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OBJECTIVE—To examine the independent and combined association of isometric muscle strength of the abdomen and back and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) in youth with indices of glucose metabolism in young adulthood among boys and girls from the European Youth Heart Study.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—We used data from a population-based prospective cohort study among youth followed-up for up to 12 years (n=317). In youth, maximal voluntary contractions during isometric back extension and abdominal flexion were determined using a strain-gauge dynamometer and CRF was obtained from a maximal cycle ergometer test. Insulin resistance (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [HOMA-IR]) and β-cell function (homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function [HOMA-B]) were estimated from fasting serum insulin and glucose that were obtained in youth and at follow-up in young adulthood.

RESULTS—For each 1-SD difference in isometric muscle strength (0.16 N/kg) in youth, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood changed with −11.3% (95% CI, −17.0 to −5.2), −12.2% (−18.2 to −5.7), and −8.9% (−14.4 to −3.0), respectively, in young adulthood after adjustment for CRF and personal lifestyle and demographic factors. Results for CRF were very similar in magnitude, and the magnitude of associations for both exposures was unchanged with additional adjustment for general or abdominal adiposity in youth. Combined associations of muscle strength and CRF with fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B were additive, and adolescents in the highest sex-specific tertile for both isometric muscle strength and CRF had the lowest levels of these glucose metabolism outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS—Increasing muscle strength and CRF should be targets in youth primordial prevention strategies of insulin resistance and β-cell dysfunction.

Previously, type 2 diabetes was very rare in young people. Today, it is more common not only in young adults but also in youth, and a similar trend has been observed for impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance (1–3), which are considered precursors of type 2 diabetes. Youth and young adults with type 2 diabetes or prediabetes are at risk for premature mortality and early complications (4,5), making prevention critical. Numerous prospective epidemiological studies among adults suggest that regular participation in aerobic moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) and high cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes and are associated with healthier glucose metabolism (6,7). However, less is known from prospective studies about the importance of fitness in childhood and adolescence (8). In addition, it is unknown whether muscle strength in youth is associated with impaired glucose metabolism in adulthood independent of CRF. In this study, we aimed to examine the independent and combined association of isometric muscle strength of the abdomen and back and CRF in youth with fasting glucose, insulin, insulin resistance, and β-cell function in young adulthood among men and women from the European Youth Heart Study (EYHS) followed-up for a period of up to 12 years. We also assessed the extent to which these associations were mediated or confounded by general and abdominal adiposity.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Design
We used data from the Danish cohort of the EYHS, an international, population-based, multicenter study that addresses cardiovascular disease risk factors in children and adolescents. A detailed description of the EYHS has been published elsewhere (9). In this study a random sample of 658 15-year-olds were invited to participate in 1997–1998, of whom 429 (65%) agreed to take part in the study. Isometric muscle strength was assessed in a subgroup of 243 participants in 1997–1998. In 2003–2004, another random sample of 771 15-year-olds was invited, of whom 444 (58%) agreed to take part, and 441 of these participants had isometric muscle strength evaluated. In 2009–2010, a 6- or 12-year follow-up was conducted in which all originally...
invited participants from the 1997–1998 and 2003–2004 studies were reinvited. In this study, 317 participants had complete data for all outcomes, exposures, and covariates. Ninety-four percent of the participants were postpubertal based on Tanner stage evaluation. The local scientific Ethics Committee approved the study and all participants gave informed consent to participate.

**Muscle strength**

We obtained isometric muscle strength during maximal voluntary contraction of abdominal and back muscles using a strain-gauge dynamometer (10). The participants were standing upright and positioned with a strap around the shoulders connected to the dynamometer. Abdominal maximal voluntary contraction was performed with the back against the dynamometer performing maximal forward flexion. For maximal voluntary contraction of the low back muscles, the participants were positioned with the front against the dynamometer, performing maximal backward extension. Isometric muscle strength was expressed as the mean of abdominal and back strength relative to body weight. High reliability of these particular isometric strength measures (intraclass correlation coefficient >0.9) has been reported in a previous study among Danish adults (11).

