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OBJECTIVE
To assess the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes and the associated risk factors in two Asian Indian populations living in different environments.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
We performed cross-sectional analyses, using representative samples of 2,305 Asian Indians aged 40–84 years living in Chennai, India, from the Centre for cArdioMetabolic Risk Reduction in South-Asia study (CARRS) (2010–2011), and 757 Asian Indians aged 40–84 years living in the greater San Francisco and Chicago areas from the U.S. Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) study (2010–2013). Diabetes was defined as self-reported use of glucose-lowering medication, fasting glucose \( \geq 126 \text{ mg/dL} \), or 2-h glucose \( \geq 200 \text{ mg/dL} \). Prediabetes was defined as fasting glucose 100–125 mg/dL and/or 2-h glucose 140–199 mg/dL.

RESULTS
Age-adjusted diabetes prevalence was higher in India (38% [95% CI 36–40]) than in the U.S. (24% [95% CI 21–27]) Age-adjusted prediabetes prevalence was lower in India (24% [95% CI 22–26]) than the U.S. (33% [95% CI 30–36]). After adjustment for age, sex, waist circumference, and systolic blood pressure, living in the U.S. was associated with an increased odds for prediabetes (odds ratio 1.2 [95% CI 0.9–1.5]) and a decreased odds for diabetes (odds ratio 0.5 [95% CI 0.4–0.6]).

CONCLUSIONS
These findings indicate possible changes in the relationship between migration and diabetes risk and highlight the growing burden of disease in urban India. Additionally, these results call for longitudinal studies to better identify the gene-environment-lifestyle exposures that underlie the elevated risk for type 2 diabetes development in Asian Indians.
rapid economic and nutrition transitions (7,8), it is unclear whether diabetes risk among Asian Indians immigrants in the U.S. differs from that of Asian Indians in urban India. Such a comparison of two genetically similar populations living in different environmental settings could shed light on the behavioral and environmental factors associated with increased diabetes risk in this ethnic group. We therefore compared the age-specific prevalence of type 2 diabetes and prediabetes in two current population-based studies of urban Asian Indians aged ≥40 years: n = 2,305 residents of Chennai, India, using data from the Centre for cArdiometabolic Risk Reduction in South-Asia study (CARRS) (2010–2011) (9), and n = 757 from the U.S.-based Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America study (MASALA) (2010–2013) (10). We also analyzed the relative associations of demographic and anthropometric characteristics on prevalent glycemic status in urban Asian Indians in both India and the U.S.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The design, sampling strategy, recruitment, enrollment, and questionnaire and examination components of the MASALA and CARRS studies have previously been described in detail (9,10). In brief, CARRS is a multisite cohort study that recruited participant populations from three urban megacities in India and Pakistan (Delhi, Chennai, and Karachi). The baseline examination for this cohort included a representative cross-sectional survey conducted in each city between 2010 and 2011. For the purposes of this study, data were analyzed from the Chennai study site only, as this site was the only one to perform an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) in order to identify diabetes-related events, 21 countries of origin, and 12 languages were used for sensitivity analyses (14). Statistical Analysis

Prevalence values and 95% CIs were estimated by study site, sex, age-group, and BMI category. Participant characteristics were stratified by sex and were compared by study using the χ² test or ANOVA as appropriate. The non–normally distributed variables of fasting and 2-h plasma glucose were log transformed. The effect of location of residence (India or the U.S.) on the odds of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes compared with normal glucose tolerance was assessed using standardized polytomous regression. Initially, an unadjusted regression model was created to compare the individual association between study location and prevalent glycemic status. Subsequent multivariable models were then created to adjust for covariates including age, sex, blood pressure, waist circumference, educational status, and years since migration to the U.S. All analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
Table 1—Eligibility, questionnaire, and exam components in CARRS and MASALA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility Criteria</th>
<th>CARRS-Chennai</th>
<th>MASALA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Inclusion criteria** | • Aged 20 years or older  
• Permanently residing in the selected household | • Self-identify as South Asian  
• Age range 40–84 years  
• Ability to speak and read English, Hindi, or Urdu. |
| **Exclusion criteria** | • Pregnant women were excluded from the study, as were bedridden individuals. | • Those with history of physician-diagnosed myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, or transient ischemic attack; with a history of heart failure, angina, or use of nitroglycerin; or with a history of cardiovascular procedures  
• Current atrial fibrillation, active cancer treatment, or life expectancy <5 years; impaired cognitive ability as judged by the reviewer; plans to move out of the study region in the next 5 years; currently living in or on the wait list for a nursing home  
• Individuals weighing >136 kg (300 lb) were also excluded owing to limitations with the computed tomography scanner. |
| **Questionnaires** | • Questionnaires were used to gather demographic information including language use, family history of T2DM, medical history, and current medication use | • Questionnaires were used to gather demographic information including language use, medical history, family history of type 2 diabetes, and current medication use |
| **Blood pressure** | • Three seated blood pressure measurements were taken using an electronic sphygmomanometer  
• An average of the last two readings was used to assess systolic and diastolic blood pressure | • Three seated blood pressure measurements were taken using an automated blood pressure monitor  
• An average of the last two readings was used to assess systolic and diastolic blood pressure |
| **Weight** | • Participant weight was measured using a standing balance beam scale | • Participant weight was measured using a standing balance beam scale or digital weighing scale |
| **Height** | • Height was measured using a portable stadiometer | • Height was measured using a stadiometer |
| **Waist Circumference** | • Waist circumference was measured using a nonstretch measuring tape at the site of maximum circumference halfway between the lower ribs and the anterior superior iliac spine | • Waist circumference was measured using a flexible tape measure at the site of maximum circumference halfway between the lower ribs and the anterior superior iliac spine |

