

OBSERVATIONS

Poor Correlation of Pulse-Wave Velocity and Intima-Media Thickness in Diabetic Subjects

Various noninvasive in vivo assessments have recently been applied to the estimation of atherosclerosis in subjects with diabetes. The measurement of pulse-wave velocity (PWV) has been popular with the advance of apparatus, especially in Japan (1–3). However, it remains unknown whether PWV may be valid and reproducible, especially in diabetic study populations (4). In a recent issue of *Diabetes Care*, Hope et al. (5) addressed the important question of whether it is valid to apply PWV in diabetic subjects. They found that the generalized transfer function, as derived in an index population, did not describe the relationship between peripheral and central arterial waveforms (4,5).

In the present study, we examined the relationship between PWV and another technique to evaluate the early atherosclerotic lesion, i.e., intima-media thickness (IMT) of the carotid artery in subjects with type 2 diabetes and those without it. IMT of the common carotid artery was measured with high-resolution B-mode ultrasonography (SSA-770A; Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) with an electrical linear transducer (midfrequency 7.5 MHz), as previously reported (6,7). The localized thickness >2.0 mm was excluded as plaque lesion (6). Brachial-ankle PWV (baPWV) was measured with an automated device (ABI-form; Nippon Colin, Komaki, Japan) that can monitor bilateral brachial and ankle-pressure wave forms using the volume plethysmographic method (1–3). The study population included a total of 245 consecutive Japanese subjects with type 2 diabetes (128 men and 117 women, mean age 65.6 ± 9.2 years [means \pm SD]) and a total of 91 subjects without diabetes (35 men and 56 women, mean age 62.3 ± 9.9 years). Although there was a significant positive correlation between baPWV and IMT in

subjects with diabetes, the coefficient was very small ($r^2 = 0.033$, $P < 0.005$). In contrast, there was a significant correlation with high coefficient between baPWV and IMT in subjects without diabetes ($r^2 = 0.286$, $P < 0.0001$). Furthermore, baPWV was not significantly related to the presence of plaque in either group.

This preliminary result suggests that PWV is not a feasible index for the early atherosclerotic lesion. It is not, at least in diabetic subjects, considered to be an equivalent to IMT or plaque, which are established indexes for early and advanced atherosclerotic lesion, respectively. Our result was in contrast to another report (1) that indicated a significant positive correlation between PWV and IMT in both diabetic ($r = 0.482$) and control ($r = 0.424$) subjects. It remains to be elucidated whether PWV can be used as a reliable index to evaluate antiatherogenic action of some drug in diabetic subjects (3).

YUICHI NISHI, MD^{1,2}
HIROYUKI KOSHIYAMA, MD, PHD^{1,2}
SACHIKO HONJO, MD¹
YUTAKA SEINO, MD, PHD^{2,3}

From the ¹Center for Diabetes and Endocrinology, Tazuke Kofukai Foundation Medical Research Institute, Kitano Hospital, Osaka, Japan; the ²Department of Diabetes and Clinical Nutrition, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; and the ³Center for Diabetes and Nutrition, Kansai Denryoku Hospital, Osaka, Japan.

Address correspondence to Dr. Hiroyuki Koshiyama, MD, Kitano Hospital, Tazuke Kofukai Foundation Medical Research Institute, Center for Diabetes and Endocrinology, Osaka 530-8480, Japan. E-mail: h-koshiyama@kitano-hp.or.jp.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Taniwaki H, Kashiwagi T, Emoto M, Shoji T, Kand H, Maekawa K, Nishizawa Y, Morii H: Correlation between the intima-media thickness of the carotid artery and aortic pulse-wave velocity in patients with type 2 diabetes: vessel wall properties in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 22:1851–1857, 1999
2. Nakamura U, Iwase M, Nohara S, Kanai H, Ichikawa K, Iida M: Usefulness of brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity measurement: correlation with abdominal aortic calcification. *Hyperten Res* 26:163–167, 2003
3. Satoh N, Ogawa Y, Usui T, Tagami T, Kono S, Uesugi H, Sugiyama H, Sugawara

A, Yamada K, Shimatsu A, Kuzuya H, Nakao K: Antiatherogenic effect of pioglitazone in type 2 diabetic patients irrespective of the responsiveness to its anti-diabetic effect. *Diabetes Care* 26:2493–2494, 2003

4. Mather K, Lewanczuk R: Measurement of arterial stiffness in diabetes (Editorial). *Diabetes Care* 27:831–833, 2004
5. Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT, Cameron JD: Use of arterial transfer functions for the derivation of central aortic waveform characteristics in subjects with type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* 27:746–751, 2004
6. Minamikawa J, Yamauchi M, Tanaka S, Koshiyama H: Carotid arterial intimal-medial thickening and plaque formation in NIDDM (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 21:323–324, 1998
7. Koshiyama H, Shimono D, Kuwamura N, Minamikawa J, Nakamura Y: Inhibitory effect of pioglitazone on carotid arterial wall thickness in type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:3452–3456, 2001

The Human Insulin Analog Aspart Can Induce Insulin Allergy

The human insulin analog aspart is produced by recombinant technology that replaces the proline at position 28 on the β -chain of insulin with negatively charged aspartic acid. Insulin aspart exists as hexamers that rapidly dissociate into monomers and dimers after the subcutaneous injection (1); it appears that it has a reduced antigenicity. It has been confirmed to be less immunogenic for development of antibodies than human insulin (2). Several case reports indicated that the human insulin analog aspart does not cause insulin allergy and is a safe alternative in insulin allergy (3,4). But a case report showed that the patient developed cutaneous allergic reactions not only to human insulin but also to the analogs aspart and lispro (5). However, here we report two cases of cutaneous allergic reaction to insulin aspart (Novorapid; Novo Nordisk, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) and no allergic reactions to human insulin (Novolin R and Novolin N; Novo Nordisk).

