

Table 1—Drugs compatible in normal saline automatically made in D5W*

Acetazolamide	Cimetidine	Doxycycline	Norepinephrine
Alprostadil	Ciprofloxacin†	Esmolol†	Octreotide
Aminophylline†	Clindamycin†	Heparin†	Penicillins*†
Argatroban	Cyclosporine	Ibutilide	Steroids‡
Azithromycin	Dobutamine†	Lidocaine†	Valproate sodium
Cephalosporins†§	Dopamine†	Nesiritide	Vancomycin

*Includes nafcillin, penicillin G potassium, and piperacillin/tazobactam. †Manufacturer premade item(s).

‡Includes dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, and methylprednisolone. §Includes cefazolin, cefepime, cefotaxime, cefoxitin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, and cefuroxime.

study found that a modest increase in mean glucose from 80–99 to 100–119 mg/dl in an intensive care unit (ICU) setting led to a 27% relative increase in mortality (3). A prospective, randomized, controlled trial found that the use of IIT to maintain blood glucose ≤ 110 mg/dl led to relative risk reduction of death in the ICU by 42% (4). The American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) has recently published guidelines on the management of inpatient hyperglycemia (1). Protocols to prevent iatrogenic hyperglycemia induced by use of dextrose as a diluent would also decrease resource utilization by decreasing the frequency of blood glucose measurement and insulin administration.

Our preliminary observations suggest that inpatients with diabetes frequently receive intravenous medications mixed in dextrose. Review of medications used in intravenous lines while examining the patient and careful review of medications and diluents can improve glycemic status and clinical outcomes. Creating a system to correct and prevent this occurrence can improve patient care and decrease resource utilization. Future guidelines for inpatient diabetes management would be improved by including this recommendation.

BRYAN J. KRAJICEK, MD¹
YOGISH C. KUDVA, MBBS²
HEATHER A. HURLEY, PHARM³

From the ¹St. Marys Hospital, Critical Care Unit, Rochester, Minnesota; the ²Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Nutrition & Metabolism, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota; and the ³Department of Pharmacy Services, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota.

Address correspondence to Yogish C. Kudva, MD, MBBS, W18A, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Nutrition & Metabolism, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St., SW, Rochester, MN 55905. E-mail: kudva.yogish@mayo.edu.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. American College of Endocrinology: American College of Endocrinology Consensus Development Conference on Inpatient Diabetes and Metabolic Control: position statement [article online], 2003. Available from <http://www.aace.com/pub/ICC/inpatientStatement.php>
2. Trissel L: *Handbook of Injectable Drugs*. 12th ed. Bethesda, MD, American Society of Health-Systems Pharmacists, 2003
3. Krinsley J: Association between hyperglycemia and increased hospital mortality in a heterogeneous population of critically ill patients. *Mayo Clin Proc* 78:1471–1478, 2003
4. Van den Bergh G, Wouters P, Weekers F, Verwaest C, Bruyninckx F, Schetz M, Vlasselaers D, Ferdinande P, Lauwers P, Bouillon R: Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 345:1359–1367, 2001

Use of Insulin Glargine During the First Weeks of Pregnancy in Five Type 1 Diabetic Women

The long-acting analog glargine is a new insulin with 24-h persistence. This peculiar peakless action profile accounts for significant risk reduction for nocturnal hypoglycemia and a more stable daily plasma glucose profile (1,2). Only a few reports have described the use of insulin glargine during human pregnancy, so its use is not recommended at present. Animal studies have addressed the safety and efficacy of glargine during pregnancy, showing no direct effect on reproduction and embryo-fetal development (3). Recently, Devlin et al. (4) and Holstein et al. (5) reported the use of in-

sulin glargine in two type 1 diabetic women; both cases were free of any pregnancy complications and with no direct effect on embryogenesis.