**Cardiorespiratory fitness**

CRF was assessed during a progressive maximal ergometer bicycle test (Ergomedic 839; Monark, Varberg, Sweden) as previously described (9). During the test, heart rate was recorded every 5 s using a heart rate monitor (Polar Vantage). Criteria for maximal effort were heart rate of ≥185 bpm and a subjective judgment by the observer that the participant could no longer continue, even after encouragement. Maximal power output (wattmax) was used to estimate maximal oxygen uptake using the following equation: VO2max (mL) = 0.465 + (0.0112 × wattmax) + (0.172 × sex), where sex represents boys = 1 and girls = 0 (12). This particular fitness test is highly reproducible (coefficient of variation 2.5–4.8%). Furthermore, a validation study among 15-year-olds has shown that this measure is highly correlated with VO2max assessed directly (r > 0.90; P < 0.001) (13).

**Other covariates**

Height and weight were measured while the participants were wearing light clothing, without shoes, using standard anthropometric procedures. Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 1 mm at the midpoint between the lower ribs and the iliac crest with a flexible tape. Smoking status (yes or no), television viewing (hours per day), monthly frequency of soft drink consumption, and monthly fruit and vegetable intake were obtained by self-report of adolescence using a computer-based questionnaire as described previously (9,14). Family history of diabetes (paternal or maternal, yes or no) and parental educational level were obtained by parental self-report. Parental educational status was defined according to the International Standard Classification of Education (United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization 1997). However, because the details obtained regarding the description of education were insufficient, the International Standard Classification of Education seven-point scale was combined into three new groups (I = level 1–2; II = level 3–4; and III = level 5–7). MVPa was assessed using accelerometry with data reduction as described previously (15). Specifically, an accelerometer output >2,000 counts/min (equivalent to walking ~4 km/h) was defined as MVPa and expressed as percentage of total registered time. Weight-bearing activity such as resistance exercise is grossly underestimated when using accelerometry-measured activity.

**Fasting insulin and glucose**

A fasting blood sample (overnight) was taken in the morning from the antecubital vein. Samples were aliquoted and separated within 30 min, and then stored at −80°C until they were transported to World Health Organization–certified laboratories in Bristol (United Kingdom) for analysis of baseline samples and Cambridge (United Kingdom) for analysis of follow-up samples. Samples were analyzed for serum glucose and insulin. Glucose was analyzed using the hexokinase method (Olympus AU600 autoanalyzer; Olympus Diagnostica, Hamburg, Germany) at baseline and on a Dade Behring Dimension Rxl autoanalyzer (Siemens Healthcare, Camberley, UK) at follow-up. Insulin was analyzed using enzyme immunoassay (microtiter plate format, Dako Diagnostics [at baseline]; 1235 AutoDELFIA automatic immunoassay [at follow-up]). Between-laboratory correlations for glucose and insulin for 30 randomly selected samples analyzed at both laboratories were 0.94–0.98 at baseline (16).

The homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR: fasting glucose [mmol/L] × insulin [µU/mL] / 22.5) and homoeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-B; insulin [µU/mL] × 20/glucose [mmol/L] − 3.5) were used to quantify the level of insulin resistance and secretion (17). Both these measures have been validated as indices of insulin resistance and pancreatic β-cell function in healthy adolescents (18).

**Statistics**

We analyzed the associations of isometric muscle strength and CRF in adolescence with fasting glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood using multiple linear regression analyses with baseline levels of respective variables included as a covariate. In basic models, age in adolescence, age in young adulthood, sex, and recruitment period were adjusted for. Values of insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B were natural log–transformed. Thus, regression coefficients from these models were exponentiated to give ratios of geometric means (expressed in percent) per SD difference in isometric muscle strength and CRF. In multivariable analyses, we additionally adjusted for parental educational level, current smoking, family history of diabetes, frequency of intake of soft drinks, and intake of fruit and vegetables. Muscle strength and CRF in youth also were included in the same model to examine their independent influence on glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood. We then analyzed the association of muscle strength with the odds of insulin resistance, defined as HOMA-IR value >75th percentile in young adulthood (19), using multiple logistic regression adjusting for the same covariates as in the linear models including HOMA-IR at baseline. Finally, we assessed the joint association of muscle strength and CRF by constructing a joint variable of tertiles of muscle strength and CRF, respectively, and associated that with the outcomes in multivariable models. Because no sex-dependent or recruitment period–dependent associations for any outcomes were observed, we present all analyses for men, women, and recruitment period (follow-up time) combined, but with appropriate statistical adjustment. Standard linear regression diagnostics were performed, including examining linearity and normality of residuals.