A 48-year-old woman with type 2 diabetes diagnosed 5 years prior was re-

for, has received honoraria from, and has received grant support from Eli Lilly.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

.....

References

1. American Diabetes Association: Consensus development conference on antipsychotic drugs and obesity (Consensus Statement). *Diabetes Care* 27:596–601, 2004
2. Dinan TG, Kohen D: Atypical antipsychotics and diabetic propensity: more questions than answers. *Hum Psychopharmacol Clin Exp* 18:591–593, 2003
3. Buse JB: A retrospective cohort study of diabetes mellitus and antipsychotic treatment in the United States. *J Clin Epidemiol* 56:164–170, 2003
4. Cerri K, Bushe CJ, Haddad P: How valid are retrospective epidemiological studies in evaluating differential rates of diabetes mellitus attributed to antipsychotics? *Schizophr Res* 67:S367, 2004
5. Food and Drug Administration: Ablify (aripiprazole) tablets [article online], 2003. Available from http://www.fda.gov/cder/foi/nda/2002/21-436_Abilify.htm. Accessed 1 June 2004
6. Cavazzoni P, Mukhopadhyay N, Carlson C, Brier A, Buse J: Retrospective analysis of risk factors in patients with treatment emergent diabetes during clinical trials of antipsychotic medications. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 47:S94–S101, 2004
7. Bushe CJ, Leonard B: Association between atypical antipsychotic agents and type 2 diabetes: review of prospective clinical data. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 47:S87–S93, 2004
8. Lieberman JA, Phillips M, Gu H, Stroup S, Zhang P, Kong L, Ji Z, Koch G, Hamer RM: Atypical and conventional antipsychotic drugs in treatment-naïve first-episode schizophrenia: a 52-week randomized trial of clozapine vs chlorpromazine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 28:995–1003, 2003
9. Citrome L: Antipsychotic medication treatment and new prescriptions for insulin and oral hypoglycaemics. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 13 (Suppl. 4):S306, 2003
10. Ryan MC, Collins P, Thakore JH: Impaired fasting glucose tolerance in first-episode, drug-naïve patients with schizophrenia. *Am J Psychiatry* 160:284–289, 2003
11. Bushe CJ, Holt R: Prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in patients with schizophrenia. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 47:S67–S71, 2004

Consensus Development Conference on Antipsychotic Drugs and Obesity and Diabetes

Response to consensus statement

The report from the Consensus Development Conference on Antipsychotic Drugs and Obesity and Diabetes Consensus Panel (1) contains valuable advice for the appropriate and prudent monitoring of patients who are at risk for type 2 diabetes. The recommendations made are similar to a prior consensus conference (2) and, if they are followed, will benefit our vulnerable patients by the early identification and treatment of metabolic disorders.

However, the report probably overreaches available evidence when suggesting that clinicians should consider prescribing one antipsychotic over another with the aim of avoiding diabetes. Although clear differences exist in liability for weight gain (and consequently dyslipidemias), quantifiable risk differences among the second-generation antipsychotics regarding an association with diabetes have been inconsistent in large published pharmacoepidemiological studies (3). For this reason, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has notified the manufacturers of the second-generation antipsychotics that product labeling for all drugs in that class will require a new warning about hyperglycemia and diabetes (4). Furthermore, the risk attributable to antipsychotics appears small compared with established risk factors such as family history and advancing age. From the evidence, choosing a second-generation antipsychotic medication does not, in and of itself, have significant predictive value for treatment-emergent diabetes.

Moreover, the report did not adequately address the complex issues regarding antipsychotic efficacy. Although clinical trials may not show big differences in efficacy among antipsychotic groups, modest differences do exist, favoring not only clozapine but also risperidone and olanzapine (5). Although the consensus panel report acknowledged the

primacy of appropriate treatment, for example with clozapine having unique benefits for treatment-refractory patients and those at significant risk for suicidal behavior, other antipsychotics may also have a favorable efficacy profile among treatment-refractory patients in specific treatment domains such as cognitive dysfunction (favoring risperidone and olanzapine) (6). Efficacy considerations are particularly important among patients with persistent aggressive behavior (again, favoring clozapine). Further complicating the risk-benefit equation is the observation that weight gain may be associated with response in about half of the responders to clozapine or olanzapine (7). In addition, interindividual differences in response may be huge, leading to patients receiving several sequential medication trials over the years to find the optimal regimen for that individual.

