Retinal Vascular Geometry Predicts Incident Renal Dysfunction in Young People With Type 1 Diabetes
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OBJECTIVE—To examine the relationship between retinal vascular geometry parameters and development of incident renal dysfunction in young people with type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—A prospective cohort study of 511 adolescents with type 1 diabetes of at least 2 years duration, with normal albumin excretion rate (AER) and no retinopathy at baseline while attending an Australian tertiary-care hospital. AER was quantified using three overnight, timed urine specimen collections and early renal dysfunction was defined as AER > 7.5 μg/min. Retinal vascular geometry (including length-to-diameter ratio [LDR] and simple tortuosity [ST]) was quantified from baseline retinal photographs. Generalized estimating equations were used to examine the relationship between incident renal dysfunction and baseline venular LDR and ST, adjusting for age, diabetes duration, glycated hemoglobin (A1C), blood pressure (BP), BMI, and cholesterol.

RESULTS—Diabetes duration at baseline was 4.8 (IQR 3.3–7.5) years. After a median 3.7 (2.3–5.7) years follow-up, 34% of participants developed incident renal dysfunction. In multivariate analysis, higher retinal venular LDR (odds ratio 1.7, 95% CI 1.2–2.4; quartile 1 vs. 1–3) and lower venular ST (1.6, 1.1–2.2; quartile 1 vs. 2–4) predicted incident renal dysfunction.

CONCLUSIONS—Retinal venular geometry independently predicted incident renal dysfunction in young people with type 1 diabetes. These noninvasive retinal measures may help to elucidate early mechanistic pathways for microvascular complications. Retinal venular geometry may be a useful tool to identify individuals at high risk of renal disease early in the course of diabetes.

A diffuse endotheliopathy, affecting all organ systems, contributes to microvascular complications in diabetes. Diabetic nephropathy (DN) begins soon after diabetes diagnosis with a possible genetic predisposition (1). DN is the leading cause of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in Western countries and accounts for >40% of ESRD in the United States. In addition, DN is associated with greater risk of severe visual impairment, stroke, and cardiac death. However, early markers that predict subsequent development of ESRD remain elusive. Microalbuminuria (albumin excretion rate [AER] > 20 μg/min), which is uncommon in young adolescents with type 1 diabetes early in the course of disease, is a well-established predictor of progression to overt nephropathy. Lower thresholds for early renal dysfunction (AER > 7.5 μg/min) have been clearly demonstrated (2–4) as predictors of microalbuminuria. A better understanding of early microvascular changes in diabetes may allow early identification, prevention, and treatment of those at risk for ESRD and its comorbidities. Thus, surrogate measures of risk need to be explored in young people early in the course of diabetes.

There is emerging evidence that retinal vascular changes, such as retinal vascular diameters, are associated with diabetes complications including retinopathy, coronary artery disease, and stroke (5–7), supporting the view that retinal vascular changes may be early markers of systemic endothelial damage (8). In adults with type 1 diabetes, the incidence of gross proteinuria was associated with wider retinal venules, but not arterioles (9).

Retinal vessel diameter represents only a single parameter of the retinal vascular tree, which is dynamic and influenced by ambient glycemia particularly early in diabetes (10), whereas longer diabetes duration (>5 years) is associated with wider vascular diameters (11–13). Therefore, parameters such as vessel tortuosity and length-to-diameter ratio (LDR) may provide greater information on the pathobiology of complications early in the course of disease. We recently demonstrated that arteriolar LDR and tortuosity predicted incident diabetic retinopathy in adolescents with type 1 diabetes (14). However, the relationship between these geometric parameters and DN has not been previously studied.

We hypothesized that changes in retinal venular LDR (LDRV) and simple tortuosity (STV) may predict early incident renal dysfunction. In this study we examined whether retinal vascular geometry in young people with type 1 diabetes, free of retinopathy and with normal renal function at baseline assessment, predicted subsequent incident renal dysfunction.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—We conducted a prospective cohort study in 951 young people aged 12–20 years with type 1 diabetes of minimum 2 years duration at a tertiary hospital in Australia between 1990 and...
2007 as previously described (14). All were free of retinopathy at baseline and had a minimum of two diabetes complication assessments. The number of people with baseline photographs suitable for grading was 736 (77%). No baseline urine samples were available for 70 (10%) who were excluded from the study. AIC in those without urine samples at baseline was higher (8.9 vs. 8.5%; \( P = 0.04 \)) but no other baseline differences were observed. Thus, 666 participants qualified for the study. Of these, 511 had normal AER at baseline (AER \( \leq 7.5 \mu g/min \)) and 155 had elevated AER. Predictive models were based on the 511 with normal AER. Baseline characteristics are described for all 666 participants (Table 1). Participants were prospectively followed using standardized interviews, examinations, and laboratory investigations at each visit as previously described (15). The study was approved by The Children’s Hospital at Westmead Ethics, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Definition of early renal dysfunction**