In sensitivity analyses, we compared associations of the nonimputed sample
RESULTS—Baseline characteristics adjusted for sex by tertiles of isometric muscle strength in adolescence are shown in Table 1. Isometric muscle strength in adolescence was inversely associated with adolescent BMI, waist circumference, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and television viewing, and was positively associated with cardiovascular fitness and intake of fruits and vegetables at baseline.

Isometric muscle strength and CRF in youth were both significantly inversely associated with fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood in multivariable-adjusted analyses (Table 2). Although associations of adolescent muscle strength and CRF with fasting glucose in young adulthood were in the expected inverse direction, these did not reach statistical significance. When muscle strength and CRF were included in the same multivariable models, associations with insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B were only marginally attenuated for both variables. For each 1-SD difference in muscle strength (0.16 N/kg) in youth, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood changed −11.3, −12.2, and −8.9%, respectively. The magnitudes of associations for CRF were fairly similar; for each SD difference in CRF in youth, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood changed −12.8, −13.3, and −10.0%, respectively. When we additionally adjusted our analyses for waist circumference as a confounder or mediator the nonimputed analyses (Supplementary Table 1). Analyzing isometric abdominal and back strength separately also yielded fairly similar associations compared with using the mean of abdominal and back isometric strength (Supplementary Table 2).

For the association of muscle strength and CRF in youth (in the same multivariable-adjusted model) with the odds of insulin resistance in young adulthood, each 1-SD difference in muscle strength (0.16 N/kg) and CRF (6.8 mL O2/min/kg) in youth was significantly associated with 0.56 (95% CI, 0.39–0.81) and 0.63 (0.43–0.94) lower odds of adverse levels of HOMA-IR in young adulthood, respectively. Participants in the third sex-specific tertile of isometric muscle strength had 0.31 (0.15–0.66) lower odds of insulin resistance in young adulthood. Furthermore, participants in the third sex-specific tertile of CRF had 0.48 (0.23–1.01) lower odds of insulin resistance in young adulthood. There were no indications of the associations of muscle strength or CRF with HOMA-IR being nonlinear in these models.

Finally, Table 3 shows the joint associations of isometric muscle strength and CRF in adolescence with fasting glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood. The inverse associations of isometric muscle strength with insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood were generally observed in each tertile of CRF. There was no statistical evidence of multiplicative interactions between muscle strength and CRF on these outcomes, and results suggested an additive effect of muscle strength and CRF on glucose metabolism outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS—In this prospective study of a population sample of Danish men and women, isometric muscle strength and CRF in youth were inversely associated with fasting insulin, and inversely associated with markers of insulin.
Table 2—Isometric trunk muscle strength and cardiopulmonary fitness in youth and fasting glucose, insulin, HOMA-IR, and HOMA-B in young adulthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>β (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose*</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.08 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.08 to 0.02)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin†</td>
<td>-15.3 (-20.4 to -9.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-16.6 (-20.0 to -9.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR‡</td>
<td>-16.3 (-21.7 to -10.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-15.6 (-21.2 to -9.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-B§</td>
<td>-12.1 (-17.0 to -6.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-11.8 (-16.8 to -6.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose*</td>
<td>-0.04 (-0.09 to 0.01)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.03 (-0.08 to 0.03)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin†</td>
<td>-17.0 (-22.7 to -10.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-16.6 (-22.5 to -10.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR‡</td>
<td>-17.8 (-23.9 to -11.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-17.3 (-23.6 to -10.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-B§</td>
<td>-13.2 (-18.7 to -7.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-13.2 (-18.7 to -7.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 was adjusted for baseline levels of risk factor, age, sex, and recruitment period. Model 2 was as model 1 but with additional adjustment for television viewing, parental education level, smoking status, intake of soft drinks, fruit and vegetable intake, and family history of diabetes. Model 3 was as model 2 and included both muscle strength and CRF (mutually adjusted). Model 4 was as model 3 but with additional adjustment for waist circumference. *Beta coefficient (95% CI) represents mmol/L change in glucose in young adulthood per each 1-SD difference in muscle strength or CRF in adolescence. †Beta coefficient (95% CI) represents change in ratios of geometric means (expressed in percentage) in insulin, HOMA-IR, or HOMA-B in young adulthood per each 1-SD difference in muscle strength or CRF in adolescence.
the resistance exercise group improved insulin sensitivity (25). Although we have no data to support that participants with high isometric muscle strength of the abdomen and back engage more often in muscle-strengthening activities compared with participants with low muscle strength, findings from these and other exercise training studies clearly indicate that resistance training increases muscular strength (26). Our results are also largely in agreement with three previous cross-sectional studies among children and adolescents. A population-based study among Norwegian children and adolescents found that muscle fitness indicated by handgrip strength, standing broad jump, abdominal muscle endurance, and back muscle endurance were inversely associated with insulin resistance—indepen
dent of CRF (27). A study among European children and adolescents have reported inverse associations of handgrip strength and standing long jump with insulin resistance; however, it was not reported if these associations were independent of cardiovascular fitness (28). Finally, in a cross-sectional study among children and adolescents from New Zealand, maximal upper body muscle strength (bench press) was inversely associated with insulin resistance—indepen
dent of CRF (29). Our results extend these previous observations by the prospective nature of our study and the adjustments for putative lifestyle behaviors and sociodemographic confounders. The finding that CRF in childhood or youth is important for the prevention of insulin resistance in adulthood is supported by a previous study among Australian children and adolescents followed-up for a period of 20 years (8).

