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OBJECTIVE
To report the incidence of pancreatitis in type 2 diabetes trials of liraglutide and details of all pancreatitis cases.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
Data from Novo Nordisk–sponsored trials with liraglutide (phase 2 and 3; NN2211 identifiers) completed by 19 April 2013 were pooled. All pancreatitis cases were reviewed.

RESULTS
Total exposure to liraglutide and active comparators was 5,021 and 1,354 patient-years, respectively ($n = 6,345$ and 1,846, respectively). Eight cases of acute pancreatitis (AP) with liraglutide and one with any comparator (glimepiride) were found. The incidence of AP was 1.6 cases/1,000 patient-years exposure (PYE) for liraglutide vs. 0.7 cases/1,000 PYE for active comparators. One of the eight AP cases reported with liraglutide did not meet diagnostic criteria for AP. In six of these eight cases, recognized risk factors for AP were present and/or the onset of AP occurred >6 months after liraglutide initiation. All patients were receiving multiple medications. Four cases of chronic pancreatitis (CP) with liraglutide and none with comparators were found. One of these four cases fulfilled diagnostic criteria for CP; these criteria were not met or information was missing in the remaining three.

CONCLUSIONS
Based on the small number of cases observed, the incidences of reported AP and CP were numerically greater with liraglutide than with comparators. Not all cases fulfilled diagnostic criteria, and confounding variables were present in 75% of the AP cases with liraglutide therapy, precluding firm conclusions.

In 2007, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) prompted an update of the exenatide twice daily label to include a warning for acute pancreatitis (1). This update was based on six acute pancreatitis cases in patients receiving exenatide twice daily in clinical trials together with several postmarketing case reports (1);
similar observations were made with liraglutide (2,3). Today, GLP-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors carry warning text for acute pancreatitis on their labels (2,4–12).

A number of studies investigated the association between incretin-based therapies and pancreatitis, with varied conclusions (13–23). A systematic review and meta-analysis of 55 randomized clinical trials (33,350 patients) indicated an odds ratio (95% CI) for pancreatitis of 1.11 (0.57–2.17) with incretin-based therapies versus control; estimates calculated by incretin therapy class (GLP-1 receptor agonists or DPP-4 inhibitors) showed similar results (23). In the same study, the authors described results of five observational studies without pooled analysis due to variation in outcome measures and data forms. Four of these observational studies showed no increased risk of pancreatitis associated with incretin exposure, but one (19) linked exenatide or sitagliptin use with a significantly increased odds of acute pancreatitis (use within 2 years vs. no use 2.07 [1.36–3.13]) (23). Since its initial approval for clinical use in 2009, mixed conclusions have been drawn in publications related to liraglutide and pancreatitis. At least 11 case reports suggested a relationship between liraglutide and pancreatitis, highlighting pancreatitis as a potential complication of therapy and suggesting caution when prescribing liraglutide (17). Meanwhile, a meta-analysis of 25 longitudinal studies (22 randomized controlled trials, 3 retrospective cohort analyses, 775,602 patients) concluded that liraglutide was not associated with an increased risk of acute pancreatitis (odds ratio [95% CI] 0.97 [0.21–4.39]) (20). More recently, a liraglutide audit conducted by the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists reported that after 3,720 years of exposure to liraglutide across 6,010 patients, four cases of possible pancreatitis were documented. Likely causes were identified in three patients, and one patient had no etiological cause (24). The authors concluded that “people with type 2 diabetes are at greater risk of acute pancreatitis [hazard ratio between 1.5 and 2.8]. Thus, the possibility of liraglutide-associated pancreatitis in ‘real-world’ clinical practice (0.027/100 patient-years) represents a very small risk” (24). Based on a report showing pancreatic pathology in human tissue (15) and a claims database study indicating increased hospitalization for acute pancreatitis with sitagliptin or exenatide treatment (19), which were subsequently re-evaluated and criticized (25–27), both the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the FDA initiated investigations regarding the pancreatic safety of incretin-based therapies. In February 2014, the EMA and FDA concluded that based on available data, the “assertions concerning a causal association between incretin-based drugs and pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer, as expressed recently in the scientific literature and in the media, are inconsistent with the current data” (28). Until further information becomes available, pancreatitis will continue to be considered a risk associated with these therapies, and both agencies continue to monitor the safety signals. At the time of this writing, the EMA and FDA believed that “current knowledge is adequately reflected in the product information or labeling” (28). The current article reviews all cases of pancreatitis reported during Novo Nordisk–sponsored, randomized clinical trials of liraglutide as the primary investigational product for type 2 diabetes (Novo Nordisk trial identifiers prefixed with NN2211 only, completed by 19 April 2013).

