Interaction Between Dietary Lipids and Physical Inactivity on Insulin Sensitivity and on Intramyocellular Lipids in Healthy Men
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OBJECTIVE — To assess the effect of a possible interaction between dietary fat and physical inactivity on whole-body insulin sensitivity and intramyocellular lipids (IMCLs).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Eight healthy male volunteers were studied on two occasions. After 2 days of an equilibrated diet and moderate physical activity, participants remained inactive (bed rest) for 60 h and consumed either a high–saturated fat (45% fat, of which ~60% was saturated fat [BR-HF]) or a high-carbohydrate (70% carbohydrate [BR-HCHO]) diet. To evaluate the effect of a high-fat diet alone, six of the eight volunteers were restudied after a 2-day equilibrated diet followed by 60 h on a high–saturated fat diet and controlled physical activity (PA-HF). Insulin sensitivity was measured by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp and IMCL concentrations by 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

RESULTS — Insulin-mediated glucose disposal was decreased by BR-HF condition (−24 ± 6%, P < 0.05) but did not change with BR-HCHO (+19 ± 10%, NS). BR-HF and BR-HCHO increased IMCL levels (+32 ± 7%, P < 0.05 and +17 ± 8%, P < 0.0011, respectively). Although the increase in IMCL levels with PA-HF (+31 ± 19%, P = 0.12) was similar to that during BR-HF, insulin-mediated glucose disposal (−7 ± 9%, NS) was not decreased.

CONCLUSIONS — These data indicate that physical inactivity and a high–saturated fat diet may interact to reduce whole-body insulin sensitivity. IMCL content was influenced by dietary lipid and physical inactivity but was not directly associated with insulin resistance.
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Insulin resistance is a common disorder in western societies and is thought to play an important role in the pathogenesis of diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular diseases. Despite a considerable number of studies, the mechanisms are still not completely understood. In addition to genetic background, environmental factors such as high-fat diet, low physical activity, and excess body weight may all be involved in its pathogenesis (1,2).

It has been recognized for many years that lipids exert deleterious effects on insulin sensitivity. Several studies have demonstrated that increased plasma non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) concentrations interfere with insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle (3–6). Most studies have used triglyceride emulsion infusions to demonstrate this, but there are few data available about the effects of dietary fat itself on insulin sensitivity. Epidemiological data suggest that dietary fat is involved in insulin resistance (1). However, in long-term studies, it is difficult to differentiate between the effects of the diet alone and those resulting from alterations in body composition. Furthermore, over the last decade, the impact of fat depots (intramyocellular lipids [IMCLs]) in muscle playing a causative role in insulin resistance has been emphasized by studies demonstrating a negative correlation between IMCL content and insulin sensitivity (7–10). It has also been reported that IMCL stores constitute a dynamic cellular triglyceride pool, the level of which can be modulated by dietary fat (11).

A substantial body of data now demonstrates the impact of low physical activity on the development of insulin resistance (12). Furthermore, intervention studies have shown that moderate amounts of daily physical activity are able to ameliorate insulin sensitivity in diabetic or obese subjects (2,13). Several mechanisms have been proposed for this improvement, including enhancement in muscle oxidative metabolism and decreased body fat (14–16).

A high–saturated fat diet and low physical activity often coexist in individuals at risk for obesity. Both are individually associated with insulin resistance; however, to our knowledge, no study has examined the combined effect of inactivity and high-fat diet on insulin sensitivity. The present study was therefore carried out to investigate the effect of a short period of physical inactivity associated with a high–saturated fat (45% fat, of which...
RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Eight healthy male volunteers (age 23 ± 1 years; weight 67.9 ± 2.9 kg, BMI 21.6 ± 0.8 kg/m², body fat 13.4 ± 1.7% [means ± SE]) participated in the study. All subjects were in good health, were nonsmokers, and were not taking any drugs. None had a personal or family history of diabetes or hypertension. The subjects did not regularly take part in strenuous physical activity. Body composition was estimated from subcutaneous skinfold thickness measurements according to Durnin and Womersley (19). The experimental protocol was approved by the ethical committees of the Lausanne University School of Medicine and of the Kanton of Bern. Before participating in the study, each subject was informed in detail about the protocol and signed a written informed consent form.

