

**Parental History and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in Overweight Latino Adolescents: A Longitudinal Analysis.**

**Authors:** Louise A. Kelly, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Christianne J. Lane, MS<sup>1</sup>, Marc J. Weigensberg, MD<sup>3</sup>, Corinna Koebnick, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Christian K. Roberts, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Jaimie N. Davis, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Claudia M. Toledo-Corral, MS<sup>1</sup>, Gabriel Q Shaibi, PhD<sup>1</sup>, and Michael I. Goran, PhD<sup>1, 2\*</sup>

**Institutional Affiliations:** 1 Department of Preventive Medicine, 2Physiology and Biophysics, 3 Department of Pediatrics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA.

\*Address correspondence and reprint requests to:  
Professor Michael I. Goran, PhD  
2250 Alcazar Street  
Los Angeles, CA. 90089-9008  
Email: Goran@usc.edu

Received for publication 9 January 2007 and accepted in revised form 4 July 2007.

Additional information for this article can be found in an online appendix at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>.

## **ABSTRACT**

**OBJECTIVE:** To examine metabolic risk factors for type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents as a function of *maternal* versus *paternal* family history of type 2 diabetes, to examine if differences in these risk factors *emerge* during adolescent growth

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS:** 247 overweight Latino children (baseline age = 11.1  $\pm$  1.7 yrs) with a parental history of type 2 diabetes were followed annually for 5 years (2.2  $\pm$  1.2 observations/child) with measures of insulin sensitivity (SI), acute insulin response to glucose (AIR), and disposition index (DI). Longitudinal linear mixed effects modeling was used to evaluate the influence of maternal versus paternal family history of T2DM on changes in diabetes risk factors over age.

**RESULTS:** SI and DI decreased over age ( $\beta$  = -0.052 and -0.033,  $p < 0.01$ ). AIR, fasting and 2hr glucose increased ( $\beta$  = 0.019, 0.002, 0.003,  $p < 0.01$ ). Declines in SI were significantly greater in participants whose maternal grandmothers had a history of T2DM ( $\beta$  = -0.03,  $p = 0.03$ ). Declines in DI ( $\beta$  = -0.02,  $p = 0.04$ ) and increases in fasting glucose were significantly influenced by maternal history of T2D ( $\beta$  = 0.60,  $p < 0.05$ ).

**CONCLUSION:** Maternal, but not paternal family history for diabetes may have a significant impact on insulin dynamics, becoming more pronounced during growth in overweight Latino adolescents. Further research is clearly warranted.

## INTRODUCTION

The recent epidemic of obesity has been accompanied by an increase in the incidence of type 2 diabetes<sup>1</sup>. Recent data show's a dramatic increase in the incidence of type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents<sup>2</sup>. Despite the abundance of knowledge concerning the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and medical management of type 2 diabetes in adults, little is known about pathogenesis of the disease in adolescence or the impact of maternal versus paternal family history on future diabetes risk in children.

It is generally agreed upon that decreased insulin sensitivity and impaired pancreatic function are the two key components in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. In children, greater adiposity and insulin resistance may be exacerbated by transient pubertal insulin resistance. We have previously shown that insulin sensitivity is lower in overweight Latino adolescents compared with Caucasian children independent of adiposity<sup>3</sup>, disposition index is significantly lower in Latino children with impaired glucose tolerance compared with normal glucose tolerant children<sup>4</sup>. Impaired fasting glucose is significantly associated with impaired  $\beta$ -cell function in overweight Latino adolescents with a family history of type 2 diabetes<sup>5</sup>. More recently we have shown a decline in insulin sensitivity over time is unrelated to changes in body fat or maturation<sup>6</sup>.

Although genetics is an important factor in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes, increases cannot be attributed to genetics alone. However, people with certain genetic backgrounds are particularly predisposed to type 2 diabetes, especially when they are exposed to a precipitating lifestyle.