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

All pancreatitis cases from intermediate- and long-term (phase 2 and 3), Novo Nordisk–sponsored, randomized clinical trials with liraglutide as the primary investigational product for the treatment of type 2 diabetes (Novo Nordisk trial identifiers prefixed with NN2211 only) completed by 19 April 2013 are reported (Clinicaltrials.gov identifiers NCT01509755, NCT01508949, NCT00154414, NCT00318422, NCT01511172, NCT00154401, NCT00318461, NCT00294723, NCT00333151, NCT00331851, NCT00393718, NCT00395746, NCT00614120, NCT00518882, NCT00620282, NCT00856986, NCT00700871, and NCT01511198; completion date criterion applied because a small number of additional trials are currently ongoing). There was no preselection of cases. No cases of pancreatitis were reported in phase 1 studies, so these trials were excluded from analysis.

A Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test was performed to estimate the relative risk of acute pancreatitis in the pooled liraglutide group versus the active comparator groups. Liraglutide was compared with two different active comparator groups (total active comparator group: glimepiride, rosiglitazone, insulin glargine, sitagliptin, and exenatide; active comparator group excluding sitagliptin and exenatide: glimepiride, rosiglitazone, and insulin glargine only). Calculations were performed using R version 2.14.2 (29) and SAS 9.1.3 (of the SAS System for PC; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) software.

All pancreatitis cases were reviewed by the authors, including a clinical pancreatologist (W.M.S.). Patient-level details were compiled, including patient histories, concomitant medications, lipase and amylase levels, pancreatitis event latency, and outcomes.

**RESULTS**

**Exposure to Liraglutide and Comparators**

A total of 9,016 patients were included in the study. Total exposure to liraglutide, active comparator groups, and placebo are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1—Total exposure to liraglutide, active comparator groups, and placebo</th>
<th>Exposure (patient-years)</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liraglutide (all doses)</td>
<td>5,021</td>
<td>6,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 mg once daily</td>
<td>1,215</td>
<td>3,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 mg once daily</td>
<td>2,726</td>
<td>1,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total active comparator group</td>
<td>1,354</td>
<td>1,846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active comparator group excluding sitagliptin and exenatide</td>
<td>1,070</td>
<td>1,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Many of the patients received these interventions for <1 year. Patients receiving doses other than liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg once daily were included in the study, and hence, the sum of exposure to liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg once daily does not total to that for the liraglutide (all doses) category.
Incidence of Acute Pancreatitis With Liraglutide and Comparators
Acute pancreatitis was recorded for eight patients receiving liraglutide (0.13% of liraglutide-treated patients, six with liraglutide 1.8 mg [0.195% of liraglutide 1.8 mg–treated patients] and two with liraglutide 1.2 mg [0.141% of liraglutide 1.2 mg–treated patients]), and one receiving a comparator (glimepiride; 0.05% of all active comparator–treated patients). No cases were reported with any other comparator, including rosiglitazone, insulin glargine, sitagliptin, exenatide, and placebo. This absence of cases precluded the calculation of the relative risk of acute pancreatitis with liraglutide versus individual active comparators or placebo.

The reporting rate for acute pancreatitis was 1.6 cases/1,000 patient-years of exposure (PYE) for liraglutide vs. 0.7 cases/1,000 PYE for total active comparators and 0.9 cases/1,000 PYE for comparators excluding sitagliptin and exenatide. The relative risk with liraglutide versus total active comparators was 2.1 (95% CI 0.3, 16.0; \( P = 0.4478 \)) and 1.7 (0.2, 13.2; \( P = 0.6241 \)) versus the active comparator group excluding sitagliptin and exenatide.