Subjects were studied on three occasions for 5 consecutive days spent in a metabolic unit (Fig. 1). During baseline (day −2 and day −1), volunteers consumed an isocaloric-equilibrated diet composed of 35% fat (~50% saturated), 50% carbohydrates, and 15% protein corresponding to 1.6 × basal metabolic rate (BMR; previously estimated by indirect calorimetry); besides normal ambulatory activity, subjects performed two exercise bouts of 30 min at 75 W (10:00 A.M. and 2:30 P.M.) on a bicycle ergometer on day −2. The effect of diet and physical activity was tested over the next 60 h (days 1, 2, and 3) with the following protocols: 1) bed rest associated with an isocaloric (1.2 × BMR) high-carbohydrate diet (BR-HCHO; 70% carbohydrates, 15% fat of which ~35% was saturated fat, 15% protein), 2) bed rest associated with an isocaloric (1.2 × BMR) high-fat diet (BR-HF); 40% carbohydrate, 45% fat of which ~60% was saturated fat, 15% protein), and 3) physical activity (2 × 30 min at 75 W on day 1 and 2) associated with an isocaloric (1.6 × BMR) high-fat diet (PA-HF; n = 6). The order of the two bed rest conditions was randomized with >9 days in between each, whereas the physical activity condition was always last after at least a 5-week washout period. Meals were provided at 8:00 A.M. (30% energy), 12:00 P.M. (35% energy), and 6:30 P.M. (35% energy) with no snacks in between. Heart rate was continuously monitored using a Polar heart rate monitor (Kempele, Finland) to control for physical activity compliance (2). To reduce the risk of deep venous thrombosis associated with bed rest, participants were given 100 mg acetylsalicylic acid on day 1 of each protocol.

On the morning of the clamp at 8:00 A.M., subjects received a breakfast containing 1.63 g carbohydrate/kg body wt (day −1), 1.77 g carbohydrate/kg (day 3 of high-carbohydrate diet), or 1.10 g carbohydrate/kg (day 3 of high-fat diet). Glucose infusion rate (GIR) was measured at least 5 h after breakfast (~1:00–1:30 P.M.). On completion of the clamp procedure, subjects were transferred to the Magnetic Resonance Center at the University and Inselspital Bern, where IMCL concentrations were determined by localized 1H-MRS of the vastus intermedius muscle of the right quadriceps. The IMCL measurements were realized 3 h after the completion of the clamp studies; the interval was kept constant between volunteers.

**Hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp**

A 90-min hyperinsulinemic (1 mU insulin · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹)-euglycemic (5.6 mmol/l) clamp (20) was performed between 12:00 P.M. and 1:30 P.M. Blood was obtained every 30 min for insulin and NEFA determination. During the last 30 min of the clamp, the GIR was used to evaluate insulin-mediated glucose disposal.

**1H-MRS.** Magnetic resonance examinations of the right thigh included image acquisition and 1H-MRS on a 1.5 Tesla whole-body system (SIGNA; General Electrics, Milwaukee, WI), using a 31H double-tuned flexible extremity coil (H-coil, Helmholz design; Medical Advance, Milwaukee, WI). Inside the magnet, the volunteers were placed on a specially designed positioning aid to guarantee a reproducible fixation of the right leg for all six magnetic resonance sessions (four in two cases). Repositioning of the volunteer and placement of the coil were monitored on the localization images.