As clinicians and researchers in a state-operated psychiatric center, where the average patient has failed a number of medication trials, we find obtaining an adequate antipsychotic medication response to be a major challenge. When such a response is achieved, a major focus is to manage somatic problems should they emerge. Efficacy is the prime mover for treatment decisions (8). A switch from a beneficial antipsychotic regimen is usually the last resort after other management approaches have not been successful. Taken out of context, the consensus conference report might lead the inexperienced practitioner to switch medications prematurely and thus expose the patient to the risk of a deterioration in their symptoms and, ultimately, relapse.

LESLIE CITROME, MD, MPH
JAN VOLAVKA, MD, PHD

From the Clinical Research and Evaluation Facility, Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, New York University School of Medicine, New York, New York.

Address correspondence to Dr. Leslie Citrome, New York University School of Medicine, Nathan S. Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Clinical Research and Evaluation Facility, Orangeburg, NY 10962. E-mail: citrome@nki.rfmh.org.

L.C. has received honoraria from Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Eli Lilly, Novartis, and Pfizer; has received research support from Abbott Laboratories, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, Janssen, Eli Lilly, and Repligen; and holds stock in Bristol-Meyers-Squibb, Eli Lilly, Merck, and Pfizer. J.V. has received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers-Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, and Eli Lilly and research support from GlaxoSmithKline.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

1. American Diabetes Association: Consensus development conference on antipsychotic drugs and obesity and diabetes (Consensus Statement). *Diabetes Care* 27: 596–601, 2004
2. Marder SR, Essock SM, Miller AL, Buchanan RW, Davis JM, Kane JM, Lieberman J, Schooler NR: The Mount Sinai Conference on the pharmacotherapy of schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* 28:5–16, 2002
3. Citrome L, Jaffe A: Relationship of atypical antipsychotics with development of diabetes mellitus. *Ann Pharmacother* 37: 1849–1857, 2003
4. Rosack J: FDA to require diabetes warning on antipsychotics. *Psychiatr News* 38(20): 1, 2003
5. Davis JM, Chen N, Glick ID: A meta-analysis of the efficacy of second-generation antipsychotics. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 60: 553–564, 2003
6. Bilder RM, Goldman RS, Volavka J, Czobor P, Hoptman M, Sheitman B, Lindenmayer JP, Citrome L, McEvoy J, Kunz M, Chakos M, Cooper TB, Horowitz TL, Lieberman JA: Neurocognitive effects of clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone, and haloperidol in patients with chronic schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 159:1018–1028, 2002
7. Czobor P, Volavka J, Sheitman B, Lindenmayer JP, Citrome L, McEvoy J, Cooper TB, Chakos M, Lieberman J: Antipsychotic-induced weight gain and therapeutic response: a differential association. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 22:244–251, 2002
8. Citrome L: Efficacy should drive atypical antipsychotic treatment. *Br Med J* 326: 283–284, 2003

Consensus Development Conference on Antipsychotic Drugs and Obesity and Diabetes

Response to consensus statement

We of course welcome the fact that the issue of obesity and diabetes in the management of psychosis is taken seriously by the disciplines represented in this conference (1). However, as clinical psychiatrists we are concerned that some of the matters raised may give an unintentionally misleading message.

We are far from sure, for example, that

the evidence supports the view that there is so much disparity in the incidence of obesity and diabetes among first-generation antipsychotics (FGAs) and second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs). Furthermore, there is no evidence, for that matter, of disparity between the experience in schizophrenia now and during the preantipsychotic period, especially from the 1920s onwards following the discovery of insulin. It seems likely that schizophrenia itself represents a significant risk factor for obesity and diabetes.

Moreover, concurrent substance abuse (especially of tobacco and cannabis) is frequent among this patient population (2), and this, along with well-established factors such as low activity, sedentary lifestyle, smoking, and, above all, poor diet (with reliance on processed and “junk” food), makes arguably the most significant contribution to the development of obesity, dyslipidemia, and diabetes. Relative risk due to family history or ethnicity is also highly relevant.

A failure to take these major confounding factors into account vitiates many of the conclusions concerning the metabolic effects of SGAs in recent literature. We do not think that there is currently any sufficient evidence to distinguish among antipsychotics (FGAs or SGAs), and we would not feel comfortable telling patients that a particular drug would not add to the risk of developing diabetes.

We are most anxious about the recommendation that “[i]f a patient gains >5% of his or her initial weight at any time during therapy, one should consider switching the SGA” (1). Weight fluctuation is common, can have many causes, is usually diet related (patients may be eating more regularly in the hospital than when in the community or they may have more appetite during the recovery phase of their illness), and may occur after treatment initiation but before the full therapeutic effect. For example, the preliminary data of the large-scale SOHO study (3) of over 10,000 European patients indicate that ~48% of patients put on >3 kg in weight over 1 year of treatment.

To follow literally the consensus advice could be potentially highly detrimental to the patient’s treatment. We recognize that the cost-benefit balance is duly recognized and that the recommendation that switching should be considered does not command that switching must be done. But even the recommendations of a

prestigious consensus panel have the force of law in the wider professional community, not to mention the pressure from consumers and their advocates who similarly take the recommendation as a command, considerably increasing the exposure of prescribers to litigation.

MICHAEL T. ISAAC, MD
MARIA B. ISAAC, MD, PHD

From The Ladywell Unit, South London and Maudsley National Health Service Trust, University Hospital Lewisham, Lewisham High Street, London, U.K.