We report on five case subjects who used glargine during unplanned pregnancy (Table 1). All five diabetic women attended their first diabetes evaluation at our Outpatient Clinic between November 2002 and February 2004. None had evidence of retinopathy or autonomic neuropathy and they had normal urinary albumin excretion. They initiated glargine 5–12 months before conception because of frequent nocturnal hypoglycemia and suboptimal glycemic control. Insulin glargine was started as part of a basal-bolus regimen with an average dose of 25 ± 17 IU/day (range 14–56) given as a single predinner subcutaneous injection. The first diabetes assessment after commencement of pregnancy occurred at the 6th gestational week in three cases, while the remaining women were seen at the 8th and 12th week of gestation, respectively. Their HbA_{1c} at that time was $7.3 \pm 0.8\%$. Due to the lack of controlled data on the safety and efficacy of glargine in pregnant women, all patients were switched to NPH in the morning and bedtime, along with the usual premeal insulin therapy. After 2 weeks of the new insulin regimen, three women were started on continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion because optimal control could not be attained. In all five patients, strict glycemic control was achieved, with an average HbA_{1c} of $6.0 \pm 0.2\%$ at the end of pregnancy. Pre-eclampsia developed in one patient at the 32nd week of gestation, and neither progression in retinopathy nor other microangiopathic complications were detected. Previous observations have reported that the use of glargine is associated with worsening eye disease in type 2 diabetic women (2). Babies (two males and three females) were delivered at a mean gestational age of 36.6 ± 1.1 weeks by cesarean section in all but one woman. Mean birth weight was $3,066 \pm 898$ g, with one baby >4 kg, whereas the other four babies' weights were adequate for gestational age. There was neither major nor minor congenital malformation, and none of the babies had any complications during the postpartum period.

Our experience is limited to a few subjects; nevertheless, all the observed women used insulin glargine from the preconception period to 6–12 weeks'

Table 1—Clinical characteristics of five type 1 diabetic pregnancies

Patient	Age (years)/white class*	HbA _{1c} preconception/end of pregnancy (%)	Use of glargine in pregnancy (weeks)	Glargine dose in pregnancy (IU/day)	Time of delivery (weeks)	Newborn weight (g)	Perinatal mortality and/or congenital malformation
1	32/B	7.0/6.7	6	18	35	2,220	No
2	26/C	6.4/6.4	12	56	37	3,500	No
3	41/C	7.0/6.2	8	18	38	4,400	No
4	32/D	7.6/5.8	6	14	37	2,850	No
5	27/B	8.7/5.8	6	20	36	2,360	No

*White Classification of Diabetes in Pregnancy (7). Class A: Diet alone, any duration or onset age. Class B: Onset at age ≥ 20 years, duration < 10 years. Class C: Onset between the ages of 10 and 19 years, duration 10–19 years. Class D: Onset before the age of 10 years, duration < 20 years, background retinopathy or hypertension (not pre-eclampsia). Class R: Proliferative retinopathy or vitreous hemorrhage. Class F: Nephropathy with > 500 mg/day proteinuria. Class RF: Criteria for both classes R and F coexist. Class H: Arteriosclerotic heart disease clinically evident. Class T: Prior renal transplantation. All classes below A require insulin therapy.

postconception. Hyperglycemia during the first 8 weeks of gestation, as clearly reported by Mills et al. (6), increases the risk of congenital anomalies. In our report, insulin glargine does not seem to affect embryo-fetal development in this critical period of embryogenesis. However, the small number of women and the early discontinuation of therapy with insulin glargine do not allow us to draw any final conclusion. Nevertheless, this observation, as well as other anecdotal observations, emphasizes the need for properly planned investigations.

GRAZIANO DI CIANNI, MD
LAURA VOLPE, MD
CRISTINA LENCIONI, MD
KYRIAZOULA CHATZIANAGNOSTOU, MD
ILARIA CUCCURU, MD
ALESSANDRA GHIO, MD
LUCA BENZI, MD
STEFANO DEL PRATO, MD

From the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Section of Diabetes, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy.