Review of Acute Pancreatitis Cases
Established criteria for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis are presented in Table 2. Details of the nine cases of acute pancreatitis were compiled and are summarized in Table 3. Among the eight acute pancreatitis cases reported with liraglutide, one (case 1) did not fulfill the established criteria (30) for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis (no significant upper abdominal pain and negative imaging results) (Table 3). In four cases (cases 2, 3, 5, and 6), risk factors for acute pancreatitis (including gallstones, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography [ERCP] with sphincterotomy and chronic calcific pancreatitis) were present. Two patients with acute pancreatitis were found to have gallstones on autopsy (case 2) or surgery (case 5); the latter also had a greater than threefold elevation of alanine aminotransferase levels on admission, which could be suggestive of a stone in the common bile duct. In one case (case 3), the patient was hospitalized for acute pancreatitis on the same day as an ERCP with sphincterotomy. One patient (case 6) had an acute exacerbation of chronic pancreatitis (computed tomography [CT] scan showed calcification of the pancreas). In addition, six patients (cases 1–6) had onset latencies (the time between starting the drug and the development of acute pancreatitis) of 196–668 days. All patients were receiving multiple concomitant medications in addition to liraglutide or comparator. Eight patients recovered, and one in the liraglutide arm (case 2) died.

Incidence of Chronic Pancreatitis With Liraglutide and Comparators
Chronic pancreatitis was recorded for four patients receiving liraglutide (0.06% of liraglutide–treated patients; three with liraglutide 1.8 mg and one with liraglutide 0.6 mg). There were no cases of chronic pancreatitis in patients receiving a comparator.

Review of Chronic Pancreatitis Cases
Criteria for the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis are presented in Table 2 (31). Details of the four cases of chronic pancreatitis reported in Table 4. All chronic pancreatitis cases occurred in patients treated with liraglutide and other medications. Two patients (cases 1 and 2) did not describe upper abdominal pain as a symptom (Table 4). In case 1, a CT scan showed no pancreatic abnormality except nephrocalcinosis; pancreatic findings from the CT scan were not reported for case 2. In the remaining two cases, imaging showed calcification in the head of the pancreas and a dilated pancreatic duct (case 3; consistent with chronic pancreatitis) or a swollen part of the pancreas between its head and corpus and an enlarged lymph node (case 4; findings not diagnostic of chronic pancreatitis). Thus, of the four cases of chronic pancreatitis, one had symptoms and imaging suggestive of the disease. The remaining three cases either did not have recorded symptoms or did not have imaging suggestive of chronic pancreatitis. The latency of the chronic pancreatitis events (the time between starting the drug and the development of chronic pancreatitis) ranged from 88 to 226 days. Three patients were considered not to have recovered; the outcome for one patient (case 3) is not known because this patient was lost to follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS
The results add important pooled and patient-level data to our knowledge regarding the pancreatic safety of incretin-based therapies. In this study, the incidence of reported acute pancreatitis was numerically greater with liraglutide than with comparators (1.6 cases/1,000 PYE in the liraglutide group, 0.7 cases/1,000 PYE in the total active comparator group, and 0.9 cases/1,000 PYE in the active comparator group excluding sitagliptin and exenatide). Prior studies in patients with type 2 diabetes (not being treated with incretin-based therapy) reported an incidence of acute pancreatitis of 0.5–5.6 events/1,000 PYE (22,32–34). A trend toward an increase in the relative risk of acute pancreatitis was seen in the liraglutide group versus the active comparator groups (2.1 [95% CI 0.3, 16.0], \( P = 0.4478 \) vs. all active comparators; 1.7 [0.2, 13.2], \( P = 0.6241 \) for