Prior studies in adults have suggested a strong hereditary component in risk for type 2 diabetes<sup>7-9</sup>. A family history of type 2 diabetes increases the risk of developing the disease. Moreover, the high concordance of type 2 diabetes in identical twins<sup>10</sup>, and the aggregation of type 2 diabetes in families supports the existence of genetic determinants for type 2 diabetes in families<sup>11</sup>. The risk for developing type 2 diabetes increases when one or both parents are affected<sup>12,13</sup>. Some studies have suggested that adult offspring whose mothers have diabetes are more likely to develop the disease themselves compared with offspring whose father have diabetes<sup>4,13</sup>. Recent data has shown that insulin sensitivity and the acute insulin response to glucose exhibit familial clustering, suggesting these are inherited traits<sup>14</sup>. While this association has been widely explored in adults, there is a paucity of data in at risk children and adolescents. Previous cross-sectional studies have not shown any evidence of an effect of family history of diabetes on insulin sensitivity in adolescents<sup>15,16</sup>. In contrast, cross-sectional studies in adults show a significant relationship between family history and risk for type 2 diabetes.

Collectively, the aforementioned studies suggest that the increased risk of diabetes due to parental and/or family history emerges at some point during growth and development, but this concept has never been tested directly. The present study uses a longitudinal design to examine this hypothesis by investigating the influence of a positive parental history of type 2 diabetes on changes in risk factors during growth in overweight Latino adolescents. The aims of the present study were therefore to determine: 1) whether glucose/insulin dynamics linked to the pathophysiology of type 2

diabetes are different in participants with a maternal versus paternal family history of type 2 diabetes, and 2) whether the significance of these risk factors emerged during growth. We hypothesized that Insulin sensitivity and  $\beta$ -cell function will be lower, and prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance will be higher in those participants with a stronger maternal versus paternal family history of type 2 diabetes, and that the deterioration in insulin sensitivity and  $\beta$ -cell function would become apparent over age.

## **RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

### **Study Design:**

Participants were recruited to participate in the **SOLAR** (Study of Latino Adolescents at Risk) Diabetes Project at the University of Southern California. This study is an ongoing longitudinal study investigating potential risk factors for the development of type 2 diabetes in at risk youth. Data are collected for each participant annually. Findings from this cohort have been previously published<sup>17</sup>.

### **Participants**

Data was analyzed from 247 overweight Latino children (142 males, 105 females) recruited through clinics, word of mouth, and local newspaper and radio advertisements. Children were required to meet the following study entry inclusion criteria: 1) age 8–13 years; 2) BMI  $\geq 85^{\text{th}}$  percentile for age and sex according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention<sup>18</sup>; 3) Latino ancestry (all four grandparents Latino as determined by parental self report); and 4) absence of type 1 or type 2 diabetes using established guidelines<sup>19</sup>. Participants were characterized as having a family history of type 2 diabetes if the parent (s) and/or

grandparent (s) self-reported having the disease at baseline testing. Participants were excluded if they were taking medications known to affect body composition, diagnosed with any syndromes known to affect body composition or fat distribution, or had had any major illness since birth. Written informed consent was obtained from both the parents and children. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Southern California approved this study.

### **Study Protocol**

#### *Outpatient Visit:*

On an annual basis, children were admitted to the General Clinical Research Centre (GCRC) at approximately 7:30h after an overnight fast. A licensed pediatric health care provider determined Tanner staging using established guidelines<sup>20</sup>. A detailed medical history was conducted, including parental interview detailing family history of diabetes and gestational diabetes. A 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was conducted using a dose of 1.75 g glucose/kg body weight (to a maximum of 75 g). Blood was sampled and assayed for glucose and insulin at –5 min (fasting state) and 120 min (2-hour) relative to glucose ingestion. Blood samples taken during the OGTT were separated for plasma and immediately transported on ice to the Los Angeles County – USC Medical Center Core Laboratory where glucose was analyzed on a Dimension Clinical Chemistry system using an *in vitro* Hexokinase method (Dade Behring, Deerfield, IL). The OGTT results were used to determine fasting and 2-hr glucose levels and also as a clinical test to determine normal glucose tolerance (NGT; 2-hour glucose < 140 mg/dl) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT; 2-hour glucose  $\geq 140$  and <200 mg/dl).