Address correspondence to Dr. Graziano Di Cianni, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Section of Diabetes and Metabolic Diseases, Ospedale di Cisanello, Via Paradisa, 2, I-56126 Pisa, Italy. E-mail: dicianni@immr.med.unipi.it.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Ratner RE, Hirsch IB, Neifing JL, Garg SK, Mecca TE, Wilson CA: Less hypoglycemia with insulin glargine in intensive insulin therapy for type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 23:639–643, 2000
2. Bolli G, Owens DR: Insulin glargine. *Lancet* 356:443–445, 2000

3. Hofmann T, Horstmann G, Stammberger I: Evaluation of the reproductive toxicity and embryotoxicity of insulin glargine (LANTUS) in rats and rabbits. *Int J Toxicol* 21:181–189, 2002
4. Devlin JT, Hothersall L, Wilkis JL: Use of insulin glargine during pregnancy in a type 1 diabetic woman (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1095–1096, 2002
5. Holstein A, Plaschke A, Egberts EH: Use of insulin glargine during embryogenesis in a pregnant woman with type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 20:779–780, 2003
6. Mills JL, Baker L, Goldman AS: Malformations in infants of diabetic mothers occur before the seventh gestational week: implications for treatment. *Diabetes* 28:292–293, 1979
7. Hare JW, White P: Gestational diabetes and the White classification. *Diabetes Care* 3:394–396, 1980

Successful Treatment of Insulin Allergy in a 1-Year-Old Infant With Neonatal Diabetes by Lispro and Glargine Insulin

Systemic insulin allergy is a rare condition and has usually been reported in adults. Human insulin analogs have been proposed for the treatment of insulin allergy (1,2). Here we report a 1-year-old infant with generalized allergy to insulin who has been successfully treated with the insulin analogs lispro and glargine. To our knowledge, this case sub-

ject is the youngest child reported with systemic insulin allergy and the only patient who was treated without any adverse event by glargine insulin in infancy.

A neonate girl, the first child of consanguineous parents, was born at full term after uneventful pregnancy. She was small for gestational age with a birth weight of 1,430 g. Although the infant had been fed properly from birth, she was presented with complaint of poor weight gain at 2 months of age. On admission, she weighed 1,700 g (below the third percentile) and appeared malnourished and dehydrated. The blood glucose concentration was 33.2 mmol, and there was no acidosis or ketonuria. HbA_{1c} and C-peptide levels were 9.7% and < 0.5 ng/ml, respectively. Anti-GAD and anti-islet cell antibodies were negative. The diagnosis of neonatal diabetes was established. The treatment with human NPH insulin (Humulin N; Lilly) was initiated. During subsequent 10-month follow-up, the patient demonstrated normal growth and development and HbA_{1c} level decreased to 5.7%.

At 1 year of age, immediately after each insulin injection, she developed allergic reactions, including macular skin rash, pruritus, and dermographism, on her trunk and all injection sites. Although she had been treated with antiallergic drugs along with NPH insulin, the allergic reactions continued. Eosinophilia on peripheral blood smear was not detected, and the serum level of total IgE was 18 IU/ml (normal range < 150 IU/ml). Unfortunately, tests for insulin-specific IgE and IgG were not performed. However, the patient demonstrated allergic reactions to different kinds of human insulin, including human regular insulin (Humulin R; Actrapid) and NPH insulin (Humulin N; Insulatard), not only following subcutaneous injection but also after intradermal test. Intradermal tests confirmed the insulin allergy.

Gradual insulin desensitization with low doses of regular insulin was not successful. We considered treating the patient with rapid-acting insulin analogs and examined skin reactions to lispro (Humalog; Lilly) and aspart (Novorapid; Novo Nordisk). Intradermal tests were negative for both. Lispro treatment was initiated, and no allergic reaction was observed. However, prolonged treatment of a 1-year-old infant with repeated injections of lispro four to five times a day was

very difficult. We therefore decided to test the long-acting analog insulin glargine that would meet daily requirement of basal insulin. Skin tests were also negative with glargine insulin. Thus, the treatment with glargine insulin (Lantus; Aventis) was commenced with the permission of parents. The patient received bedtime glargine insulin ($0.7 \text{ units} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$) and, as needed, mealtime lispro insulin ($0.1 \text{ units} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{day}^{-1}$). This insulin regimen provided less hypoglycemic episodes than NPH insulin twice daily and achieved HbA_{1c} values <7%. During the 6-month follow-up, we did not observe any allergic reaction or adverse event due to insulin glargine.

