Elevated Pregnancy Losses at High and Low Extremes of Maternal Glucose in Early Normal and Diabetic Pregnancy

Evidence for a protective adaptation in diabetes

OBJECTIVE — Early pregnancy losses increase with marked hyperglycemia in diabetic pregnancy. However, mean loss rates do not differ from those of nondiabetic pregnancy. This observation might be explained by increased fetal losses at the extremes of glycemia in diabetic and nondiabetic pregnancy. To test this hypothesis, we examined relationships of proximate measures of prior glycemia, glycated protein and fructosamine, to pregnancy loss.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A total of 389 diabetic and 429 nondiabetic pregnant subjects participated in the Diabetes In Early Pregnancy study. Glycated protein and fructosamine measurements were standardized as multiples of control values for each center (Z score). The logarithm of odds of pregnancy loss were plotted against Z scores and tested by logistic models.

RESULTS — Mean pregnancy loss rates were 12% in diabetic and 13% in normal pregnancies. However, over six intervals of glycated protein in diabetic pregnancy, fetal loss rates at the upper and lower extremes (24 and 33%, respectively) were approximately threefold higher than the lower extremes (8–14%). The odds ratio of pregnancy loss for these extreme intervals to the intervening intervals is 3.0 (P = 0.01). Nondiabetic losses showed a similar pattern. In confirmation, logit pregnancy losses were increased in a J-shaped curve at the glycemic extremes in normal (P < 0.019) and diabetic (P < 0.015) pregnancy. The upper glycemic extreme in diabetic pregnancy was two- to fivefold higher than in control pregnancy.

CONCLUSIONS — Pregnancy losses are increased at the extremes of glycemia in both normal and diabetic pregnancy but at higher levels in diabetic pregnancy. The data suggest defensive adaptations against hyperglycemia in diabetic pregnancy.
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The National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)-sponsored Diabetes In Early Pregnancy (DIEP) study found similar early pregnancy loss rates in nondiabetic and diabetic pregnancies (odds ratio [OR] 0.91 in diabetic vs. nondiabetic pregnancy adjusted for other risk factors associated with spontaneous abortion) (1). However, diabetic women who had a spontaneous abortion had higher fasting and postprandial glucose levels than those delivering a live infant at term (1). Further, a 1-SD increase in first trimester glycated hemoglobin from normal was associated with a 3.1% increase in the rate of pregnancy loss, and a 4-SD increase was associated with a >40% pregnancy loss rate compared with a normal pregnancy mean of 14% (1). The association of pregnancy loss with poor glycemic control in diabetic pregnancy has been observed previously (2,3).

Other studies have shown increased perinatal morbidity associated with low blood glucose levels in nondiabetic and diabetic pregnancies (4–6). However, in the initial DIEP report, low glycated hemoglobin levels were not associated with pregnancy losses in normal or diabetic pregnancy. Since the vulnerable period for first trimester glycemic abnormality is a shorter interval than that represented by glycated hemoglobin, we reasoned that shorter-term measures of integrated glycemic status of 2–3 weeks' duration as reflected in plasma glycated protein and fructosamine levels (7–18) might provide a more proximate and accurate (16) indication of the glycemic association of pregnancy loss.

We therefore measured levels of glycated protein and fructosamine in stored plasma from the diabetic and normal pregnant subjects participating in the DIEP in order to answer the following questions: 1) Are early pregnancy losses associated with low as well as high levels of glycemia in diabetic pregnancy? 2) Are pregnancy losses in nondiabetic preg-
Pregnancy losses and maternal glycemia

Table 1—Fetal loss rates across Z score intervals for glycated protein

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Z score intervals</th>
<th>Nondiabetic pregnancy</th>
<th>Diabetic pregnancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fetal loss/ live births (%)</td>
<td>Fetal loss/ live births (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;−1.0</td>
<td>6/38</td>
<td>4/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−1.0 to &lt;1.0</td>
<td>40/337</td>
<td>8/102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0 to &lt;2.0</td>
<td>6/48</td>
<td>14/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 to &lt;3.0</td>
<td>3/6</td>
<td>12/108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 to &lt;4.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5/47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5/21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plasma or serum samples were collected weekly from diabetic subjects from gestational weeks 4–12. Nondiabetic subjects had blood samples drawn at biweekly intervals from weeks 4 through 12. Frozen samples were initially shipped to the NICHD and stored at −20°C and then to analytical sites and stored at −80°C. The diagnosis of pregnancy was made during the 1st week of missed menstrual cycles that resulted in live births and fetal losses (1.7 ± 1.8, P = 0.53), despite the fact that mean values in the diabetic group were nearly twofold greater than in the control group.

