Gut Virome Sequencing in Children With Early Islet Autoimmunity
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OBJECTIVE
This study used next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies to characterize the gut virome at the onset of islet autoimmunity.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
We conducted a case-control study nested within the Finnish Diabetes Prediction and Prevention cohort. The stool virome in 19 case children, who turned islet autoantibody positive before the age of 2 years and later developed clinical type 1 diabetes, and 19 tightly matched control subjects was analyzed using NGS performed from stool samples collected 3, 6, and 9 months before the onset of islet autoimmunity. Human virus findings were verified using real-time PCR.

RESULTS
One or more human viruses were present in 10.4% and bacteriophages in 54% of the samples. The virome composition showed no association with islet autoimmunity. NGS was less sensitive and specific than real-time PCR.

CONCLUSIONS
The present data suggest no dramatic changes in the gut virome shortly before the emergence of islet autoimmunity and emphasize the need of verification of mass sequencing results when viral exposure is assessed in association studies.

The advent of massive parallel sequencing, also called next-generation sequencing (NGS), has revolutionized many fields of science, including molecular virology. However, the application of NGS in virology is challenging because there is no sequence signature common to all viruses. Certain virus infections have been associated with the initiation of the process that damages β-cells and leads to type 1 diabetes, but the overall role of viruses has remained unresolved (1). Here, we used NGS to characterize the whole gut virome in children shortly before the appearance of islet autoimmunity.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
Subjects and Stool Samples
Our case-control study was nested within the Finnish Diabetes Prediction and Prevention (DIPP) birth cohort that recruits families with a newborn infant carrying HLA-conferred susceptibility to type 1 diabetes (2). The current case group comprised 19 children (11 girls) with early appearance of islet autoimmunity. We assumed that subjects with early autoimmunity might have encountered a powerful environmental stimulus within the time covered by frequent stool sample collection. All case subjects developed two or more islet autoantibodies before the age of...
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Sequencing and Analysis Pipeline

The laboratory protocol is detailed in the Supplementary Data. Briefly, the virus fraction of the stool was mechanically enriched by a series of filtering and centrifugation steps. The contaminating ribosomal fraction was reduced by partial digestion of unprotected nucleic acids by a nuclease cocktail. A sequence-independent single-primer amplification of all nucleic acids followed, as adapted from previous studies (3,4). Each sample was processed in duplicate (i.e., with two different tag sequences). The preamplified libraries underwent size selection and purification and were further processed using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) in batches of 24 samples for each multiplexed sequencing run. The libraries were sequenced on an MiSeq platform (Illumina) using the 2×250-cycles sequencing kit.

The resulting reads were trimmed and filtered using the Galaxy suite (5). Three lines of downstream analyses were used, each yielding a specific type of information:

A. The overall virus content was characterized at the genus level by querying de novo contigs and singleton sequences against a database of full-length virus sequences deposited in Genbank as of February 2014.

B. Genotypes were identified for the most prevalent human viruses by mapping the reads to collections of VP1 sequences.

C. Overall taxonomic identification was performed in a random selection of 100,000 raw NGS reads from every sample, using the MEGAN5 program (6).

Specific Quantitative PCR

The human virus genera indicated by NGS were systematically retested using virus-specific primers and probes in one-tube real-time RT-PCR assays (or DNA real-time PCR) as described (7–10). The testing was performed from the nucleic acid extracts, which served as the starting material for the NGS, and from the final libraries before pooling and sequencing.

The agreement between the quantitative PCR as a gold standard and the NGS was suboptimal. The current NGS protocol mostly failed to detect samples whose quantity was low in virus-specific PCR (PCR threshold cycle >30). The NGS on the MiSeq platform was also prone to producing sequencing artifacts caused by a signal bleed-through originating in samples with very high virus quantities. The observations led us to establishing a positivity threshold at 50 reads per 100,000 (i.e., 0.05% of the read count).

Statistical Analysis

The association of virus positivity with islet autoimmunity was tested at the genus level by applying conditional logistic regression models. Analyses were performed in Stata 12.1 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Human Viruses

With the positivity threshold set at 50 of 100,000 NGS reads, the mass sequencing identified 10 samples (10.4%) positive for at least one human virus: parechovirus (five samples [5.2%], all genotype 1), bocavirus (three samples [3.1%], two of genotype 2 and one genotype 1), anellovirus (two samples [2.1%], Torque teno mini virus 5 and an unclassified Torque teno mini virus), enterovirus (one sample [1.0%], echovirus 25), and sapovirus (one sample [1.0%, genogroup GII]). All instances of human virus positivity were limited to one follow-up sample, indicating relatively short excretion of these viruses to the stools. Although not reaching our arbitrary positivity threshold, we noted also the presence of sequences belonging to Norovirus, Rotavirus, Papillomavirus, and Rhinovirus, each in a single sample only. The agreement of NGS to virus-specific PCR was incomplete (Fig. 1). Notably, PCR was more sensitive and specific in samples with low virus loads.