---

**Table 2**—Criteria for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis by international consensus (Atlanta classification) (30) and criteria for diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis (31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. The diagnosis of acute pancreatitis requires fulfillment of two of the following three features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Abdominal pain consistent with acute pancreatitis (acute onset of a persistent, severe, epigastric pain often radiating to the back)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Serum lipase activity (or amylase activity) at least three times greater than the upper limit of normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Characteristic findings of acute pancreatitis on CT scan and less commonly MRI or transabdominal ultrasonography</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. The diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis requires fulfillment of the following features:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Chronic continuous or intermittent upper abdominal pain lasting weeks, months, or years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Imaging (using sonography, CT, MRI, ERCP) consistent with chronic pancreatitis (calcium deposition in the pancreas, atrophy of the pancreas, dilated main pancreatic duct or secondary biliary radicles, presence of pseudocysts)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Details of diagnosis</th>
<th>Additional case details</th>
<th>Latency (days)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1           | M   | 50          | 33.0        | Liraglutide 1.8 | • No significant abdominal pain (bloating, flatulence)  
• Lipase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: 589 units/L)  
• Ultrasound was negative | • Peak amylase: 169 units/L  
• Other drugs: metformin (13 months), valsartan/hydrochlorothiazide (9 months), esomeprazole, aspirin (9 days) | 274 | Recovered |
| 2           | F   | 64          | 43.4        | Liraglutide 1.8 | • Patient died suddenly 3 days after a colonoscopy for adenocarcinoma of the colon; cause of death on autopsy stated as acute and chronic pancreatitis  
• Diagnosis based on autopsy  
• No prior blood tests or imaging  
• Abdominal pain after colonoscopy | • Lipase and amylase levels unavailable (no previous record made)  
• Autopsy also showed gallstones  
• Other drugs: propofol for sedation during the colonoscopy, simvastatin (21 months), lisinopril/hydrochlorothiazide, aspirin | 668 | Fatal |
| 3           | F   | 47          | 28.3        | Liraglutide 1.2 | • Patient presented with acute pancreatitis; an ERCP with sphincterotomy was performed on the same day  
• Pain due to pancreatitis present  
• Lipase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: 1,755 units/L)  
• No imaging | • Peak amylase: 519 units/L; suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction  
• Medical history: hyperlipidemia, abdominal pain  
• Other drugs: escitalopram (14 months), bupropion (17 months), hyoscymamine (5 months) | 196 | Recovered |
| 4           | M   | 64          | 31.5        | Liraglutide 1.8 | • Abdominal pain  
• Lipase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: 1,540 units/L)  
• Amylase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: 538 units/L)  
• CT scan showed peripancreatic inflammation | • Triglycerides: 208 mg/dL (normal range 30–185 mg/dL)  
• Sonogram carried out 3 months after the acute pancreatitis event showed no gallstones  
• Medical history: hypertension, hyperlipidemia, kidney stones; no history of gallstones or alcohol abuse  
• Other drugs: glyburide (4 years), losartan (5 weeks), atenolol (5 weeks) | 419 | Recovered |
| 5           | F   | 72          | 28.6        | Liraglutide 1.8 | • Epigastric pain  
• Amylase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: 1,651 units/L) | • No lipase information  
• ALT: 121 units/L (normal range 7–31 units/L)  
• Laparoscopic cholecystectomy showed multiple gallstones  
• Medical history: hypertension, cervical dysplasia; no history of obesity or alcohol abuse  
• Other drugs: enalapril, ranitidine, aspirin, sulfamethoxazole/trimetoprim | 313 | Recovered |