It has been shown that the signals from IMCLs and extramyocellular lipids can be separated by single-voxel 1H-MRS (21,22). The sensitive volume (18 × 11 × 12 mm³, inferior/superior × right/left × anterior/posterior) was positioned in the m. vastus intermedius close to the femoral bone. Care was taken that no sign of fatty infiltration was visible inside the sensitive volume, which could lead to contamination by the large extramyocellular lipid signals. Positioning as well as repositioning (sessions 2–6) of the sensitive volume was done in the localizer image series (fast spin echo sequence with a repetition time of 𝑡_{R} = 1,000 ms and an echo time of 𝑡_{E} = 17 ms). 1H-MRSs were recorded using an optimized PRESS-sequence (𝑡_{E} = 3 s, 𝑡_{R} = 20 ms, 128 acquisitions, 16 phase-rotating steps, 2,000 Hz, 1,024 pts) with
### RESULTS

Plasma glucose, insulin, NEFA, and adiponectin concentrations are shown in Table 1. At baseline (day 1 and day 3), there were no differences in plasma hormone, substrate concentrations, or GIR levels between conditions. IMCL (mmol/kg wet wt) was evaluated using the fully relaxed, unsuppressed water signal as an internal concentration standard. IMCL concentrations (in millimoles per kilogram wet weight) were evaluated using a Beckman glucose analyzer II (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA). Radioimmunoassay kits were used for determination of plasma insulin (NEFA C, Wako Chemicals, Freiburg, Germany); Radial immunodifussion kits were used for determination of plasma insulin and adiponectin (Linco Research, St. Charles, MO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline (day -1)</th>
<th>Intervention (day 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BR-HCHO</td>
<td>BR-HF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-clamp values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.30 ± 0.30</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>119.1 ± 38.3</td>
<td>69.2 ± 15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA ( µmol/l)</td>
<td>475 ± 105</td>
<td>292 ± 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (µg/ml)</td>
<td>6.08 ± 1.05</td>
<td>5.70 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clamp values</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.04</td>
<td>5.48 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>510.9 ± 15.9</td>
<td>509.4 ± 13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA ( µmol/l)</td>
<td>95 ± 17</td>
<td>99 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIR (mg · kg⁻¹ · min⁻¹)</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.83</td>
<td>7.88 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMCL (mmol/kg wet wt)</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.36</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are means ± SE. Values in parentheses correspond to P value compared with day 1 of the same experiment condition (paired t test). *Different from BR-HCHO and from PA-HF values (P < 0.05; post hoc Fisher's test).
a similar trend was observed with high-fat diet associated with physical activity; and 3) no correlations were observed during any of these three conditions between whole-body insulin sensitivity and IMCL concentration in the vastus intermedius muscle of the quadriceps; moreover, no correlations were noted between increments of IMCL levels and changes in whole-body insulin sensitivity between day 1 and day 3.

Insulin sensitivity was assessed as the average GIR during the last 30 min of the clamp. Since insulin concentrations achieved during the clamps are known to completely suppress hepatic glucose output (24), the difference most likely reflects extrahepatic (muscle) insulin resistance (25). These differences in insulin sensitivity were observed during hyperinsulinemic clamps performed between 12:00 P.M. and 1:30 P.M., 5 h after the last meal, i.e., breakfast at 8:00 A.M. Although one cannot completely exclude that dietary carbohydrate absorption was finished at this time, previous studies indicate that the bulk of carbohydrates are absorbed within 4 h of a liquid meal (26). Although absorption of a solid meal may take somewhat longer, it is very unlikely that differences in gastric emptying or rates of dietary carbohydrate absorption induced by high-fat feeding or physical inactivity were of sufficient magnitude to account for the reduction in GIR observed after BR-HF. We therefore feel confident that our observation reflects a decreased insulin sensitivity after BR-HF.

Numerous studies in humans and animals have investigated the acute effects of high concentrations of fatty acids on insulin sensitivity using intravenous triglyceride emulsion infusions. Increasing fatty acid concentrations has been shown on several occasions to reduce insulin-stimulated glucose uptake (4, 6, 27), but few experiments have focused on the effect of increased dietary fat on insulin sensitivity. Bachmann et al. (28) compared the effect of a high-fat diet (60% of energy from fat, predominantly saturated fat) with that of an acute intravenous lipid infusion (−40%). In our study, we did not observe a significant decrease in insulin sensitivity with the high-fat diet associated with physical activity. This discrepancy probably results from the higher proportion of fat (55–60 vs. 45%) and larger energy intake consumed by the subjects in Bachmann’s study or possibly by the smaller number of subjects in our study. In both our study and Bachmann et al.’s studies, the effect of short-term high-fat feeding on insulin sensitivity was small. This may be due to the short duration of dietary changes, since after extended exposure to dietary fat, rodents have been shown to develop larger changes in whole-body and muscle insulin sensitivity (29).