### *Inpatient Visit:*

Children were admitted to the General Clinical Research Center in the afternoon within approximately 2 weeks of completing the oral glucose tolerance test. Total body fat mass and total soft lean tissue mass were determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic QDR 4500W; Hologic, Bedford, MA). Children fasted overnight, with only water permitted after 20:00h. Insulin-modified frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test (FSIVGTT) commenced the following morning, as previously described<sup>18</sup>. At time 0, glucose (25% dextrose, 0.3 g/kg body wt) was intravenously administered. Blood samples were collected at time points -15, -5, 2, 4, 8, 19, 22, 30, 40, 50, 70, 100, and 180 min. Insulin (0.02 units/kg body wt, Humulin R [regular insulin for human injection]; Eli Lilly, Indianapolis, IN) was intravenously injected at 20 min. Plasma collected during the FSIVGTT was analyzed for glucose and insulin, and values were entered into the MINMOD Millennium 2003 computer program (version 5.16) to determine insulin sensitivity, acute insulin response (i.e. insulin area under the curve above basal for the first 8 min of the frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test), and disposition index (i.e. the product of insulin sensitivity x acute insulin response, an index of pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell function).

Blood samples from the FSIVGTT were centrifuged immediately for 10 min at 2500 RPM and 8-10°C to obtain plasma, and aliquots were frozen at -70°C until assayed. Glucose was assayed in duplicate on a Yellow Springs Instrument 2700 Analyzer (Yellow Springs Instrument, Yellow Springs, OH) using the glucose oxidase method. Insulin was

assayed in duplicate using a specific human insulin ELISA kit from Linco (St. Charles, MO), intra-assay coefficient of variation 4.7-7.0%, interassay coefficient of variation 9.1-11.4%, and cross-reaction with human proinsulin 0%).

### **Anthropometry and Body Composition**

Height and weight were measured using a beam medical scale and wall-mounted stadiometer, to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively. BMI and BMI percentiles for age and gender were determined using EpiInfo 2000, Version 1.1 (CDC, Atlanta, GA). Whole body fat and soft lean tissue was measured by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) using a Hologic QDR 4500W (Hologic, Bedford, MA).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Variables not normally distributed, (insulin sensitivity, disposition index, acute insulin response, fasting glucose and 2hr glucose) were log transformed before performing statistical analyses. Linear mixed effects modeling (LME) was used to evaluate the impact of parental and or family history on longitudinal changes in glucose and insulin related variables over age. By modeling over age, we tested the hypothesis that there is a dynamic relationship of family history and diabetes risk factors, as children progress through adolescence. The following covariates were entered into all models: tanner stage, gender, gestational diabetes, total fat and lean tissue mass and family member's diabetes status. When using LME modeling, results include an intercept level (i.e., age at baseline) of the dependent variable, a rate of change over age in the dependent variable, and a measure of variation around this rate of change between insulin/glucose variables. For all models, age was centered at mean

baseline age ( $11.1 \pm 1.7$  years) for ease of interpretation of the intercept, since a zero age intercept would not be meaningful. Data were analyzed by using SPSS software (version 11.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). For all models  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## RESULTS

### Baseline Characteristics of Participants

Characteristics of the cohort at baseline are shown in **Table 1**. The average age at baseline was  $11.1 \pm 1.7$  years and our analysis included an average of 2.2 annual visits per participant and a total of 724 visits. At baseline, males and females were similar in all physical and insulin and glucose related variables, except girls were significantly more developed than boys in maturation ( $p < 0.01$ ).

### Insulin Sensitivity

The Insulin sensitivity of the cohort at baseline is shown in Figure 1a (Online Appendix, Figure 1a, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). In the covariate model the significant covariates which predicted initial levels of insulin sensitivity were, tanner stage ( $\beta = 0.256E^{-02}$ ;  $p=0.02$ ), gestational diabetes ( $\beta = 6.35E^{-02}$ ;  $p=0.05$ ) fat mass ( $\beta = -1.33E^{-05}$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ), and lean tissue mass ( $\beta = -6.80E^{-06}$ ;  $p=0.002$ ). The difference between the baseline and covariate model was significant ( $\chi^2_{10} = 165.93$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and accounted for 14.6% of the individual difference in insulin sensitivity. When family history of type 2 diabetes was entered into the model, initial levels of insulin sensitivity were predicted by a history of gestational diabetes ( $p=0.04$ ), total fat mass ( $p < 0.01$ ), total lean tissue mass ( $p=0.01$ ) and a history of type 2 diabetes in paternal grandmothers ( $p = 0.02$ ; lower insulin sensitivity in those with a paternal grandmother with type 2