Incident renal dysfunction was defined as an AER \( > 7.5 \mu g/min \) from three overnight, timed urine collections. This cutoff is above the 95th percentile of the normal adolescent population and predictive of microalbuminuria (3,4). Urinary albumin was measured using a polyclonal radioimmunoassay (Pharmacia RIA, Uppsala, Sweden) from 1997 to March 2000, changed to nephelometry (Immage analyzer; Beckman Coulter, Sydney, Australia) until 2003, and then changed to Immulite analyzer (Siemens, Los Angeles, CA) from 2004 onwards. A cross-validation analysis found no significant differences or systematic bias between methods in the estimation of urinary albumin concentration. The slope of the fitted regression between the values obtained from each of the assays did not differ significantly from unity, nor did an intercept term differ significantly from zero.

**Retinal vascular geometry and diabetic nephropathy**

Retinal vascular geometry was analyzed from baseline retinal photographs. All slides were individually assessed for grading suitability. Right-eye, digitized retinal photographs of each patient were analyzed by a single grader (M.B.S.), masked to participants’ characteristics, using a semiautomated, computer-assisted image program (Singapore I Vessel Assessment or SIVA) as previously described (14,15). The grader ensured that the vessel type selected by the program was correct. The software then combined the individual measurements into summary indices:

- LDR was calculated as the length from the midpoint of the first branch to the midpoint of the second branch, divided by the diameter of the parent vessel at the first branch.
- ST was calculated as the ratio between the actual path length of the vessel

---

**Table 1—Baseline characteristics by renal function status (AER \( > 7.5 \mu g/min \)) through the study period**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal renal function ( \leq 7.5 \mu g/min )</th>
<th>Incident renal dysfunction ( \geq 7.5 \mu g/min )</th>
<th>Renal dysfunction at baseline</th>
<th>( P )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( n = 337 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>158/337 (47)</td>
<td>94/174 (54)</td>
<td>83/155 (54)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.7 [12.8–15.2]</td>
<td>13.3 [12.8–14.5] (^*)</td>
<td>14.3 [13.1–15.3] (^*)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>4.7 [3.7–7.6]</td>
<td>5.2 [3.4–7.4]</td>
<td>5.1 [3.7–7.9]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AER (( \mu g/min ))</td>
<td>3.8 (1.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (1.8) (^*)</td>
<td>17.3 (27.5) (^*)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident retinopathy (%)</td>
<td>114/337 (34)</td>
<td>88/174 (51) (^*)</td>
<td>56/155 (36)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1C (%)</td>
<td>8.4 (1.3)</td>
<td>8.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>8.7 (1.3) (^*)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP SDS</td>
<td>0.14 (0.98)</td>
<td>0.29 (0.94)</td>
<td>0.35 (1.03) (^*)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>111 (12.2)</td>
<td>112 (11.3)</td>
<td>114 (12.5) (^*)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP SDS</td>
<td>0.33 (0.81)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.77) (^*)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>66 (8.4)</td>
<td>67 (7.4)</td>
<td>69 (8.5) (^*)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>21.7 (3.3)</td>
<td>21.6 (4.0)</td>
<td>22.2 (3.2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.2 [3.7–4.8]</td>
<td>4.2 [3.7–4.7]</td>
<td>4.3 [3.8–4.8]</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (( \geq 4 ) injections/day)</td>
<td>88/329 (27)</td>
<td>39/168 (23)</td>
<td>31/153 (20)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriolar caliber (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>157 (15)</td>
<td>155 (15)</td>
<td>154 (14) (^*)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venular caliber (( \mu m ))</td>
<td>218 (21)</td>
<td>217 (21)</td>
<td>217 (21)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriolar branching angle ((^\circ))</td>
<td>85.1 (10.4)</td>
<td>82.8 (10.1)</td>
<td>85.9 (10.7)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venular branching angle ((^\circ))</td>
<td>80.0 (10.3)</td>
<td>78.5 (13.6)</td>
<td>79.5 (10.8)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{Data are } n (\%) \), mean (SD), or median [IQR]; \( \text{\( P \) refers to differences between the three groups. \*Refers to statistically significant difference (} P < 0.05 \text{) compared with the normal renal function group.} \)
The intragrader correlation coefficient among images to which the grader was masked.