Insulin glargine has been used in the treatment of toddlers and children with type 1 diabetes (3). Because the systemic insulin allergy did not respond to the antihistaminic drug and desensitization therapies, we have inevitably used insulin glargine to treat the 1-year-old infant with neonatal diabetes. Once-daily dose of insulin glargine provided effective glycemic control and less hypoglycemic event and was well tolerated in our infant patient. This case indicates that the insulin analog glargine can be considered as a safe alternative treatment in very young children with allergic reactions to human recombinant insulin.

CENGİZ KARA, MD
ALEV OĞUZ KUTLU, MD
OLCAY EVLİYAĞLU, MD
HATİCE BİLGİLİ, CDE
NURDAN YILDIRIM, CDE

From the Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Dr. Sami Ulus Children's Hospital, Ankara, Turkey.

Address correspondence to Cengiz Kara, MD, Konrad Adenauer Cad, no. 19/7 Sancak Mah, 06550, Ankara, Turkey. E-mail: cengizkara68@yahoo.com.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.

References

1. Abraham MR, Al-Sharafi BA, Saavedra GA, Khardori R: Lispro in the treatment of insulin allergy (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 22: 1916–1917, 1999
2. Moriyama H, Nagata M, Fujihira K, Yamada K, Chowdhury SA, Chakrabarty S, Jin Z, Yasuda H, Ueda H, Yokono K: Treatment with human analog (Gly^{A21}, Arg^{B31}, Arg^{B32}) insulin glargine (HOE901) resolves a generalized allergy to human insulin in type 1 diabetes (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 24: 411–412, 2001

3. Chase HP, Dixon B, Pearson J, Fiallo-Scharer R, Walravens P, Klingensmith G, Rewers M, Garg SK: Reduced hypoglycemic episodes and improved glycemic control in children with type 1 diabetes using insulin glargine and neutral protamine Hagedorn insulin. *J Pediatr* 143:737–740, 2003

Charcot Neuroarthropathy of the Wrist in Type 1 Diabetes

Charcot neuroarthropathy typically affects the joints of the foot and ankle in diabetes (1); neuroarthropathy of the wrist is extremely rare (2,3).

A 53-year-old right-handed white Caucasian woman, who was a rose grower, presented to rheumatology with an uncomfortable, swollen right wrist. She had type 1 diabetes of 23 years' duration and had undergone right ulnar nerve decompression at the elbow for focal entrapment neuropathy 3 years previously. She had residual wasting of the small muscles of the right hand with mild weakness of the abductor digiti minimi and sensory signs consistent with bilateral ulnar neuropathy (more marked on the right). At the right wrist, there was loss of 50° of both dorsiflexion and palmar flexion.

Plain X-ray showed cystic changes in the distal radius and carpus with joint space narrowing, which had not been present 3 years earlier at the time of ulnar nerve decompression. Laboratory investigation revealed a markedly elevated alkaline phosphatase (250 units/l [normal range 25–110]) and a normal C-reactive protein (<2 mg/l [normal range 0–10]). No sedimentation rate was recorded. Radioisotope bone scan demonstrated increased uptake in the right radiocarpal joint. A diagnosis of osteoarthritis was made, and she was treated with a splint and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with some initial symptomatic benefit. However, she experienced continual wrist swelling, and repeat plain X-ray 2 years later showed further loss of joint space and more subchondral sclerosis but no periarticular osteoporosis (Fig. 1A). This was accompanied by progressive deformity of the right wrist, eventually leading to marked limitation of movement and loss of function. At referral to our

clinic, the clinical appearances (Fig. 1B) and sequence of events suggested a probable diagnosis of wrist neuroarthropathy. In addition, there were also signs of a marked peripheral sensorimotor neuropathy affecting the lower limbs and a typical Charcot pattern of deformity affecting the left foot (although it had not previously been recognized as such). This had started with a spiral fracture of the left fibula following minor trauma, with later development of spontaneous fractures of the proximal phalanx of the second toe and the base of the fifth metatarsal, eventually leading to typical clinical and radiological appearances of midfoot neuroarthropathy.