Address correspondence to Dr. Michael T. Isaac, University Hospital Lewisham, South London and Maudsley National Health Service Trust, The Ladywell Unit, London SE13 6LH, U.K. E-mail: misaac@stelkel.demon.co.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

1. American Diabetes Association: Consensus development conference on antipsychotic drugs and obesity (Consensus Statement). *Diabetes Care* 27:596–601, 2004
2. McCreadie RG: Use of drugs, alcohol, and tobacco by people with schizophrenia: a case-control study. *Br J Psychiatry* 181: 321–325, 2002
3. Jones P: SOHO: longitudinal evidence for schizophrenia outcomes. *Schizophr Res* 67 (Suppl. 1):277, 2004

Consensus Development Conference on Antipsychotic Drugs and Obesity and Diabetes

Response to consensus statement

In the February 2004 issue of *Diabetes Care*, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) published a summary of their conclusions drawn from the Consensus Development Conference on Antipsychotic Drugs and Obesity and Diabetes (1). Although the ADA ranked the diabetes risk for second-generation antipsychotics (SGAs), the U.S. Food and Drug Administration’s Division of Neuropharmacological Drug Products (DNDP) does not believe that the evidence currently available allows such a ranking.

The ADA concluded that aripiprazole and ziprasidone have no effect on the risk of diabetes. The ADA notes that because

there is greater variance in the accuracy and repeatability of fetal AC measurements than in this study. This reduces the utility of this measurement in standard clinical practice as a criterion for insulin treatment.

The concept that some marker of fetal hyperinsulinemia should be the indicator for aggressive therapy in GDM is an old idea (7,8). The authors stated that fetal AC <75th percentile for gestational age as a marker of minimal adiposity reliably excludes elevated amniotic fluid insulin levels on the day of amniocentesis. However, Kainer et al. (9) found that the sensitivity of excess AC to predict pathological amniotic fluid insulin was only 67% and the specificity was 53%, and Schaefer-Graf et al. (10) originally found that 11 of 74 fetuses with AC <75th percentile had amniotic fluid insulin >97th percentile for 822 nondiabetic control subjects in the landmark study of Weiss (11). Schaefer-Graf et al. also originally showed that the majority of fetuses with AC >75th percentile had normal amniotic fluid insulin. The variance between fetal AC and amniotic fluid insulin is not surprising, since amniotic fluid insulin can vary with time of day and maternal-fetal glycemia in metabolically unstable women.

One wonders why 75 infants of GDM mothers in the German study (1), with normal fetal AC and no hyperglycemia on 2 days/week, had a 19% rate of neonatal hypoglycemia and 17% transfer to NICU. Perhaps it was related to the inclusion of subjects who smoked up to five cigarettes per day, or perhaps it was related to hyperglycemia on the nonmonitored days. The concept that all we have to worry about in GDM is fetal adiposity ignores the long-established fact that nonmacrosomic infants of diabetic mothers can have neonatal morbidity. Furthermore, recent data show that maternal-fetal hyperglycemia can affect fetal/placental gene expression, which might be important in short- and long-term outcomes. Finally, measurement of glycemic responses to daily food intake in GDM is attractive for its educational value in women at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes.

JOHN L. KITZMILLER, MD

From the Good Samaritan Hospital, Los Gates, California.

Address correspondence to Dr. John L. Kitzmiller, Good Samaritan Hospital, 105 Johnson Hollow, Los Gates, CA 95030. E-mail: kitz@batnet.com.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

- Schaefer-Graf UM, Kjos SL, Fauzan OH, Buehling KJ, Siebert G, Buehrer C, Laden-dorf B, Dudenhausen JW, Vetter K: A randomized trial evaluating a predominantly fetal growth-based strategy to guide management of gestational diabetes in Caucasian women. *Diabetes Care* 27: 297–302, 2004
- Langer O, Rodriguez DA, Xenakis EMJ, McFarland MB, Berkus MD, Arredondo F: Intensified versus conventional management of gestational diabetes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 170:1036–1047, 1994
- Langer O, Conway DL, Berkus MD, Xenakis EMJ, Gonzales O: A comparison of glyburide and insulin in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 343:1134–1138, 2000
- Hod M, Rabinerson D, Kaplan B, Peled Y, Bar J, Shindel B, Merlob P, Ovadia J, Neri A: Perinatal complications following gestational diabetes mellitus: how sweet is ill? *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 75:809–815, 1996
- Hod M, Bar J, Peled Y, Fried S, Katz I, Itzhak M, Ashkenazi S, Schindel B, Ben-Rafael Z: Antepartum management protocol: timing and mode of delivery in gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 21 (Suppl. 2):B113–B117, 1998
- Kitzmilller JL, Elixhauser A, Carr S, Major CA, De Veciana M, Dang-Kilduff L, We-schler JM: Assessment of costs and benefits of management of gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 21 (Suppl. 2): B123–B130, 1998
- Weiss PAM, Lichtenberger W, Winter R, Puerstner P: Insulin levels in amniotic fluid: management of pregnancy in diabetes. *Obstet Gynecol* 51:393–397, 1978
- Ogata ES, Sabbagha R, Metzger BE, Phelps RL, Depp R, Freinkel N: Serial ultrasonography to assess evolving fetal macrosomia: studies in 23 pregnant diabetic women. *JAMA* 243:2405–2408, 1980
- Kainer F, Weiss PAM, Huettner U, Hass J: Ultrasound growth parameters in relation to levels of amniotic fluid insulin in women with diabetes type-I. *Early Hum Devel* 49:113–121, 1997
- Schaefer-Graf UM, Kjos SL, Buehling KJ, Henrich W, Brauer M, Heinze T, Dudenhausen JW, Vetter K: Amniotic fluid insulin levels and fetal abdominal circumference at time of amniocentesis in pregnancies with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 20: 349–354, 2003
- Hoffman HMH, Weiss PAM, Puerstner P, Hass J, Gmoser G, Tarmussino K, Schmon B: Serum fructosamine and amniotic fluid insulin levels in patients with gestational diabetes and healthy control subjects. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 162:1174–1177, 1990