Even though some studies have also investigated the effect of physical inactivity on insulin sensitivity (30–35), none have combined physical inactivity and a high-fat diet. In 1972, Lipman et al. (31) reported a deleterious effect of 3 days of bed rest on glucose tolerance in healthy adults. However, in this study, no proper distinction could be made between the effects of the diet and physical inactivity on insulin sensitivity since the relative proportions of the macronutrients were not specified. More recently, Blanc et al. (34) reported a decrease in insulin sensitivity after 7 days of head-down bed rest in healthy men and women on a conventional diet. The authors suggested that this impairment occurred mainly in skeletal muscle and mostly in men. However, fluid redistribution during head-down tilt may cause insulin resistance by reducing muscle blood flow. Stuart et al. (35) studied the effect of 7 days of bed rest in healthy subjects on a controlled diet and showed that whole-body insulin-stimulated glucose uptake was impaired whereas hepatic glucose production was adequately inhibited by insulin. In the present study, 60 h of bed rest combined with a high-carbohydrate diet was not sufficient to alter insulin sensitivity. This difference might be explained by the shorter duration and lower proportion of fat in our study (15 vs. 31%).

To our knowledge, this is the first time that a relatively moderate increase in dietary fat (45% of total energy from fat, mainly saturated fat) and a short period of physical inactivity (60 h) have been shown to interact to decrease insulin sensitivity. The short duration of intervention was done to focus on acute metabolic effects rather than changes in body composition. Our experiment suggests an interaction between short-term bed rest and saturated fat, causing a significant −25% reduction in insulin sensitivity. In con-

**CONCLUSIONS** — The major observations in this prospective study are that 1) whole-body insulin sensitivity was decreased only in response to the combination of physical inactivity and high-fat diet; 2) IMCL concentrations increased with bed rest, whether associated with a high-carbohydrate or a high-fat diet, and
In the past decade, several studies have focused on the relation between IMCL content and insulin resistance (7–10). Most have shown, both in humans and in animals, a negative correlation between IMCL content and insulin sensitivity. Increased IMCL concentrations have been demonstrated in obesity and type 2 diabetes, and weight loss has been shown to decrease IMCL concentrations (36,37). In the current study, we observed an increase in IMCL concentrations of similar magnitude in the three conditions tested (although the increase after PA-HF fell short of statistical significance). Moreover, the increases observed after high-fat diet (with or without physical inactivity) tended to be larger than those observed after physical inactivity with high-carbohydrate intake. This appears to be consistent with the observation of Décombaz et al. (11,38) that IMCL stores are rapidly replenished by dietary fat after exercise-mediated depletion. However, the increased IMCL content after the BR-HCHO condition indicates that inactivity also exerts an effect on IMCL metabolism, presumably by decreasing muscle lipid oxidation.

Surprisingly, there was no correlation between IMCL content of the vastus intermedius muscle and insulin sensitivity in healthy subjects in these short-term conditions. It is not the first time that such a dissociation has been observed; it is well documented that endurance athletes have high insulin sensitivity despite increased IMCL levels (39). Along the same line, increased IMCL concentrations in soleus muscle of humans fed a high-fat diet for 3 days. In summary, the present study demonstrated that high–saturated fat intake associated with physical inactivity after a period as short as 60 h is sufficient to significantly impair insulin sensitivity in healthy subjects. However, physical activity with a high-carbohydrate diet or physical activity with a high–saturated fat diet did not significantly impair insulin sensitivity. Thus, our results suggest that even mild physical activity may confer protection against the deleterious effects of a high-fat diet. The insulin resistance induced by short-term high-fat feeding and physical inactivity does not, however, appear to be directly related to changes in IMCLs.
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