Results—At baseline, median age was 13.5 (IQR 12.8–14.9) years and diabetes duration 4.8 (3.3–7.5) years. Baseline characteristics stratified by renal function status are shown in Table 1. Those with renal dysfunction at baseline were older and had higher AER, A1C, SBP, and DBP than the other groups (Table 1).

Overall baseline CRAE was smaller in those who had renal dysfunction at baseline, whereas CRVE was not significantly different. In the incident renal dysfunction group, arteriolar branching angle was significantly greater among those with longer diabetes duration, whereas venular branching angle did not differ (Table 1).

Of the 511 participants with normal AER at baseline, median follow-up was 3.7 (IQR 2.3–5.7) years, with 3.0 (2.0–4.0) visits per participant and 1,898 clinical assessments. Incident renal dysfunction was evident in 34%; those who developed incident renal dysfunction had higher mean baseline AER (P < 0.001) and were generally younger (P = 0.02) than those who had normal AER throughout follow-up. No significant differences were observed with respect to sex distribution, diabetes duration, BP SDS, BMI SDS, insulin therapy intensity, or glycemic control (A1C) between these groups (Table 1). A greater proportion of those who developed incident renal dysfunction also developed incident retinopathy (P < 0.001). There was no significant correlation between LDRv and STv (Pearson correlation 0.04, P = 0.4).

In Cox proportional hazard regression analysis (n = 511), LDRv in the highest quartile (HR 2.0 [95% CI 1.3–2.9]), STv

---

**Table 2—LDRv and STv predict incident renal dysfunction. Predictive GEE univariate and multivariate models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate 2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LDRv Quartiles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartiles 1–3</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 4</td>
<td>1.48 (1.05–2.10)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.69 (1.17–2.44)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STv Quartiles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile 1</td>
<td>1.51 (1.06–2.13)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.55 (1.08–2.22)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartiles 2–4</td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ref</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-LDRv</td>
<td>1.90 (1.19–3.03)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.37 (1.42–3.95)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.1–2.2)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1.57 (1.13–2.18)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.60 (1.10–2.33)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06–1.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.10 (1.06–1.14)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.12 (1.08–1.16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1C (%)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.07–1.29)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.22 (1.08–1.38)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1.16 (1.02–1.32)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDI (≥4 injections/day)</td>
<td>1.45 (1.07–1.97)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models adjusted for sex, age, diabetes duration, and A1C. Cholesterol, SBP SDS, DBP SDS, and BMI SDS not significant in models.
in the lowest quartile (1.5 [1.1–2.1]), and higher DBP SDS and A1C were associated with a higher cumulative risk of early renal dysfunction (Fig. 1). There were no significant differences in risk between quartiles 1–3 for LDRv and quartiles 2–4 for STv.

In univariate GEE analysis (n = 511), log-LDRv, as a continuous variable, predicted incident renal dysfunction (OR 1.9), whereas log-STv as a continuous variable, was not statistically significant (OR 1.00 [95% CI 0.98–1.01]; P = 0.4). When analyzing for a threshold effect, those in the highest LDRv quartile (OR 1.5) and lowest STv quartile (OR 1.5) were at greater risk of incident renal dysfunction. Other significant risk factors for incident renal dysfunction included longer diabetes duration, higher A1C, and male sex (Table 2).