Randomized Trial Evaluating a Predominately Fetal Growth-Based Strategy to Guide Management of Gestational Diabetes in Caucasian Women

Response to Kitzmiller

We truly understand the concerns raised by our study (1) investigating a mainly fetal growth-based management strategy of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), since our data questioned the maternal glycemia-based management that we have used for decades. We are grateful for the opportunity of discussion.

Kitzmilller (2) is right that two profiles per week may not be considered as intensified management and that we might have missed occasional events of hyperglycemia. The large-for-gestational-age (LGA) rate in the standard group might have been slightly lower with more insulin therapy. But then we would have ended up with a rate of LGA newborns lower than the normal 10%. The tight blood glucose treatment in the ultrasound group is part of the concept of ultrasound-based management that concentrates intensive intervention on fetuses at risk (3,4).

In contrast to most areas of medicine, a major dilemma in obstetrics is that there is no direct approach to the fetus. We have to rely on methods of surveillance that give us only indirect information about the well-being of the fetus. This implicates, per se, a lack of reliability. We all know about the high false-positive rate of fetal heart tracing. We are faced with the same problem in the management of GDM. From the Pedersen hypothesis, we know that severe maternal hyperglycemia is harmful for the fetus. Fasting glucose values >95 mg/dl and 2-h postprandial glucose >120 mg/dl are considered as an indication for insulin therapy. But where is the evidence for these values to be accepted as normal? When we look at the glucose values from pregnant women with normal glucose tolerance from Parretti's data (5), our thresholds seem to be too high. Where is the evidence that the

abetic and nondiabetic subjects in the study of Mohanlal and Holman (1) is significant by the criterion of AUC because diabetic subjects have much higher fasting triglyceride levels (1.35 vs. 0.77 mmol/l), but when the criterion of IAUC is used, diabetic subjects do not have a significantly higher postprandial triglyceride response. Thus the greater "exposure" of diabetic subjects suggested in this study is mainly due to higher fasting triglycerides than excessive postprandial increase.

The extremely high ($r = 0.909$) correlation found between postchallenge and fasting triglyceride levels is misleading because fasting levels contribute >70% to the postprandial levels.

We have recently shown (3), using a test meal containing 40 g fat, 19 g protein, and only 10 g carbohydrates in nondiabetic subjects, that the triglyceride increment over the fasting value and the IAUC were not correlated with the fasting triglyceride concentration. Thus postprandial hypertriglyceridemia can occur irrespective of the fasting triglyceride concentrations and is better described by incremental values.

We think that each test meal has its advantages and disadvantages and that until a universally accepted oral triglyceride tolerance test (in analogy to the oral glucose tolerance test) is developed, test meals should be in each study and, moreover, incremental rather than absolute triglyceride values should be used for the evaluation of postchallenge hypertriglyceridemia.

ANASTASIA C. THANOPOULOU, MD
 BASIL G. KARAMANOS, MD
 DEMETRA P. ROUSSI, RD

From the Diabetes Center, 2nd Medical Department, Athens University Medical School, Hippokratia Hospital, Athens, Greece.

Address correspondence to Basil G. Karamanos, MD, Associate Professor of Medicine, Athens University, Diabetes Centre, Hippokratia Hospital, Vas. Sofias 114, Athens 115 27, Greece. E-mail: hippokratia@aias.gr.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Mohanlal N, Holman RR: A standardized triglyceride and carbohydrate challenge: the oral triglyceride tolerance test. *Diabetes Care* 27:89–94, 2004
2. Carstensen M, Thomsen C, Hermansen K: Incremental area under response curve more accurately describes the triglyceride response to an oral fat load in both healthy

and type 2 diabetic subjects. *Metabolism* 52:1034–1037, 2003

3. Karamanos BG, Thanopoulou AC, Roussi-Penessi DP: Maximal postprandial triglyceride increase reflects postprandial hypertriglyceridaemia and is associated with the insulin resistance syndrome. *Diabet Med* 18:32–39, 2001

A Standardized Triglyceride and Carbohydrate Challenge

Response to Thanopoulou, Karamanos, and Roussi

We welcome the comments by Thanopoulou, Karamanos, and Roussi (1), who emphasize the unmet need for a standardized method to assess triglyceride tolerance. In the absence of an acceptable test meal that could readily be made available worldwide, our oral triglyceride tolerance test (OTTT) (2) provides a practical solution for the reproducible evaluation of postchallenge triglyceride tolerance in diabetic and nondiabetic subjects. The incorporation of 50 g of carbohydrate in the OTTT makes it more akin to everyday meals in that fat is usually ingested with carbohydrate and thus more likely to reflect the daily postprandial situation than an isolated fat load. We agree that careful interpretation and reporting of the lipid profiles observed is essential, particularly given the well-recognized close association between fasting and postchallenge triglyceride levels. The routine use of a standardized challenge such as the OTTT would permit more comparable data to be obtained for both clinical and trial-related evaluations of triglyceride tolerance. This in turn would allow for more precise determination of the relative importance of postchallenge triglyceride levels on clinical outcomes and the potential impact of therapies that might differentially affect them.