diabetes). Paternal history of type 2 diabetes was not significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) (Online Appendix, Table 2, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). The decline in insulin sensitivity over age was greater in those with a history of type 2 diabetes in maternal grandmothers ( $p = 0.03$ ; Online Appendix, Table 2 and Figure 2a, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). The addition of family history in the full family history model was not significantly stronger than the covariate model ( $\chi^2_{12} = 5.29$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), and accounted for an additional 2.6% of the individual difference in insulin sensitivity. When only significant predictors were included (maternal grandmother and mother), the model ( $\chi^2_4 = 6.25$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) was not a significant improvement over the covariate model and accounted for 14.4% of the individual difference in insulin sensitivity.

### Acute Insulin Response

The Acute insulin response of the cohort at baseline is shown in Figure 1b (Online Appendix, Figure 1b, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). In the covariate model for log acute insulin response, tanner stage ( $\beta = -4.100E^{-02}$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and log insulin sensitivity ( $\beta = -0.692$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) significantly predicted initial levels of acute insulin response. The increase in log acute insulin response over age was steeper in girls ( $\beta = 2.484E^{-03}$ ;  $p = 0.04$ ), and increased with total lean tissue mass ( $\beta = 1.716E^{-06}$ ;  $p = 0.02$ ) and log insulin sensitivity ( $\beta = 6.683E^{-02}$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ). The covariate model was a significant improvement over the baseline model ( $\chi^2_{10} = 349.8$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and accounted for 43.2% of the individual difference in acute insulin response. When family history variables were entered into the model, initial levels of log acute

insulin response was predicted by tanner stage ( $p < 0.01$ ), log insulin sensitivity ( $p < 0.01$ ), and total lean tissue mass ( $p < 0.01$ , Online Appendix, Table 3, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). The increase in log acute insulin response over age was steeper in females ( $p = 0.02$ ), increased with total lean tissue mass ( $p = 0.01$ ), and decreased with log insulin sensitivity ( $p < 0.01$ ) and a maternal history of type 2 diabetes ( $p = 0.05$ ), suggesting that the increase over age in log acute insulin response is stronger in those whose mothers have diabetes (Online Appendix, Figure 16, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). Paternal history of type 2 diabetes was not a significant predictor of increase in log acute insulin response over age ( $p = 0.472$ ). The difference between the covariate model and the full family history model was not significant ( $\chi^2_{12} = 13.98$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), and accounted for an additional 1.3% of the individual difference in acute insulin response. When the only significant predictor was included (mother), the model ( $\chi^2_2 = 5.2$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) was not a significant improvement over the covariate model and accounted for an additional 0.3% of the individual difference in acute insulin response.

### Disposition Index

The Disposition index of the cohort at baseline is shown in Figure 1c (Online Appendix, Figure 1c, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). In the covariate model for log disposition index, tanner stage ( $\beta = -4.155E^{-02}$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) and total fat mass ( $\beta = -5.153E^{-06}$ ;  $p < 0.002$ ) significantly predicted initial levels of log disposition index. The decline in log disposition index over age was steeper in females ( $\beta = 2.324E^{-02}$ ;  $p = 0.04$ ), smaller with total lean tissue mass ( $\beta = 1.542E^{-06}$ ;  $p = 0.05$ ) and larger with total fat mass ( $\beta =$

$-1.347E^{-06}$ ;  $p = 0.05$ ). The covariate model for log disposition index was significant compared to the baseline model ( $\chi^2_{10} = 46.1$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) and accounted for 12.2% of the individual differences in the disposition index. When family members were entered into the family model, initial levels of log disposition index were significantly predicted by tanner stage ( $p < 0.01$ ) and total mass ( $p < 0.01$ , Online Appendix, Table 4, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). The decline in log disposition index over age was steeper in females ( $p = 0.03$ ), larger with total fat mass ( $p = 0.02$ ), smaller with total lean tissue mass ( $p = 0.02$ ), and larger with maternal history of type 2 diabetes ( $p = 0.05$ ), suggesting that the decline over age in log disposition index is stronger in those whose mothers have diabetes (Online Appendix, Table 4 and Figure 2c, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). Paternal history of type 2 diabetes was not a significant predictor of fall in log disposition index over age ( $p = 0.638$ ). The family history model was not a significant improvement over covariate model ( $\chi^2_{12} = 13.63$ ,  $p = > 0.05$ ), and accounted for an additional 2.2% of the individual differences in the disposition index. When the only significant predictor was included (mother), the model ( $\chi^2_2 = 5.3$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) was not a significant improvement over the covariate model and accounted for an additional 0.5% of the individual differences in the disposition index.