In multivariate GEE analysis, log-LDRv predicted incident renal dysfunction (OR 2.4) after adjusting for age, sex, diabetes duration, A1C, DBP SDS, BMI, and total cholesterol. When analyzing for a threshold effect, those in the highest LDRv quartile (OR 1.7) and lowest STv quartile (OR 1.6) were at greater risk of incident renal dysfunction (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS—In this longitudinal study of young people with type 1 diabetes, normal AER, and no retinopathy at baseline, greater retinal venular LDR and lower venular tortuosity predicted incident renal dysfunction independent of established risk factors including diabetes duration, glycemic control, BP, and total cholesterol. We also confirmed our recent findings that lower arteriolar LDR and greater arteriolar tortuosity were associated with incident retinopathy (data not shown) (14).

We recently proposed that, in the diabetic milieu, neuroretinal hypoxia leads to a compensatory increase in afferent blood flow through increased vessel density (earlier arteriolar branching resulting in lower arteriolar LDR) and a corresponding increase in arteriolar tortuosity (14). Although this described the afferent changes in blood flow, it is important to understand the adaptations at the efferent (venular) end and their significance.

Whereas arteriolar changes may best represent localized organ tissue changes (14,15), venular changes may better reflect systemic compensation and maladaptation. Determinants of resistance to blood flow within a vascular network include the individual blood vessel size (caliber and length), the organization of the vascular network (series and parallel), and the flow characteristics (laminar versus turbulent). Although the microcirculation provides most of the systemic vascular resistance, it has low Reynolds numbers and therefore turbulence at this level is unlikely to occur. In this setting, hydrostatic and oncotic pressures, viscosity, and wall shear stress would be most influential. Hyperglycemia causes significant hemodynamic and rheological changes (19), including increased retinal blood flow (20) and blood viscosity (19). Furthermore, changes to particulate components of blood include greater platelet aggregation (19) and increased leukocyte numbers with higher integrin-mediated adhesion properties promoting leukostasis (11). We propose that decreased venular network complexity together with early vascular tone dysregulation and non-Newtonian influences contribute to increased capillary hydrostatic pressure, greater wall shear stress, and vessel damage. Thus, individuals with a simplified venular network may be at greater risk of microvascular complications such as renal dysfunction.

Baseline characteristics (Table 1) demonstrated overall narrower arteriolar calibers in those with renal dysfunction. However, longer diabetes duration was associated with wider vessel calibers.

Figure 1—A: Cumulative hazard curves for risk of early renal dysfunction by LDRv quartiles: quartile 4 vs. quartiles 1–3 (HR 2.0 [95% CI 1.3–2.9]) adjusted for sex, A1C, and DBP SDS. B: Cumulative hazard curves for risk of early renal dysfunction by STv: quartile 1 vs. quartiles 2–4 (1.5 [1.1–2.1]) adjusted for sex, A1C, and DBP SDS.
(both arteriolar and venular). This may describe the natural history of microvascular changes in diabetes: 1) early impairment of microvascular autoregulation with inappropriate vasoconstriction, 2) subsequent compensatory dilation, 3) loss of smooth muscle cells and pericytes (or podocytes in the kidney), and 4) loss of wall structural integrity and, finally, irreversible dilation. Early hyperglycemia results in a downregulation of large-conductance, calcium-activated potassium channels necessary for vascular smooth muscle cell relaxation, leading to early vasocostriction and neuroretinal hypoxia (21). Diabetic rat models demonstrated a similar dysregulation in the renal microvasculature early in diabetes with severe insulin deficiency. It has been speculated that tissue hypoxia beyond a certain threshold may override the vasoconstrictive effect of diabetes (12). Finally, large population-based studies associated wider retinal venules with proteinuria in adults with type 1 diabetes of longer duration (13).

Changes in vessel caliber are most influential in the acute regulation of blood flow. According to the Poiseuille equation, vessel resistance is inversely proportional to the vessel radius to the fourth power ($r^4$). Thus, even small changes in the diameter of arterioles and venules can lead to significant changes in blood flow and capillary pressures. Capillary hypertension has been associated with both retinopathy (12) and nephropathy (22). Classical renal micropuncture studies demonstrated that glomerular hypertension ensued in diabetes models even in the setting of normal BP (23). Diabetes led to an impairment of glomerular circulatory autoregulation, with greater vasodilatation of the afferent arteriole than the efferent arteriole, which resulted in greater intraglomerular capillary pressure (23). Ultimately, this exposes the glomeruli to increased intracapillary pressure, increased shear stress and mechanical stretch. Mechanical stretch has been observed to favor an accumulation of extracellular matrix (24) and a decrease in podocyte number through effects on cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell adhesion to the basement membrane (22). In this setting, even minor increments in systemic BP would exacerbate glomerular hypertension in a self-perpetuating cycle of ongoing hypertensive injury with impaired microvascular autoregulation. The efficacy of ACE inhibitors in retarding the progression of DN may in part be due to their vasodilatory effect on the efferent glomerular arteriole, thus decreasing glomerular capillary pressure (25).