Descriptive statistics were produced and ordinary and chain logistic regression methods applied to assess the relationship between the protein level and early pregnancy loss. The chain logistic model (22) was used based on the rationale that pregnancy loss in a given time interval might be affected by the values of the glycated protein and fructosamine levels in the preceding interval as well as that interval. The chain logistic model allows a life-table analysis structure between pregnancy loss and covariates as used previously for survival data analysis (22).

RESULTS — Mean standardized maximal glycated protein values were similar between the nondiabetic control pregnancies that resulted in live births and those that resulted in fetal losses (0.0 ± 0.8 and 0.1 ± 1.0, P = 0.64). Mean standardized maximal glycated protein values were also similar in the diabetic pregnancies that resulted in live births and fetal losses (1.7 ± 1.4 and 1.9 ± 1.8, P = 0.53), despite the fact that mean values in the diabetic group were nearly twofold greater than in the control group.

Pregnancy loss rates at different levels of standardized glycated protein Z scores are presented in Table 1. Diabetic pregnant women with a Z score ≥4.0 above the mean for normal subjects had a pregnancy loss rate of 24% (5 of 21). Those with a Z score <1.0 below the mean had a pregnancy loss rate of 33% (4 of 12). Pregnancy loss rates in the intervening Z score intervals were 8% in the −1.0 to <1.0 interval, 14% in the 1.0 to <2.0 interval, and 11% in the 2.0 to <3.0 and 3.0 to <4.0 intervals for an average of 11% (39 of 356) in the −1.0 to <4.0 interval. When two extreme Z score intervals are collapsed (i.e., ≥4.0 and <−1.0) and compared with the intermediate Z score intervals (i.e., −1.0 to 4.0), the difference in pregnancy loss rate is significant (OR 3.0 [95% CI 1.3–7.0], P = 0.01 using two-sided Fisher’s exact test). A similar pattern across strata was observed for
fructosamine values and pregnancy losses (data not shown). Normal control subjects had a similar pattern of pregnancy loss rates, higher at the extremes and lower for the middle Z score values but over a narrower range than in the diabetic subjects, ranging from <-1.0 to <-3.0 Z score values. Loss rates were 50, 13, 12, and 16%, respectively, in the nondiabetic control subjects at the four intervals of Z score values shown in Table 1. Over the entire range of values, the loss rates were nearly identical in the diabetic and nondiabetic pregnancies at 12 and 13%, respectively, as seen previously (1). Again, a similar pattern was seen for standardized fructosamine values and pregnancy losses (data not shown).

To analyze the data as a continuum, ordinary logistic regression and chain logistic regression analyses were performed. As presented in Table 2, sample sizes are lower in the chain logistic model than in the ordinary logistic model because not all subjects had values at all intervals, causing a break in the chain logistic regression model for those subjects. Regardless, both analyses indicate an excess of early pregnancy loss at the higher and lower extremes of glycemic status in both diabetic and nondiabetic pregnancies. In the ordinary logistic regression model, the quadratic term (x^2) testing the J-shaped curve of standardized glycate protein levels versus pregnancy losses was statistically significant (P = 0.019 in nondiabetic subjects and P = 0.015 in the diabetic subjects). In the chain logistic model, the value for x^2 shows a P value of 0.07 in the diabetic subjects and 0.01 in the control subjects. Values for fructosamine were not statistically significant and are not shown.

Logit plots of pregnancy loss versus individual value of glycated protein and fructosamine (i.e., fitted logistic models) are presented in Fig. 1A and B, respectively, obtained from ordinary logistic regression analysis along with the 95% CI. As seen in Fig. 1, diabetic women with a pregnancy loss and a Z score <-1.0 or >4.0 have higher loss rates. For example, for a Z score of -2, the predicted logit is -1.13 and the corresponding predicted probability of pregnancy loss 0.32. For a Z score of 4.9, the predicted logit is -1.36 and the corresponding predicted probability of pregnancy loss 0.26. For a Z score of 0, the predicted probability of pregnancy loss is 0.14. Nondiabetic control pregnant women have a similar pattern but over a narrower range of elevated values. For example, for a Z score of -1.5, the predicted logit is -1.40 and the corresponding predicted probability of pregnancy loss 0.25. For a Z score of 2, the predicted logit is -1.00 and the corresponding predicted probability of pregnancy loss is 0.37. For a Z score of 0, the predicted probability of pregnancy loss is 0.12. The 95% CIs parallel the logit plots and confirm the curvilinearity of the J-shaped plots. The fructosamine values confirm the same trends, though by an entirely separate and analytical method.

