the same dietitian interviewed case subjects and matched referents. An interviewer bias resulting in a differential misclassification would probably primarily be reflected by a higher number of food items listed or by reported larger portion sizes. The differences observed between the case subjects and referents were, however, mainly a result of differences in the selection of foods and not in the number of food items or portion sizes.

To minimize the possibility of disease-dependent recall bias and to help remember the situation 1 year earlier, the interview started by mapping general food habits and meal patterns. A system of cross-checking to the meal pattern as well as to a listing of the dishes served at the schools in question during the reference period was used throughout the interview. In Sweden all children receive free school lunches, and no commercial school cafeterias are available in schools. The families of case children were interviewed in the hospital shortly after receiving dietary instructions in association with diabetes diagnosis, which may have influenced their recall of the dietary intake, particularly regarding “harmful” foods containing rapidly absorbed sugars. Thus, the possibility of disease-dependent recall bias cannot be excluded, and some caution is needed in the interpretation of the results regarding dietary intake, especially the association of the high intake of disaccharides and sucrose with increased diabetes risk. It may also be possible that our findings reflect the natural course of diabetes development. It has been shown in the Diabetes Prevention Trial (20) that hypoglycemia may occur in the pre-diabetic individuals during the last few years before diabetes diagnosis. Also in our study, irregular insulin secretion and hypoglycemic episodes before the diagnosis of diabetes could have led to a higher intake of specifically sucrose and other sources of disaccharides.

Even keeping all possible shortcomings in mind, our data may have important implications for understanding the causes of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes. The autoimmune destruction of the B-cell is probably a slow process, ongoing for several years before the clinical onset (21), and may be initiated early in life (2,22). Still, not all individuals who show signs of au-

---

### Table 3—Previous intake of energy and relative body weight as risk factors for type 1 diabetes in 7- to 14-year-old Swedish children: crude (model 1) and adjusted ORs (model 2) in 67 sets of case subjects and age- and sex-matched referents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure level</th>
<th>Model 1: crude OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 2: adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;33rd)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (33–66th)</td>
<td>1.49 (0.60–3.72)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.52–3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (&gt;66th)</td>
<td>5.21 (1.69–16.12)</td>
<td>5.23 (1.67–16.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight-for-age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;33rd)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium (33–66th)</td>
<td>2.76 (1.19–6.38)</td>
<td>3.20 (1.30–7.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (&gt;66th)</td>
<td>2.81 (1.15–6.86)</td>
<td>3.09 (1.16–8.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustment was done by including energy intake and average weight-for-age SD score into the same model.
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toimmunity to the β-cell will develop clinical diabetes (23,24). Increased body mass and other factors overload the β-cells by increased insulin demand may accelerate the autoimmune destruction and make the disease overt earlier and in a larger number of susceptible individuals, as suggested by the accelerator hypothesis (2,25). Hyperglycemia increases the expression of GAD autoantibodies on the β-cell surface and may upregulate the ongoing autoimmune process (26). Moreover, in vitro cytokine toxicity is increased in hyperglycemic milieu and may trigger β-cell death due to apoptosis (27). Higher intake of energy, especially in the form of rapidly absorbed sugars, would directly stimulate more insulin secretion and increase the immediate workload to the β-cells. In the long-term perspective, higher intake of energy in childhood would promote both more rapid linear growth and accumulation of fat tissue. The resulting reduced tissue sensitivity to insulin, due to increased growth hormone secretion (28), increased fat mass, and decreased physical activity, would require more insulin secretion and overload the β-cells.

In conclusion, our study supports the idea that higher energy intake, as well as a larger relative body size, which implies more growth in both length and fat mass, may accelerate the ongoing β-cell destruction and lead to an earlier clinical presentation of diabetes (2,25). Overnutrition in childhood may contribute to the increasing incidence of childhood-onset type 1 diabetes reported from many countries all over the world (29), which also seems to correlate with estimates of wealth (30).
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