RESULTS

Table 2 displays participant characteristics by sex and study. Of the 2,305 participants from CARRS-Chennai, 54% were women. Of the 757 participants from MASALA, 46% were women. The mean duration of residence in the U.S. for MASALA study participants was 27.8 ± 10.8 years for men and 26.5 ± 10.8 years for women. Participants in the MASALA Study were on average older than those in CARRS-Chennai and had higher educational attainment. On average, for both sexes, participants in MASALA were taller and had greater weight and waist circumference measurements than those in CARRS-Chennai. Additionally, men in the MASALA study had a higher mean BMI than men in CARRS-Chennai; however, this was reversed among women. In both studies, fasting glucose was obtained from all participants who were willing to provide a sample; however, a 75-g OGTT was only administered to participants without a prior diagnosis of type 2 diabetes (MASALA N = 617, CARRS N = 1,674). Participants in the MASALA study had lower log fasting glucose values than participants in CARRS-Chennai but higher log fasting 2-h glucose values. Those in MASALA also had lower systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels and took more blood pressure–lowering medications than participants in CARRS-Chennai. Of those with a prior diagnosis of type 2 diabetes, participants in MASALA had on average a longer duration since diagnosis.

Age-adjusted type 2 diabetes prevalence was higher among Indians in CARRS-Chennai than those in the MASALA Study both overall (38% [95% CI 36–40] vs. 24% [95% CI 21–27]) and by sex (men 36% [95% CI 33–39] vs. 27% [95% CI 23–31]; women 42% [95% CI 39–45] vs. 23% [95% CI 19–28]). Of participants with type 2 diabetes, 65% of Asian Indians living in the U.S. and 71% of Asian Indians living in India had a previous diagnosis of diabetes. Age-adjusted prediabetes prevalence was lower in Asian Indians in Chennai than in the U.S. (overall 24% [95% CI 22–26] vs. 33% [95% CI 30–36], men 21% [95% CI 19–24] vs. 35% [95% CI 31–40], and women 25% [95% CI 23–28] vs. 29% [95% CI 24–34]). These patterns were consistent across age- and sex groups, but differences in type 2 diabetes prevalence by age were more significant in women (Fig. 1). In all categories of BMI, the prevalence of diabetes was higher in Asian Indians living in India than in Asian Indians living in the U.S. (Fig. 2). Differences in diabetes prevalence between the groups were significant in normal and overweight participants but were not significant in participants who were obese. In all categories of BMI, the prevalence of prediabetes was lower in native Asian Indians than those in the U.S. and was significantly different in participants with normal BMI. The pattern of higher diabetes prevalence and lower prediabetes prevalence in Asian Indians living in India than Asian Indians in the U.S. in all BMI categories was consistent using the Asian BMI cut points. However, when using the Asian specific cut points, the prevalence of diabetes and prediabetes was most significantly different in participants who were overweight.

