The New Worldwide Definition of Metabolic Syndrome Is Not a Better Diagnostic Predictor of Cardiovascular Disease in Japanese Diabetic Patients Than the Existing Definitions

Additional analysis from the Japan Diabetes Complications Study
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W
e previously reported (1) the limited clinical significance for Japanese diabetic patients of the widely used World Health Organization (WHO) (2) and National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) (3) definitions of metabolic syndrome and suggested that an international definition of metabolic syndrome that was applicable regardless of ethnicity was necessary (1).

Recently, the International Diabetes Federation published a long-awaited new worldwide definition of metabolic syndrome (4) that is intended to be applicable to various ethnic groups. The new definition is similar to the NCEP definition (3) but has several important differences. Notably, most components of the new definition now include subjects who are receiving specific treatments for the abnormalities that comprise metabolic syndrome. Also, central obesity (defined by waist circumference with ethnic modification in its thresholds) has become a mandatory component in the new definition. In this report, we evaluated the predictive power of the new international definition for cardiovascular disease (CVD), as compared with that of previous definitions, in Japanese diabetic patients.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The Japan Diabetes Complications Study (JDCS) has been described in detail elsewhere (1,5). The same dataset was used for evaluation so that the new definition of metabolic syndrome could be directly compared with the WHO and NCEP definitions (1–4). A total of 1,424 Japanese patients (771 men and 653 women, age 58.4 ± 7.4 years [means ± SD]) with previously diagnosed type 2 diabetes but without known CVD were followed for 8 years for coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke events. Fatal and nonfatal CHD and stroke were defined as previously reported (1). The new International Diabetes Federation definition (4) was used with a recommended ethnic modification for Japanese subjects in relation to waist circumference (men ≥85 cm, women ≥90 cm). Since all of the subjects had diabetes, metabolic syndrome diagnosis was made in patients who met criteria for central obesity plus one or more of the following: increased triglycerides, increased blood pressure, or reduced HDL cholesterol (see Table 1 for detailed thresholds). Incidence rates in the two groups (with and without metabolic syndrome) were estimated under the Poisson assumption using person-year methods. Cox regression analysis was used to calculate the age-adjusted hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI of metabolic syndrome risk factors with CHD, stroke, or both. The SAS software package (version 8.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for all analyses. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS — At baseline, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome, using the new definition (Table 1), was notably lower, especially in female patients, than the prevalence under the WHO (2) and NCEP (3) definitions, which was ~50% on average (1). Diabetes duration in patients with (9.9 ± 6.9 years) or without (10.7 ± 7.3 years) metabolic syndrome did not differ significantly (P = 0.07). The proportion of patients that met the central obesity criterion (an essential component of the new definition) was 36.7% for men and 9.7% for women, such that 87% of patients had metabolic syndrome.

The incidence (per 1,000 patient-years) of CHD (13.5 [with metabolic syn-
**Table 1—Prevalence at baseline, age-adjusted HRs with 95% CIs, and incidence of CHD, stroke, or both in 1,124 Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (771 men and 353 women) according to individual cardiovascular risk factors comprising the metabolic syndrome as defined by the International Diabetes Federation (1,6–9) have been inadequate (10,11). Many important issues remain to be resolved. 1) Is the new definition of metabolic syndrome a good predictor of CVD in diabetic patients of differing ethnicities (12)? 2) Are there any other combinations of components (or different thresholds) that are better predictors of CVD in Asian diabetic patients (13–15)? 3) Is the concept of metabolic syndrome truly applicable or relevant to diabetic patients in general? Investigations of these issues would aid the screening of diabetic patients at especially high risk of CVD, as well as inform and direct ethnic group–specific management of diabetes (16–19).**

**Acknowledgments—** The JDCS was financially supported by the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.

### References


---

**New metabolic syndrome definition in diabetes**

**Table 1—** Prevalence at baseline, age-adjusted HRs with 95% CIs, and incidence of CHD, stroke, or both in 1,124 Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (771 men and 353 women) according to individual cardiovascular risk factors comprising the metabolic syndrome as defined by the International Diabetes Federation (1,6–9) have been inadequate (10,11). Many important issues remain to be resolved. 1) Is the new definition of metabolic syndrome a good predictor of CVD in diabetic patients of differing ethnicities (12)? 2) Are there any other combinations of components (or different thresholds) that are better predictors of CVD in Asian diabetic patients (13–15)? 3) Is the concept of metabolic syndrome truly applicable or relevant to diabetic patients in general? Investigations of these issues would aid the screening of diabetic patients at especially high risk of CVD, as well as inform and direct ethnic group–specific management of diabetes (16–19).

**Acknowledgments—** The JDCS was financially supported by the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare of Japan.

### References