No association with islet autoimmunity was observed for any of the observed human viruses, regardless of whether NGS or specific (RT-)PCR results were taken as predictors (all nominal P values >0.15).

Other Sequence Content

The reads belonged mostly to bacteria (14%) and eukaryotes (10%); specifically, 2% belonged to human sequences and 0.3% to Viridiplantae. Viruses made up to 2% of all identified sequences, with major predominance of bacteriophages identifiable in 52 of 96 samples (54.2%). No significant association with islet autoimmunity was found in an unplanned exploratory association analysis of the eight most frequently observed bacteriophage groups. On average, 74% of the reads could not be matched to any known sequence deposited in public databases.

CONCLUSIONS

Technical Aspects of NGS

By a systematic evaluation of NGS results against virus-specific PCR as a gold standard, we demonstrated that the present state of the NGS protocols does not allow complete characterization of all viruses present in the sample, leading to false-negative findings when virus titers are low (amplification threshold cycle of 30 and later; Fig. 1). Thus, despite the clear advantage of NGS as an exploratory tool, the limitations in its sensitivity should be taken into account when virome association studies are conducted.

Furthermore, several samples were negative in virus-specific PCR but still were assigned several reads matching that virus in NGS (open circles along the vertical axis in Fig. 1). Because cross-contamination between samples during the earlier steps of library preparation was excluded by PCR tests from sequencing libraries, we hypothesize that the reason is a signal bleed-through in the NGS sequencer: all false-positive results were taken as predictors (all nominal P values >0.15).

Where available, three pairs of stool samples were analyzed for each case-control matching pair. Samples were taken 3, 6, and 9 months before the date of the first islet autoantibody positivity in the case patient. A total of 96 samples was collected through the years 1998 to 2007 and stored at −80°C until analyzed.

2 years, and all eventually progressed to clinical type 1 diabetes. One nondiabetic autoantibody-negative control child was selected for each case, matched for date and place of birth, for the HLA-conferred risk level of type 1 diabetes and sex. The project was approved by the Pirkanmaa Hospital District Ethical Committee, Tampere, Finland (approval no. 97193M), and parents or guardians expressed their consent in writing.
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that the Illumina platform may misidentify a certain proportion of reads, assigning them to a wrong sample due to signal bleed-through and closely matched sequences. This may lead to false-positive findings in NGS studies that use sample multiplexing.

Viruses Detected in Stool Samples From the Study Participants, and the Lack of Association With Islet Autoimmunity

The stool virome did not significantly differ between children who developed islet autoimmunity and closely matched control subjects. The most frequently detected human viruses belonged to the Picornaviridae family: Human parechovirus (12,13) and Human enterovirus, which is the most frequently studied viral candidate trigger of islet autoimmunity and type 1 diabetes (1). Nonhuman viruses merit further analyses, because especially the phage population was abundant and notably more stable over time than human viruses.

Limitations of the Study and Previous Works on the Human Virome in Type 1 Diabetes Etiology

The limitations of our study lie in the size of the data set (sample count and sample intervals). We lack power to detect subtle changes in the frequencies of specific virus genotypes, and the sample frequency is too sparse to reliably detect all short infections. Moreover, a significant role may be played by viruses replicating outside the gut or by new yet unrecognized viruses hiding among the unidentified reads.

To our best knowledge, this is the first study of the fecal virome in relation to diabetes. The Environmental Determinants of Diabetes in the Young (TEDDY) study used NGS for detection of the viruses in plasma from 14 children who rapidly progressed to type 1 diabetes and from 14 control children (14). The type of molecular evidence for virus positivity was not reported, and confirmatory tests were not done, making it difficult to compare their results from plasma with ours from stool.

In conclusion, for association studies, NGS can effectively provide a comprehensive picture of prevailing human viruses and bacteriophages in a given virome and yield important data on yet unidentified organisms. However, we presently believe that NGS should be complemented with virus-specific PCR as the gold standard of molecular detection of viruses, at least until more effective NGS protocols are available.

Figure 1—Results from NGS compared with specific real-time PCR. The horizontal axis of the graphs shows threshold cycles of the real-time PCR in a reversed scale: increasing quantities of the virus correspond to lower threshold cycles. The vertical axis plots the number of reads assigned to the virus genus in the NGS analysis, normalized per 100,000 reads. The area below our threshold of NGS positivity (50 reads per 100,000) is shaded. Values below the gray zone are entirely negative (no reads found). The gray zone marks 1–49 normalized reads (deemed as negative in our analysis), and samples higher than 50 reads were deemed positive. Individual samples are plotted as ● if positive by real-time PCR, and ○ if negative by real-time PCR.
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