NINA MOHANLAL, MBBS
 RURY R. HOLMAN, FRCP

From the Churchill Hospital, Diabetes Trials Unit, Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Headington, U.K.

Address correspondence to Professor Rury Holman, Diabetes Trials Unit, Oxford Centre for Diabe-

tes, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Churchill Hospital, Headington, Oxford OX37LJ, U.K. E-mail: rury.holman@dtu.ox.ac.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Thanopoulou AC, Karamanos BG, Roussi DP: A standardized triglyceride and carbohydrate challenge (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:2092–2093, 2004
2. Mohanlal N, Holman RR: A standardized triglyceride and carbohydrate challenge: the oral triglyceride tolerance test. *Diabetes Care* 27:89–94, 2004

Role of Simvastatin as an Immunomodulator in Type 2 Diabetes

Response to Lopes-Virella et al.

In reading the article by Lopes-Virella et al. (1), we were rather concerned that the authors referred to "creatinine kinase" as a marker of cardiac injury. We are certain that the authors wanted to refer to creatine kinase, which is usually abbreviated as CK, and not "creatinine kinase," as such an enzyme does not exist. Creatine kinase catalyzes the reversible phosphorylation of creatine to phosphorylcreatine, whereas the conversion of creatine to creatinine requires no enzymatic input.

A Medline search performed on 24 April 2004 for the incorrect term "creatinine kinase" revealed a total of 356 entries. Organizing these entries according to which decade they were published, we could see that from 1964 to 1974 there were 2 entries, from 1974 to 1984 there were 20 entries, from 1984 to 1994 there were 128 entries, and finally (rather worryingly) from 1994 to 2004 there were 206 entries. It is evident that the number of entries for the incorrect term "creatinine kinase" has been steadily increasing. We are deeply concerned about this unjustified increase and wish to make more authors aware of the correct terminology.

MICHELLE PETROU, BSC¹
 MARIALENA GREGORIADES, MB, CHB²
 VASSILIOS VASSILIOU, MB, BS³

From the ¹Faculty of Clinical Sciences, Royal Free and University College, London, U.K.; the ²Depart-

ment of Renal Medicine, Middlesex Hospital, University College London Hospitals, London, U.K.; and the ³Department of Cardiology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge University Teaching Hospitals, Cambridge, U.K.

Address correspondence to Dr. Vassilios Vassiliou, 3 Ventress Farm Ct., CB1 8HD Cambridge, U.K. E-mail: vassiliou@doctors.org.uk.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Lopes-Virella MF, Mironova M, Stephan E, Durazo-Arvizu, Virella G: Role of simvastatin as an immunomodulator in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27:908–913, 2004

Improper Insulin Compliance May Lead to Hepatomegaly and Elevated Hepatic Enzymes in Type 1 Diabetic Patients

Response to Yu and Howard

We read with interest the recent letter by Yu and Howard (1) describing recurrent episodes of hepatomegaly and pronounced elevation of liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase [AST] and alanine aminotransferase [ALT]) in type 1 diabetic patients with poor metabolic control and treated with high daily doses of insulin (1.3–2.2 units

• $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$), considering the extra doses are to correct frequent hyperglycemia and/or diabetic ketoacidosis.

Here we describe similar experiences in our patients affected by autoimmune and nonautoimmune diabetes in the pediatric age range. In particular, we have observed a child with diabetes onset at the age of 66 days that was probably not of autoimmune origin (2) because he did not present with type 1 diabetes–susceptible non–Asp/Arg HLA DQ heterodimers (3) and showed the absence of islet cell antibodies, GAD, insulin autoantibodies, and insulinoma-associated protein 2. These last dosages were carried out on frozen serum because they were not all available at the time of diagnosis.

At age 3 years, he presented with the first episode of hepatomegaly and elevated liver enzymes. Other liver function tests, such as alkaline phosphatase, prothrombin/partial prothombin time, and total bilirubin, were normal. Two other episodes were reported in the following 2 years. Although his metabolic control was acceptable on all three occasions, the insulin dose was maintained at a high level for the best possible HbA_{1c} (Table 1). This dose was almost twice the usual dose used at this age and is comparable to the 2.2 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$ described by Yu and Howard.

Hepatic biopsy during the second episode showed abundant glycogen deposits in the hepatocytes and normal mitochondria. On all three occasions, continuous low-dose intravenous insulin normalized the liver enzymes in just a few days.

Now at age 15 years, aside from diabetes, he is in good health. During adolescence the maximum dosage of insulin was 1 unit • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, which was lower than the dose described by Yu and Howard, yet we found no other episodes of liver damage.