Of the 757 participants from MASALA, 189 (25%) had origins from one of four of the South Indian states of Tamil Nadu,
Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, or Kerala. After restriction of participants from MASALA to only those with origins from South India, age-adjusted type 2 diabetes prevalence was again higher among Indians in CARRS-Chennai than those in the MASALA Study both overall (38% [95% CI 36–40] vs. 25% [95% CI 20–32]) and by sex (men 36% [95% CI 33–39] vs. 27% [95% CI: 19–35]; women 42% [95% CI 39–45] vs. 25% [95% CI 15–34]). Age-adjusted prediabetes prevalence was again lower in Asian Indians in Chennai than in those in the U.S. with origins from South India specifically (overall 24% [95% CI 22–26] vs. 33% [95% CI 26–39], men 21% [95% CI 19–24] vs. 36% [95% CI 27–45], and women 25% [95% CI 23–28] vs. 27% [95% CI 19–38]). These patterns were again consistent in all age- and sex groups, but differences in diabetes prevalence between Asian Indians in Chennai compared with Asian Indians in the U.S. with origins in South India were more significant than differences in prediabetes prevalence between these groups.

Table 3 shows the association of place of residence (either India [Chennai] or the U.S. [greater San Francisco and Chicago areas]) with glycemic status. After adjustment for age, sex, waist circumference, and systolic blood pressure, Asian Indians in the MASALA Study had a 50% (95% CI 0.4–0.6) decreased odds of type 2 diabetes but a 20% (95% CI 0.9–1.5) increased odds of prediabetes than those in CARRS-Chennai. The inclusion of education and years since migration in multivariable models somewhat attenuated the effect of place of residence on the odds of having diabetes compared with normal glucose tolerance. Income could not be assessed in the models, as it was found to be collinear with place of residence. The inclusion of height in multivariable models as a proxy for socioeconomic status prior to migration did not alter the effect of place of residence on the odds of having diabetes compared with normal glucose tolerance between the groups. However, the inclusion of height and education together in multivariable models significantly attenuated the effect of place of residence on the odds of having diabetes.

CONCLUSIONS

Few studies have compared Asian Indians in India to those who have immigrated to the U.S. In this study comparing middle- to older-aged urban Asian Indians, we found that a community-based sample of Asian Indians in the U.S. had a lower
prevalence of type 2 diabetes but a higher prevalence of prediabetes than Asian Indians living in urban south India. This was observed despite Asian Indians in the U.S. being older and heavier than those in India. Asian Indians in the U.S. also had better blood pressure levels than those in India, possibly explained by their higher usage of blood pressure-lowering medications. However, the adjustment for age, sex, waist circumference, and systolic blood pressure did not fully explain the increased odds of type 2 diabetes in Asian Indians in the CARRS-Chennai Study.

It is possible that India is in an early stage of the type 2 diabetes epidemic wherein those who are most susceptible to the disease develop it the earliest (15). It is also possible that Asian Indians who have immigrated to the U.S. have adopted more positive dietary and exercise habits, thereby lowering their risk for progression from prediabetes to overt type 2 diabetes (16). Contrary to previous findings that Asian Indians who migrate to the U.S. have poorer metabolic profiles than their counterparts in India (17,18), our results indicate that while Asian Indians in India had lower BMI and waist circumference measurements than those living in the U.S., they still had a higher prevalence of type 2 diabetes even at normal levels of BMI and in both sexes, thereby suggesting a shift in the association between migration and type 2 diabetes risk in this population. Paradoxically, both the overall and the age-specific prevalence of prediabetes were lower in Asian Indians living in India than in the U.S., which may be due to a more rapid conversion through the natural history of disease in Asian Indians living in India. Our results also add strength to the notion that factors besides age and central adiposity play a large role in type 2 diabetes development in Asian Indians (7) in both developed and developing country settings, since the adjustment for age, sex, waist circumference, and systolic blood pressure did not explain differences in the odds of prediabetes or type 2 diabetes between the two groups. Furthermore, while the prevalence of type 2 diabetes was lower in Asian Indians living in the U.S. than in India, it was still considerably higher than the general U.S. population (19–21), despite Asian Indians having an overall lower BMI.

Risk factors for type 2 diabetes development such as high-carbohydrate and/or -fat diets and sedentary lifestyles were once considered to influence those who had migrated to developed countries leading to an increased prevalence of diabetes in migrants than in those who remained in developing country settings (17,18). The results of our study are among the first to highlight a higher prevalence of diabetes in individuals living in India than their counterparts who have immigrated to the U.S. It is therefore possible that, given the rapid economic and nutritional transitions currently taking place in India (7,8), these factors now exacerbate risks in Asian Indians both in India and abroad. It is also possible that with more increased knowledge of beneficial diet and lifestyle choices, migrant Asian Indians may be shifting toward more health-promoting dietary patterns. A more thorough understanding of the dietary transitions taking place in India and in diaspora Indians could provide important insights into the development of type 2 diabetes in nonobese phenotypes. It is possible that Asian Indians in the U.S. may also have increased knowledge regarding