Continued on p. 5
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Details of diagnosis</th>
<th>Additional case details</th>
<th>Latency (days)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>Liraglutide 1.8</td>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>No amylase information, Sonogram showed fatty liver and no gallstones, CT scan showed induration of fat around head of pancreas and punctate calcifications consistent with chronic pancreatitis, Patient history: hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease, GERD, Other drugs: metformin (17 months), omeprazole (25 years), atorvastatin (21 months), clopidogrel (17 months), aspirin (5 years), ramipril (5 years), amiodipine (5 years), metoprolol (5 years), citalopram (5 years), bupropion (1 year)</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>Liraglutide 1.8</td>
<td>Left-side upper quadrant pain</td>
<td>No amylase information, Patient recovered 5 days after liraglutide discontinuation, No gallstones on CT scan, ALT normal, No triglyceride information, Medical history: former alcohol abuse, acute pancreatitis, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, Other drugs: ramipril, aspirin/dipyridamole, simvastatin, pantoprazole, metamizole</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>Liraglutide 1.2</td>
<td>Severe epigastric pain</td>
<td>No lipase information, No gallstones on sonogram, Medical history: hyperlipidemia, hypertension; no history of alcohol abuse, Other drugs: metformin, aspirin, perindopril (4 years), atorvastatin (2 years)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>Glimepiride 4</td>
<td>Amylase: greater than threefold ULN (peak: &gt;2,000 units/L)</td>
<td>No lipase information, ALT and bilirubin normal, Medical history: obesity, hyperlipidemia, hypertension; no history of alcohol abuse or gallstones; history of triglycerides at 1,590 mg/dL (markedly high levels), Other drugs: metformin (3 months), atenolol (6 years), fenofibrate (7 years), acenocoumarol (7 years), levothyroxine (4 years)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Recovered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; ULN, upper limit of normal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Liraglutide dose (mg/day)</th>
<th>Details of diagnosis</th>
<th>Additional case details</th>
<th>Latency (days)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Retrosternal burning/acid dyspepsia, flatulence, bloating</td>
<td>Nausea and weakness</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>Not recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peak amylase: 124 units/L</td>
<td>Other drugs: atenolol, ranitidine, glimepiride, consumes alcohol daily</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No lipase information reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CT scan of abdomen showed no pancreatic abnormality except nephrosclerosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>No description of abdominal pain at time of event</td>
<td>Patient has asthma, COPD, and lung cancer</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Not recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No lipase or amylase information reported</td>
<td>Medical history of abdominal distention and hypercholesterolemia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CT scan of abdomen conducted 2 months before event (due to upper abdominal pain), but pancreatic findings not reported</td>
<td>Denies alcohol abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sonogram showed evidence of chronic pancreatitis</td>
<td>Other medications: metformin (11 years), glimepiride (8 years), beclomethasone (9 months), ramipril (6 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Left-side upper quadrant pain</td>
<td>Nausea, sweating</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amylase elevated two- to threefold (peak: 290 units/L)</td>
<td>No information regarding alcohol use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No lipase information reported</td>
<td>Esophagastroduodenoscopy showed <em>Helicobacter pylori</em>-associated gastritis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abdominal CT scan showed calcification in the head of the pancreas, dilated pancreatic duct</td>
<td>Other drugs: metformin, ramipril, simvastatin, amlodipine, aspirin, allopurinol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4*</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>Recurring abdominal pain for ~1 month</td>
<td>Nausea, vomiting for 1 day, black stools for 3 days</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>Not recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amylase 1 day before diagnosis: 1.76 μkat/L (normal range 0–1.67 μkat/L); peak amylase: 2.83 μkat/L –1 month after liraglutide discontinuation</td>
<td>Gastroscopy showed peptic gastritis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lipase 1 day before diagnosis: 1.3 μkat/L (normal range 0–1 μkat/L); peak lipase: 2.05 μkat/L –1 month after liraglutide discontinuation</td>
<td>Diarrhea for 3 days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sonogram showed swollen part of pancreas between its head and corpus</td>
<td>Optic nerve swelling and diabetes retinopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Endoscopic ultrasound showed enlarged lymph nodes near the neck of the pancreas</td>
<td>Other drugs: perindopril, atorvastatin, aspirin, omeprazole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Reported using the preferred term “pancreatitis—not acute.”
active comparators excluding sitagliptin and exenatide), although this trend was based on very few cases. The CIs for the relative risk were wide, indicating an imprecise estimate of risk and low power to detect a difference in the incidence of acute pancreatitis between groups. Therefore, these statistical analyses should be considered as preliminary and inconclusive. Chronic pancreatitis also occurred more frequently with liraglutide than with comparators (four cases vs. zero cases; no statistical analyses conducted). To date, no drug has been implicated as causing chronic pancreatitis (35).

Upon review of all the reported pancreatitis cases, it was evident that not all of the cases fulfilled established diagnostic criteria. In one case of acute pancreatitis reported with liraglutide (case 1), acute pancreatitis was diagnosed in an individual with elevated lipase levels (more than three times the upper limit of the normal range) but without significant abdominal pain or imaging suggestive of the condition (Table 3). Therefore, this case did not fulfill the Atlanta criteria for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis, as agreed on by international consensus (Table 2); note that as for all reported pancreatitis cases, it was nonetheless included in the statistical analyses. Serum lipase and amylase levels are elevated in the statistical analyses. Serum lipase and amylase levels are elevated in