In three other patients with type 1 autoimmune diabetes, we observed a pattern of liver dysfunction during puberty similar to Yu and Howard. In all patients, diabetes was very poorly controlled despite high insulin dosage (Table 1). Liver size and enzymes in these three patients also returned to normal after intravenous insulin. No liver biopsy was performed in any of these cases.

On the basis of our experience, we agree with Yu and Howard when they indicate the pathogenesis of this liver disease in chronic overtreatment with insulin and self-induced hyperinsulinism rather than low metabolic control or diabetes etiology.

DARIO IAFUSCO, MD
ANGELA ZANFARDINO, MD
LUCIA D'ALESSANDRO, MD
FRANCESCO PRISCO, MD, PHD

From the Department of Pediatrics, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy.

Address correspondence to Dr. Dario Iafusco, MD, Second University of Naples, Department of Pediatrics, Via S. Andrea delle Dame n 4, 80139 Naples, Italy. E-mail: dario.iafusco@unina2.it.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Yu YM, Howard CP: Improper insulin compliance may lead to hepatomegaly

Table 1

Patient initials, sex, diabetes onset	First episode age, insulin dose, HbA _{1c} (%), AST, ALT (units/ml)	Second episode age, insulin dose, HbA _{1c} (%), AST, ALT (units/ml)	Third episode age, insulin dose, HbA _{1c} (%), AST, ALT (units/ml)
G.V., male, age 66 days	3.1 years, 1.3 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 5.5, 8,210, 5,880	3.8 years, 1.2 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 7.0, 2,000, 1,000	5.4 years, 1.1 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 8.0, 1,824, 1,604
B.A., female, age 13 years	17 years, 1.5 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 12, 1,420, 1,290		
O.S., female, age 11 years	12 years, 1.5 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 9.8, 1,370, 1,120		
P.V., female, age 7 years	11 years, 1.1 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 13.8, 840, 720	13 years, 1.4 units • $\text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$, 12.0, 299, 260 amylase 362	

and elevated hepatic enzymes in type 1 diabetic patients (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 27:619–620, 2004

2. Iafusco D, Stazi MA, Cotichini R, Cotellessa M, Martinucci ME, Mazzella M, Cherubini V, Barbetti F, Martinetti M, Cerutti F, Prisco F, the Early Onset Diabetes Study Group of the Italian Society of Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology: Permanent diabetes mellitus in the first year of life. *Diabetologia* 45:798–804, 2002
3. Iafusco D, Prisco F, Stoppoloni O, Accolla RS, Tosi G: HLA-DQ genotype in early onset insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab* 12:887–890, 1999

Peripheral Arterial Disease in People With Diabetes

Response to consensus statement

As a general internist, I read the newest American Diabetes Association consensus statement (1) on peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in diabetic patients with great interest. In the statement, it is recommended that “a screening ABI (ankle-brachial index) should be performed in patients >50 years of age who have diabetes.” The justification for this recommendation seems to be that PAD in diabetic patients is common, underdiagnosed, and results in increased morbidity.

I had assumed that the consensus statement was based on solid evidence, such as a systematic review. What seems to be lacking in this recommendation, as pointed out in the consensus statement, is proof that treating risk factors other than smoking halts the progression of or decreases morbidity in PAD.

As a pragmatist, I wonder what percentage of primary care providers currently own a Doppler machine and have been trained to use it and how many will purchase a \$500 machine based on the recommendations of this consensus statement? How many minutes will it add to the day to screen all diabetic patients, and will insurance companies reimburse for screening asymptomatic patients? How often should screening be repeated? Will asymptomatic patients under optimal

management benefit from knowing they have moderate PAD?

Would it not be better to make such a recommendation for screening after the evidence suggests benefit from tighter control of hyperglycemia, hypertension, and dyslipidemia?

ROBERT EUGENE HOYT, MD, FACP

From the Internal Medicine Department, Naval Hospital Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida.

Address correspondence to Robert Hoyt, Internal Medicine Department, Naval Hospital Pensacola, 6000 Highway 98 West, Pensacola, FL 32512. E-mail: robert.e.hoyt@pcola.med.navy.mil.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. American Diabetes Association: Peripheral arterial disease in people with diabetes (Consensus Statement). *Diabetes Care* 26:3333–3341, 2003

Peripheral Arterial Disease in People With Diabetes

Response to Hoyt

The American Diabetes Association develops evidence-based clinical practice recommendations, or position statements, on medical issues related to diabetes. These statements are typically based on a thorough, balanced review of the literature.

Consensus statements are developed when there are clinical issues and insufficient evidence to produce a definitive position statement, as is the case for peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in diabetes. Before the development of a consensus statement, a panel of experts listens to presentations on the particular subject. A consensus statement represents the panel’s collective analysis, evaluation, and opinion, based in part on the presentations.

Based on the available evidence and the prevalence and burden of PAD in diabetes, the consensus panel believes that reasonable recommendations were made regarding screening and treatment.

A primary reason to diagnose PAD is to identify a person who has a high like-

lihood of having a cardiovascular event and begin intensive risk-reduction interventions. Although diabetes alone is considered a cardiovascular disease risk equivalent, most diabetic patients are not under optimal management. In fact, a recent study (1) found that just 7.3% of patients with diabetes meet the recommended goals for HbA_{1c}, blood pressure, and cholesterol. A diagnosis of PAD confers additional cardiovascular risk to the patient with diabetes and gives further impetus to aggressively manage risk factors that may be overlooked.