### Table 3—Risk factors associated with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Prediabetes OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Type 2 diabetes OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Migrant Al*</td>
<td>1.39 (1.14, 1.69)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.59, 0.90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Migrant Al*</td>
<td>1.18 (0.93, 1.50)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.36, 0.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age-group (years)</td>
<td>1.21 (1.08, 1.38)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.38, 1.74)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex**</td>
<td>1.48 (1.18, 1.85)</td>
<td>1.47 (1.19, 1.84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02, 1.04)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04, 1.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00, 1.02)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01, 1.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Migrant Al*</td>
<td>1.52 (0.85, 2.73)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.39, 1.35)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age-group (years)</td>
<td>1.23 (1.08, 1.40)</td>
<td>1.55 (1.37, 1.75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex**</td>
<td>1.46 (1.16, 1.84)</td>
<td>1.43 (1.14, 1.78)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02, 1.04)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04, 1.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.01, 1.02)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01, 1.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.88 (0.60, 1.31)</td>
<td>0.64 (0.43, 0.94)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Years since migration</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98, 1.01)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99, 1.02)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Migrant Al*</td>
<td>1.50 (0.97, 2.32)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.57, 1.35)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age-group (years)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.06, 1.37)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.33, 1.71)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex**</td>
<td>1.24 (0.90, 1.72)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.75, 1.43)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98, 1.01)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96, 1.0)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02, 1.04)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.03, 1.06)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.01, 1.02)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01, 1.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>0.83 (0.55, 1.26)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.37, 0.85)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Al, Asian Indian; SBP, systolic blood pressure. *Asian Indians living in India (CARRS-Chennai study) were used as the referent group; **Males were used as the referent group.
diabetes prevention and greater access to health care (16,22) than Asian Indians in India. Such factors may serve to protect immigrant populations against type 2 diabetes risk; however, further research is needed in this area.

Our study directly compared the age-specific prevalence of prediabetes, type 2 diabetes, and the associated risk factors between Asian Indians living in the U.S. and India. While there were differences in the sampling frames and sociodemographic characteristics between the two studies, both are large population-based samples with similar anthropometric and laboratory measures that are representative of Asian Indians in large urban centers either in India or in the U.S. Additionally, while participants from CARRS are primarily of South Indian origin and participants from MASALA migrated from all parts of India, it is possible that the differences in type 2 diabetes prevalence between the groups could be attributed to differences in regional origins. However, when we restricted our analyses to participants from MASALA with origins in South India only, the finding of a high prevalence of diabetes and a relatively lower prevalence of prediabetes in Asian Indians from CARRS compared with Asian Indians from MASALA remained virtually unchanged. These results suggest that the differences in type 2 diabetes prevalence between the groups are likely not attributable to region of origin.

Furthermore, while there were large differences in education status as well as height between Asian Indians living in India and the U.S., adjustment for education and height in multivariable models as proxy measures for socioeconomic status prior to migration attenuated the effect of migration on the odds of diabetes between the two groups. These results suggest a possible migrant effect, whereby individuals with greater access to education as well as early maternal and childhood nutrition were more likely to have the means for migration. However, while participants from the MASALA study had high levels of educational attainment, diabetes prevalence in this group was still considerably higher than that in the general U.S. population (20,21), thereby suggesting that factors besides education attainment play a large role in diabetes risk in Asian Indians.

Being that our study directly compares two distinct Asian Indian populations from differing geographic regions (Chennai, India, and the greater San Francisco and Chicago areas of the U.S.) the results cannot be generalized to Asian Indians living in other parts of India or the U.S. However, several studies have noted an increasingly high prevalence of diabetes in urban India (23–25) with recent evidence indicating a rise of diabetes in rural areas of India as well (26). Therefore, the high prevalence of diabetes in one urban Indian city as reported in this study may be indicative of an even larger burden of disease in India yet to come. Furthermore, the diabetes prevalence in MASALA study participants was similar to what was found in a recently published study of Asian Indians in Michigan (27). However, additional national level data are needed to assess the prevalence of diabetes among Asian Indians living in the U.S.

Our findings point to a high prevalence of type 2 diabetes in urban India with a paradoxically low prevalence of prediabetes compared with urban Asian Indians in the U.S. Furthermore, the increased type 2 diabetes prevalence in Asian Indians in India is evident in both sexes, in all age groups, and at all levels of BMI and therefore cannot be explained by differences in anthropometry or age alone. These findings suggest the need for collaborative longitudinal research efforts between India and the U.S. Such collaborations could help identify the gene-environment-life-style exposures that underlie the elevated risk for type 2 diabetes development in Asian Indians.
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