Charcot neuroarthropathy rarely affects joints other than the foot and ankle in diabetes (1). Joint involvement in the upper limb is extremely unusual; only two reports of neuroarthropathy affecting the wrist have been described before (2,3). The likely explanation for the rarity of wrist neuroarthropathy probably reflects the lesser degrees of peripheral sensorimotor and autonomic neuropathy affecting the upper compared with the lower limbs and the fact that the latter possess major weight-bearing joints (especially foot and ankle), which are subjected to continual trauma. Neuroarthropathy likely results from the combination of loss of protective sensation, a direct result of sensorimotor neuropathy, and hemodynamic changes (hyperemia) due to autonomic neuropathy, which eventually lead to osteolysis and demineralization of bone (4), in the presence of recurrent minor joint trauma (5). We believe that within a susceptible milieu (later development of foot neuroarthropathy), the impact of ulnar entrapment neuropathy (6) and the patient's vocation (rose pruning, which generated recurrent wrist trauma) contributed to the development of wrist neuroarthropathy in this particular case. When neuroarthropathy affects the lower limb, treatment is focused on weight-sparing maneuvers and off-loading of the joint (5). However, this case suggests that the benefits of using casts in neuroarthropathy may not lie solely in weight redistribution/off-loading, but also in reducing the attendant hyperemia by enforced underuse of the limb.

Charcot neuroarthropathy can, albeit rarely, affect joints other than those of the foot (2,3,7). Early recognition is crucially

cretion and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS) in response to exposure to free fatty acids (FFAs). This response is said to be attenuated in diabetic patients and their relatives, thus demonstrating a tendency toward β -cell failure during the disease. This effect is a short-term effect. When obese individuals were exposed to high levels of FFAs for prolonged periods of time, a decline in GSIS was observed (2). The effect of chronically elevated FFAs highlights a major contribution of β -cell lipotoxicity to the pathogenic process deteriorating to type 2 diabetes. This β -cell lipotoxicity has been studied thoroughly. It has been shown that high levels of FFAs have a detrimental effect on β -cell survival and insulin secretion (3). In ZDF rats, these effects were related to enhanced accumulation of triglycerides in the islets and β -cells (4). A high FFA and triglyceride load in human islets induced caspase-mediated apoptosis, probably through the ceramide pathway (5). Furthermore, thiazolidinediones, which are known to improve insulin resistance through a reduction in fat content of muscle and liver, cause a dramatic improvement in insulin secretion in diabetic patients (6). Based on these effects, it would seem nearsighted to emphasize the role of FFAs in diabetes as mainly a peripheral one on muscle and liver tissue. Although type 2 diabetes is characterized by peripheral insulin resistance that might be related to deranged fat metabolism, it is becoming clear that it is not solely a disease of glucose-utilizing tissue but might also be a result of a lipotoxic effect on the β -cell.

Other areas that should be highlighted include the role of lipotoxic mitochondrial damage as a core process in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes and the role of mitochondrial uncoupling proteins (UCPs) as culprit proteins and a possible defense mechanism in diabetes. Mitochondrial dysfunction has been noted in diabetes and may result from an enhanced effect of lipid peroxides formed in the mitochondria as a result of FFA excess. This damage can then lead to further FFA accumulation through diminished oxidative capacity (7). The mitochondrial UCPs seem to play a role in the protection of the mitochondria from these harmful effects by preventing the formation of lipid peroxides (7). They were implied as culprit proteins in diabetes when a 50% reduction in UCP3 levels

was found in diabetic patients (8), thus rendering them more susceptible to FFA-induced mitochondrial damage and the slippery slope that follows. Another uncoupling protein, UCP2, is suspected of playing a role in glucose sensitivity and insulin secretion in β -cells and is upregulated by chronically elevated FFAs (9). Genetic polymorphism in this protein was found to be associated with insulin resistance and increased risk of type 2 diabetes (10).