There is a substantial body of literature on drug-induced acute pancreatitis (40). The large majority of these reactions occur within the first 1–12 weeks of exposure to the drug and are believed to be due to idiosyncratic or allergic reactions. In contrast, in six of the cases reported in the present study, the patients had been receiving liraglutide for 6–18 months before the acute pancreatitis event occurred. A mechanism has been proposed to explain a long latency between exposure to a GLP-1 receptor agonist or a DPP-4 inhibitor and an event of acute pancreatitis (41). GLP-1 receptor agonists have been reported to induce pancreatic duct gland hyperplasia or pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia lesions in certain animal models. Authors have hypothesized that these structures, which develop over time, obstruct the small pancreatic ductules, leading to late-presenting acute pancreatitis (41). From a pathophysiologic point of view, how slow occlusion of the peripheral pancreatic ductules (should this occur with GLP-1 receptor agonists in humans) over a prolonged period may lead to acute pancreatitis is unclear. The main model of occlusion of pancreatic ducts leading to acute pancreatitis is gallstone occlusion, during which abrupt blockage by a gallstone leads to a sudden increase in pressure in the pancreatic ductular system (42).

One or more risk factors or causes for acute pancreatitis (gallstones, ERCP with sphincterotomy, chronic pancreatitis) were present in one-half of the acute pancreatitis cases observed with liraglutide, and all patients were taking multiple drugs. It is possible that GLP-1 receptor agonist may potentiate other established causes of acute pancreatitis. For example, it is possible that liraglutide, in combination with other medications, may predispose to pancreatitis. It is also possible that liraglutide may induce gallstone formation or cause a stone to migrate down the common bile duct. GLP-1 receptor agonists may cause a patient with established chronic pancreatitis to have an acute exacerbation of pancreatitis (case 6) (Table 3). Of the 37 of 3,137 subjects with a baseline history of pancreatitis in the observational EVIDENCE study, only one patient developed renewed symptoms of pancreatitis during 12 months of liraglutide therapy (43,44). However, the number of subjects was small, and any effects of liraglutide on pancreatitis recurrence over a period of several years remain unknown. The U.S. label for liraglutide states that other antidiabetic therapies should be considered in patients with a history of pancreatitis (2), whereas the European label for liraglutide does not make specific recommendations with regard to treating these patients (9).

The safety profile of liraglutide is under continuous surveillance through meticulous routine pharmacovigilance, with any cases of pancreatitis being analyzed and reported to the health authorities. In addition, two pharmacoepidemiological studies of liraglutide are under way (using OptumInsight in a U.S. study and Clinical Practice Research Datalink in a U.K. study) that will supply substantial scientific information to this debate once completed. In an interim analysis of the study using OptumInsight, no significant elevation in the incidence of un adjudicated acute pancreatitis diagnoses with liraglutide versus non-GLP-1–based comparators over a median follow-up of 15 months has been found (187.5/100,000 PYE vs. 154.4/100,000 PYE, adjusted rate ratio 1.10 [0.81–1.49]) (45).

The long-term cardiovascular outcome trial LEADER (Liraglutide Effect and Action in Diabetes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcome Results - A Long Term Evaluation) (46) in which 9,340 patients with type 2 diabetes are enrolled is expected to provide information regarding safety of up to 5 years’ liraglutide exposure by 2016. Similar safety outcome trials are ongoing or have been completed for other incretin-based therapies. Of interest, the SAVOR-TIMI 53 (Saxagliptin Assessment of Vascular Outcomes Recorded in Patients with Diabetes Mellitus) and EXAMINE (Examination of Cardiovascular Outcomes with Alogliptin versus Standard of Care in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Acute Coronary Syndrome) studies (long-term outcomes trials of DPP-4 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes) indicated low incidences of pancreatitis with saxagliptin (confirmed acute pancreatitis: n = 17 [0.2%] vs. 9 [0.1%], P = 0.17) and alogliptin (acute pancreatitis: n = 12 [0.4%] vs. 8 [0.3%], P = 0.50) compared with placebo, with a numerical imbalance between arms (47,48).
In the present study, the incidences of reported acute and chronic pancreatitis were numerically greater with liraglutide than with comparators, but the small number of cases and the confounding variables observed in several of the cases preclude firm conclusions. Combined data obtained from completed and multiple ongoing studies should provide more definitive evidence about whether incretin-based therapies cause pancreatitis. We share the current EMA and FDA view that any potential risk of pancreatitis is adequately reflected in the liraglutide label, which still carries a warning statement regarding pancreatitis (2,9).
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