A diagnosis of PAD may also prevent a limb-threatening event. This applies especially to the asymptomatic patients who may suddenly develop critical limb ischemia. Thus, patients with diabetes and PAD should be placed in preventative care by foot care specialists. This includes skin care, nail care, and shoe prescriptions as recommended by the American Diabetes Association (2).

When medical societies and other organizations agree that particular screening tests are important and impact outcomes, reimbursement may likely be influenced. The panel believes that publishing these screening recommendations is the first step to ensuring that government and other health insurers reimburse for the ankle brachial index, the preferred screening test for PAD, in the future to help defray the costs of extra time and equipment that the busy practitioner must incur.

PETER SHEEHAN, MD

From the Hospital for Joint Diseases, New York, New York.

Address correspondence to Peter Sheehan, MD, Hospital for Joint Diseases, 301 East 17th St., New York, NY 10003. E-mail: peter.sheehan@med.nyu.edu.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC: Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. *JAMA* 291:335–342, 2004
2. American Diabetes Association: Preventive foot care in people with diabetes (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 26 (Suppl. 1):S78–S79, 2003

Thiazolidinedione Use, Fluid Retention, and Congestive Heart Failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association

Response to Nesto

The introduction of thiazolidinediones (TZDs) has been greeted with enthusiasm in part because of their ability to lower glucose by addressing an underlying pathological feature of diabetes and because TZDs have the potential to ameliorate several cardiovascular markers. This enthusiasm has been tempered by safety concerns. We, therefore, read with interest the American Diabetes Association/American Heart Association consensus statement (1) regarding TZD use, fluid retention, and congestive heart failure (CHF).

We disagree with portions of this statement. In individuals without symptomatic heart disease, we would not start TZDs if the patient had preexisting edema or a history of heart failure, especially since no lower range for a depressed ejection fraction is stated. While this may have a salutary effect on glucose control, it introduces unnecessary complexity and confusion when considering future symptoms and signs. Likewise, we would wait to use TZDs in patients with symptomatic heart failure until ongoing clinical trials establish the safety of these medications in this patient population. We disagree strongly with components of the monitoring section. When someone who is free of CHF develops CHF after the start of a medication known to worsen CHF, a recommendation to “reconsider” or a “dosage change” is unduly restrained, especially given the uncertain time period that is required for the effect of TZDs to dissipate. Additionally, if edema develops after the start of TZDs, the statement invites consideration of alternate causes of edema. While this recommendation is in-

tellectually defensible, it belies the reality that physicians will, with rare exception, stop a medication when a side effect develops that is clearly known to be caused by the medication. In both circumstances, we would stop the medication.

Until ongoing prospective clinical trials ascertain the safety of TZDs in the patients that we cite above, we believe that there should be a strong preference for alternate therapies.

TOM A. ELASY, MD, MPH¹
MARIE GRIFFIN, MD²

From the ¹Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee; and the ²Department of Preventive Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee.

Address correspondence to Dr. Tom A. Elasy, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Department of Medicine, S-1121 Medical Center North, 1161 21st Ave. South, Nashville, TN 37232-2587. E-mail: tom.elasy@vanderbilt.edu.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Nesto RW, Bell D, Bonow RO, Fonseca V, Grundy SM, Horton ES, Winter ML, Porte D, Semenkovich C, Smith S, Young LH, Kahn R: Thiazolidine use, fluid retention and congestive heart failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 27:256–263, 2004

Thiazolidinedione Use, Fluid Retention, and Congestive Heart Failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association

Response to Elasy and Griffin

We appreciate the letter from Elasy and Griffin (1) containing their approach to the use of thiazolidinediones (TZDs). The goal of our re-

port (2) was to increase awareness of this potentially serious side effect of TZD use. Our recommendations were based on the evidence we reviewed and discussed. We do not disagree with the opinions expressed by Elasy and Griffin and believe that these decisions are always best made by the provider, carefully weighing all of the potential benefits and risks of these medications in their particular patient. We should state that there are many scenarios with individual patients in whom cardiac disease may have been clinically evident or is present at the time TZDs are being considered. The consensus document could not consider all of these and instead aimed to provide the practitioner with general guidelines. The authors of this letter have appropriately come to their own conclusions about the use of TZDs in selected patients with diabetes.

RICHARD W. NESTO, MD

From the Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Lahey Clinic, Burlington, Massachusetts.

Address correspondence to Dr. Richard W. Nesto, Lahey Clinic, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, 41 Mall Rd., Burlington, MA 01805. E-mail: richard.w.nesto@lahey.org.

R.W.N. has received honoraria from GlaxoSmith-Kline and Takeda.

© 2004 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Elasy TA, Griffin M: Thiazolidinedione use, fluid retention, and congestive heart failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 27:2100–2101
2. Nesto RW, Bell D, Bonow RO, Fonseca V, Grundy SM, Horton ES, Winter ML, Porte D, Semenkovich C, Smith S, Young LH, Kahn R: Thiazolidine use, fluid retention and congestive heart failure: a consensus statement from the American Heart Association and American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 27:256–263, 2004