ROY ELDOR, MD
ITAMAR RAZ, MD

From the Department of Medicine, Diabetes Research Center, Hadassah-Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

Address correspondence to Roy Eldor, Department of Medicine, Hadassah-Hebrew University Hospital, Jerusalem 91120, Israel. E-mail: royeldor@bezeqint.net.

© 2005 by the American Diabetes Association.



References

1. Boden G, Laakso M: Lipids and glucose in type 2 diabetes: what is the cause and effect? *Diabetes Care* 27:2253–2259, 2004
2. Carpentier A, Mittelman SD, Bergman RN, Giacca A, Lewis GF: Prolonged elevation of plasma free fatty acids impairs pancreatic β -cell function in obese nondiabetic humans but not in individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 49:399–408, 2000
3. Shimabukuro M, Zhou YT, Levi M, Unger RH: Fatty acid-induced cell apoptosis: a link between obesity and diabetes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:2498–2502, 1998
4. Lee Y, Hirose H, Zhou YT, Esser V, McGarry JD, Unger RH: Increased lipogenic capacity of the islets of obese rats: a role in the pathogenesis of NIDDM. *Diabetes* 46:408–413, 1997
5. Lupi R, Dotta F, Marselli L, Del Guerra S, Masini M, Santangelo C, Patane G, Boggi U, Piro S, Anello M, Bergamini E, Mosca F, Di Mario U, Del Prato S, Marchetti P: Prolonged exposure to free fatty acids has cytostatic and pro-apoptotic effects on human pancreatic islets: evidence that β -cell death is caspase mediated, partially dependent on ceramide pathway, and Bcl-2 regulated. *Diabetes* 51:1437–1442, 2002
6. Cavaghan MK, Ehrmann DA, Byrne MM, Polonsky KS: Treatment with the oral antidiabetic agent troglitazone improves beta cell responses to glucose in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *J Clin Invest* 100:530–537, 1997
7. Schrauwen P, Hesselink MK: Oxidative capacity, lipotoxicity, and mitochondrial damage in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 53:1412–1417, 2004
8. Schrauwen P, Hesselink MK, Blaak EE, Borghouts LB, Schaart G, Saris WH, Keizer HA: Uncoupling protein 3 content is decreased in skeletal muscle of patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 50:2870–2873, 2001
9. Chan CB, Saleh MC, Koshkin V, Wheeler MB: Uncoupling protein 2 and islet function. *Diabetes* 53:S136–S142, 2004
10. D'Adamo M, Perego L, Cardellini M, Marini MA, Frontoni S, Andreozzi F, Sciacqua A, Lauro D, Sbraccia P, Federici M, Paganelli M, Pontiroli AE, Lauro R, Perticone F, Folli F, Sesti G: The –866A/A genotype in the promoter of the human uncoupling protein 2 gene is associated with insulin resistance and increased risk of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 53:1905–1910, 2004

Lipids and Glucose in Type 2 Diabetes: What About the β -Cell and the Mitochondria?

Response to Eldor and Raz

Drs. Eldor and Raz (1) assert that “high levels of FFAs [free fatty acids] have a detrimental effect on β -cell survival and insulin secretion.” We agree, but only in relation to patients who have dysfunctional β -cells, i.e., patients with pre-diabetes, impaired glucose tolerance, or type 2 diabetes (2–5). On the other hand, in people with normally functioning β -cells, there is much evidence that FFAs, rather than impairing, actually augment insulin secretion. For instance, several groups have recently shown that in healthy individuals, elevation of plasma FFAs, for as long as 96 h, potentiated glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (2,6–8). Moreover, lowering plasma FFA levels, rather than improving insulin secretion, has been shown to actually decrease insulin secretion (9,10). Thus, there is currently little evidence to support the concept of FFA-induced β -cell lipotoxicity in normal human subjects. Moreover, the concept of β -cell lipotoxicity would be difficult to reconcile with the observation that >50% of obese people with chronically elevated FFA levels never develop type 2 diabetes during their

