Estimating Visceral Fat Area by Multi-Frequency Bioelectrical Impedance
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Objective: We developed a new method of estimating visceral fat area (VFA) using multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance (BI).

Research Design and Methods: We considered abdominal composition as a parallel circuit model composed of VFA and subcutaneous fat area and calculated the impedance of VFA (IP_{VFA}) from this model. The methods were tested against measures of VFA by computer tomography (CT). Multiple regression analysis was performed on the 103 participants to estimate VFA. We cross-validated the regression equation against CT measured VFA in 30 additional participants.

Results: The regression equation was:
\[
VFA = 3.57 \times \text{sagittal abdominal diameter} + 311.97 \times \text{waist-height ratio} + 0.71 \times \text{age} + 23.93 \times \text{sex} + 1.57 \times \text{IP}_{VFA} (250 \text{ kHz}) - 174.35 \quad (r = 0.904, p<0.01).
\]
We observed a strong correlation by cross-validation (\(r = 0.905\)).

Conclusion: Our method using BI is a simple and convenient method for accurately estimating VFA.
The accumulation of visceral fat area (VFA) induces several health risks (1-3), thus there is a need for a simple method of determining how much VFA has accumulated. The efficacies for estimating VFA using bioelectrical impedance (BI) have been reported (4-6). Because such studies found that the obtained impedance differed according to body posture (7-9), electrode arrangement (10, 11), and frequency (12), we previously developed a method of estimating VFA using BI by selecting appropriate measurement conditions (13). However, we could not eliminate the effect of subcutaneous fat area (SFA). In the present study, we overcame this problem and developed a new method of estimating VFA using BI.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
We recruited 84 men and 49 women aged 20–67 years from among the students and teaching and administrative staff at our university. All participants were fully informed of the procedures, risk and discomfort. The study protocol was approved by the Bioethics Committee of Utsunomiya University.

The details of the study method have been described previously (13). Briefly, we measured anthropometry parameters. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight divided by the square of the height. Waist-height ratio (WhtR) and waist-hip ratio were calculated as waist circumference (W) divided by height and by hip circumference, respectively. We took computer tomography (CT) at the umbilical level and calculated VFA and SFA using the image analysis software Fat Scan Version 3.0 (N2 System Corporation, Hyogo, Japan). The methods were tested against measures of VFA by CT. We measured impedance by the tetrapolar impedance method using multi-frequency BI (MFBIA-07, Tanita Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The repeatability of this device was confirmed in the same participants (data not shown). We measured impedance more than two hours after exercise, eating, and drinking. Impedance was measured at the frequencies of 5, 25, 50, 100, and 250 kHz in the supine posture and the value measured the first time was used. We used two types of electrode arrangement. First, we placed sensing electrodes symmetrically about the body axis, at a separation of 10 cm. Current electrodes were placed to the right and left of the sensing electrodes. The distance between the current electrodes and sensing electrodes was 10 cm (13). From this electrode arrangement, we obtained the impedance of the whole abdomen (IP\text{VFA+SFA}). After measuring IP\text{VFA+SFA}, we brought the right sensing electrode closer to the right current electrode, to 3 cm. From this electrode arrangement, we obtained the impedance of SFA (IP\text{SFA}) from just under the skin (10). In this study, we considered abdominal composition as a parallel circuit model composed of VFA and SFA. We calculated the impedance of VFA (IP\text{VFA}) for each frequency as:

\[
\text{IP}_{\text{VFA}} = \frac{\text{IP}_{\text{VFA+SFA}} \times \text{IP}_{\text{SFA}}}{\text{IP}_{\text{VFA+SFA}} - \text{IP}_{\text{SFA}}}. 
\]

Statistical Analysis. We randomly assigned participants into two groups, one of 103 participants and the other of 30 participants. Stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed on the 103 participants to estimate VFA. Their mean BMI and VFA by CT were 22.67 kg/m\(^2\) (range: 17.19-34.07 kg/m\(^2\)) and 51.71 cm\(^2\) (range: 5.55-212.20 cm\(^2\)), respectively. Independent variables were sex, age, anthropometry parameters, and IP\text{VFA} at each frequency. We cross-validated the regression equation against CT measured VFA in 30 additional participants (VFA range: 12.6-159.1 cm\(^2\)). The Bland-Altman method was used to examine the mean difference and 1.96 standard deviation (S.D.) between VFA observed by CT and that
estimated by IP\textsubscript{VFA} (14). We calculated the sensitivity and specificity at VFA\textsubscript{≥}100 cm\textsuperscript{2} by the regression equation (15). The correlation between impedance and VFA and SFA was examined by Pearson’s correlation coefficient. All \(p\) values were two-tailed and \(p<0.05\) was accepted as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The weakest and strongest correlation between impedance obtained at the five frequencies and VFA and SFA were \(r = 0.734-0.747\) (IP\textsubscript{VFA}) and \(r = 0.834-0.872\) (IP\textsubscript{SFA}), respectively.

The regression equation was:

\[
VFA = 3.57 \times \text{sagittal abdominal diameter} + 311.97 \times \text{WHtR} + 0.71 \times \text{age} + 23.93 \times \text{sex} + 1.57 \times \text{IP\textsubscript{VFA}} (250 kHz) - 174.35
\]

\((r = 0.904, p<0.01)\).

Also, we observed a strong correlation in the cross-validation subsample \((r = 0.905, p<0.01)\). The Bland-Altman method showed a mean difference and 1.96S.D. of 0.00 ± 40.78 cm\textsuperscript{2}. There was no increasing bias for heavier participants. We observed a high sensitivity and specificity (0.941 and 0.988, respectively) when we discriminated VFA\textsubscript{≥}100 cm\textsuperscript{2} or <100 cm\textsuperscript{2} by the regression equation. Meanwhile, W at the umbilicus level (man: W\textsubscript{≥}85 cm, woman: W\textsubscript{≥}90 cm) is used for screening of VFA\textsubscript{≥}100 cm\textsuperscript{2} in Japan (15), thus sensitivity and specificity were 0.882 and 0.919 by W in our participants.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In Japan, W at the umbilicus level was used to screen for VFA\textsubscript{≥} 100 cm\textsuperscript{2} because CT has some problems such as radiation exposure (15). However, our regression equation demonstrated higher sensitivity and specificity than W.

A major strength of our study is that the number of study participants was more than in any previous study (4-6, 13). Additionally, we cross-validated the regression equation, and obtained a strong correlation \((r=0.905, p<0.01)\). On the other hand, our study has several limitations. First, the study participants were young (mean age ± S.D.: 30.3 ± 10.8), and the proportion of VFA\textsubscript{≥} 100 cm\textsuperscript{2} was small (16.5%), so we may not be able to adapt this regression equation for middle-aged people who have a higher proportion of VFA\textsubscript{≥} 100 cm\textsuperscript{2} than young people. Second, the data is limited to the Japanese population, which may have different VFA characteristics than other populations.

Our new method using BI is a simple and convenient method for accurately estimating VFA. We can easily screen excess accumulation of VFA which is associated with metabolic syndrome. The method may be a useful tool for primary prevention of metabolic syndrome.
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Figure legend

Figure 1, Correlation plot between VFA observed by CT and VFA estimated by impedance

\[ r = 0.904 \]
\[ p < 0.01 \]