**CONCLUSIONS**—The DIEP addressed the following two questions: 1) what is the relationship between glucose levels and malformations? and 2) what is the relationship between these levels and the risk of spontaneous abortion in pregnancies complicated by insulin dependent diabetes (1,18)? The first reports from this study used glycated hemoglobin as a marker of glycemic control (23). The analysis of the relationship of glycated hemoglobin to spontaneous abortion revealed an indistinguishable pregnancy loss rate in the diabetic and control groups (1). However, successive SDs in glycated hemoglobin above the normal range were associated with progressive increases in pregnancy losses. Other investigators have also shown a relationship between glyceremia and pregnancy losses in diabetic women (2,3), but none have determined whether the relationship extends to normal pregnancy and whether there is risk for fetal loss if the glycemic levels are too low. The latter possibility is supported by the early observations of Abell et al. (4) who observed increased perinatal losses in pregnant mothers with a flat glucose tolerance test curve, a risk that was exaggerated by the presence of other indexes of fetal distress. This observation implies a role for fetal malnutrition based on impaired delivery of maternal nutrients to the fetus that could extend to the first trimester as well, which could also be aggravated by additional risk factors (24). To our knowledge, no studies have investigated the potential for a deleterious effect of low glycemic status in the first trimester on spontaneous abortion in normal and diabetic pregnancy.

Additional evidence of a deleterious effect of glycemic deficiency has been reported. Glycemic deficiency was implicated in teratogenicity and fetal resorption in rodents (25). Fetal growth retardation was associated with hyperglycemia or low-normal glycemic levels in a diabetic population (26). The DIEP study may not have found a relationship between low-level glycated hemoglobin and first trimester loss (1) because of the prolonged time constant for change dictated by the 120-day lifespan of red cells. This time constant is well in excess of the short-term events relating glycemic status
to fetal well being in the 8 weeks that can be feasibly monitored in the first trimester. In this light, the measurement of protein glycation is a more serviceable research monitor of glycemic status related to first trimester pregnancy loss and teratogenesis.

Our observations showing a relationship of pregnancy loss to protein glycation are in keeping with other studies showing that glycated proteins are a more sensitive measure of fluctuations of blood glucose in the short term compared with glycated hemoglobin (13,16). Further research is justified to understand adverse fetal and neonatal outcome at glycemic extremes, using protein glycation as an index of intermediate-term glycemic status in both diabetic and nondiabetic pregnancy.

A provocative observation in this study is the finding that early pregnancy loss rates in diabetic pregnancy do not increase until glycated protein Z scores are >4.0, whereas loss rates in nondiabetic pregnancies increase when glycated protein Z scores exceed 2.0. This finding suggests that adaptation may occur to chronic hyperglycemia in the diabetic pregnancy, allowing the embryo or fetus of the diabetic mother to resist the deleterious effect of hyperglycemia. Such adaptations could be anti-inflammatory, osmotic, or involving antioxidant and vasodilatory defense mechanisms that have been observed in in vitro systems under oxidant stress (27–30). For instance, aortic endothelial cells exposed to hydrogen peroxide showed an initial thromboxane and prostacyclin rise, then a decline over a 24-h incubation period (R.H.K. and X.-D.Z., unpublished data). Understanding these mechanisms may provide an approach to the prevention or treatment of some diabetes complications.

This investigation is the first to show increased pregnancy losses at glycemic extremes of both normal and diabetic pregnancies in the largest cohort of normal and diabetic subjects studied to date. Limitations are the smaller numbers of subjects at the extremes and the hypothesizing rather than a priori hypothesis testing nature of this report. The speculation of nutritive deficiency on the one hand and glycemic injury on the other requires further confirmation and investigation.

In conclusion, we have shown that both high and low extremes of glycemia as measured by protein glycation are associated with increased risk for early pregnancy loss. The J-shaped curve relating high and low glycemic status to first trimester pregnancy loss applies to both diabetic and nondiabetic pregnancies. At the high extreme of glycemia, even short-term toxicity of severe hyperglycemia
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