The similar magnitude of association of muscle strength and cardiovascular fitness with insulin resistance that we observed in the current study is in agreement with findings from experimental and observational studies among adults. The two largest trials among individuals with type 2 diabetes have not provided clear evidence that aerobic exercise is superior to resistance exercise for glycemic control (30,31). However, these studies indicated that the combination of aerobic and resistance exercise results in greatest improvement in glycemic control compared with either type of activity alone. The comparable effects of these two exercise regimes are also supported by a recent experimental study reporting that a single session of either aerobic or resistance exercise provided similar effects on 24-h postexercise glycemic control in insulin-resistant individuals with and without type 2 diabetes (32). Finally, in a prospective study of men from the Health Professionals Follow-up study, engagement in weight training and aerobic MVPA were both independently associated with reduced risk of incident type 2 diabetes with fairly comparable risk reduction sizes (33).

An important strength of the current study was that we were able to examine the independent associations for strength and CRF, and we were able to control for important confounding factors. Furthermore, all participants were young and healthy at baseline and, therefore, very likely to be free from subclinical conditions that may have affected muscle strength at baseline and regression of insulin resistance and β-cell dysfunction during follow-up. There are also a number of limitations to the study. First, the attrition analyses indicated a possibility of selective nonresponse; however, associations were very similar in imputed and nonimputed samples, which suggests that associations are unaffected by selection bias, and our results may have wider external validity. Second, the moderate study size precluded us from adequately powered subgroup analysis. Third, although we used a standardized test for the assessment of isometric muscle strength of the abdomen and back,
additional components of strength such as dynamic strength also may be important and their assessment would have provided more extensive information on overall muscle strength. Fourth, the observational nature of our study precludes us from excluding the possibility that unknown confounders or residual confounding explain our results. One such likely factor is diet, because the assessment of dietary intake was relatively crude in this study. Finally, a caveat of the study was that we assessed insulin resistance and β-cell function via HOMA-IR and HOMA-B, which mainly describe hepatic insulin resistance and steady-state insulin secretion, and generalizability to peripheral insulin resistance and insulin secretion in the stimulated state is uncertain (34).

In conclusion, our results show that lower isometric muscle strength and CRF in youth were independently associated with adverse levels of fasting insulin, insulin sensitivity, and β-cell function in young adulthood. The magnitude of associations for isometric muscle strength and for CRF were very similar, suggesting that participation in muscle-strengthening activities may be equally important as participating in aerobic activities in youth for maintaining healthy insulin sensitivity and β-cell function later in life. Furthermore, because associations for isometric muscle strength and CRF with these outcomes appeared additive, it may be beneficial to increase muscle strength at any level of CRF. Further studies are warranted to examine which specific physical activities explain the associations of isometric muscle strength with insulin sensitivity and β-cell function, and to what extent these associations are explained by skeletal muscle mass relative to body size. In addition, further studies should investigate whether the effects of strength and fitness in adolescence persist in adulthood despite changes in these physical fitness characteristics in adulthood.
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