Although we observed higher BP in those with baseline renal dysfunction (Table 1), BP measured at each visit did not predict renal dysfunction in GEE longitudinal analysis (Table 2). A longer follow-up period may be required to examine this relationship with adequate statistical power.

In our study, A1C was an independent predictor of early renal dysfunction in keeping with previous reports (26). Loss of capillary autoregulation, higher glomerular capillary pressure, and decrease in podocytes are related to the severity and chronicity of hyperglycemia (22).

This study of normotensive young people with no intercurrent medications provides an insight into the preclinical renal dysfunction associated with diabetes and may assist in identifying those at greatest risk where early intervention may yield greatest benefit. The lack of a statistically significant association between BP and incident renal dysfunction in our multivariate models contrasts with previous findings regarding retinopathy (27). It is noteworthy that a significantly greater proportion of those who developed incident renal dysfunction also developed incident retinopathy. Thus, changes in BP may only become apparent later in the course of renal disease where capillary bed autoregulation may no longer be able to compensate for metabolic demands. This also suggests that retinal hypoxic demands may have a greater influence in BP autoregulation than changes in renal microvasculature. This is consistent with our previous observations between BP and retinopathy in young people with type 1 diabetes (27).

Our findings suggest that a simplified venular network (i.e., greater LDRv and lower STv) results in a greater risk of early renal dysfunction. As previously described, lower LDR can result from shorter axial length due to earlier branching points and/or wider vessel calibers (14).

Our current software does not report vessel length; however, our results suggest venular axial length was increased in view of the wider venules observed with longer diabetes duration. These early venular differences may represent greater tissue metabolic demands, mechanical stress, and an increased propensity for early nephropathy. Our measures of tortuosity and LDR had good reproducibility; however, branching angles are particularly vulnerable to parallax error as reflected in the relatively low intraobserver correlation.

Not unexpectedly, therefore, there were no significant associations in GEE models between branching angles and renal dysfunction.

The strengths of this study include the longitudinal design, a large patient cohort with multiple visits per individual, and standardized, quantitative evaluation of retinal vascular measures by a single grader masked to participants' clinical status. Our young cohort without comorbidities avoids confounders present in older groups with established complications and medical therapy. The use of GEEs allowed inclusion of every available patient visit in the analysis and accounts for uneven follow-up and missing data. The reproducibility of LDR and ST measures (ICCs ranging from 0.80 to 0.96) was comparable with retinal vascular caliber measurements in the Atherosclerosis Risk on Community study (ICCs ranging from 0.79 to 0.83) (28). Repeated longitudinal LDR and ST measures would strengthen this study. This cohort from a tertiary referral center may be biased toward closer monitoring, tighter metabolic control, and, thus, underrepresentation of early renal dysfunction; conversely, this underscores the robust nature of the measures studied.

Limitations of our measurements include the use of the central retinal field only and the lack of reporting absolute values for vessel length. Therefore, we cannot generalize our findings to the whole microvascular bed involving peripheral retinal areas that need further study both structurally and functionally. The spherical nature of the retinal surface cannot be accurately assessed in two-dimensional photographs and has an inherent degree of parallax error when evaluating branching angles. Nevertheless, our results demonstrate the potential utility of novel quantitative measurements of retinal vascular geometry from this central field, which is practical and reproducible, in people with diabetes.

In summary, retinal vascular geometry parameters, specifically retinal venular LDR and tortuosity, are independent predictors of incident renal dysfunction in young people with type 1 diabetes. These noninvasive retinal measures may further our understanding of early mechanistic pathways for microvascular complications. Functional studies examining blood flow would complement these findings. Future studies replicating these findings in other populations are needed. Our study highlights the role of venular LDR and tortuosity...
as tools for risk stratification of individuals at high risk for microvascular complications, and in monitoring of disease progression and therapeutic benefits.
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