### Fasting Glucose

The Fasting glucose of the cohort at baseline is shown in Figure 1d (Online Appendix, Figure 1d, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). In the covariate model for log fasting glucose, gender ( $\beta = -1.778E^{-02}$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ) was the only covariate to significantly predict

initial levels of log fasting glucose. The increase in fasting glucose over age was significantly higher in females ( $\beta = -5.277E^{-03}$ ;  $p = 0.003$ ) and larger with higher total fat mass ( $\beta = 2.727E^{-07}$ ;  $p = 0.004$ ). The covariate model for fasting glucose was significant compared with the baseline model ( $\chi^2_{10} = 95.3$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and accounted for 1.0% of the individual differences in the fasting glucose. When family members were entered into the model, initial levels of fasting glucose were predicted by gender ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Online Appendix, Table 5, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). The increase in fasting glucose over age was smaller in females ( $p < 0.01$ ), increased with total fat mass ( $p = 0.002$ ), and was larger with maternal history of type 2 diabetes ( $p = 0.05$ ), suggesting that the increase over age in fasting glucose was stronger in those whose mothers have diabetes (Online Appendix, Table 5 and Figure 2d, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). Paternal history of type 2 diabetes was not a significant predictor of the increase in fasting glucose over age ( $p = 0.304$ ). The family history model was not a significant improvement compared with the covariate model ( $\chi^2_{12} = 9.9$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), and accounted for an additional 1.8% of the individual differences in the fasting glucose. When only significant predictor was included (mother), the model ( $\chi^2_2 = 3.4$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) was not a significant model compared with the covariate model and accounted for an additional 1.7% of the individual differences in the fasting glucose.

## 2-Hour Glucose

The Two-hour fasting glucose of the cohort at baseline is shown in Figure 1e (Online Appendix, Figure 1e, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). While no individual covariate had statistically

significant fixed effects, the covariate model for 2hr-glucose was significant compared with the baseline model ( $\chi^2_{10} = 62.0$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ), and accounted for 1.2% of the individual differences in the 2-hr glucose. When family members were entered into the family history model, 2hr-glucose over age increased with a maternal grandfathers history of T2D ( $p = 0.03$ ), suggesting that the increase over age in 2hr-glucose is stronger in those whose maternal grandfathers have diabetes (Online Appendix, Table 6 and Figure 2e, <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>). Maternal and paternal history of T2DM did not significantly predict increases in 2hr-glucose over age ( $p = 0.960$  and  $0.319$ , respectively). The family history model was not a significant model compared with the covariate model ( $\chi^2_{12} = 8.4$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), and accounted for 1.9% of the individual differences in the 2-hr glucose. When the only significant predictor was included (maternal grandfather), the model ( $\chi^2_2 = 3.3$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ) was not a significant improvement over the covariate model and accounted for an additional 1.8% of the individual differences in the 2-hr glucose.

## DISCUSSION

In adults, a strong hereditary component in risk for type 2 diabetes is well known<sup>7-9</sup>. The impact of family history of diabetes on insulin dynamics have been confirmed in cross-sectional studies in adults<sup>12,21</sup> but not younger children<sup>15,16</sup> suggesting that the emergence of risk occurs at some point during growth and development. It is not clear whether there is any differential risk in the transmission of type 2 diabetes between mothers or fathers with a positive family history of diabetes. To address

these issues we used a longitudinal data set to investigate the influence of family history of diabetes on insulin dynamics in overweight Latino adolescents. Our major observations included a decline in insulin sensitivity and  $\beta$ -cell function during pubertal growth, which was influenced by a maternal family history of type 2 diabetes, these effects became stronger as children got older.

In contrast to cross-sectional studies examining the impact of family history of type 2 diabetes<sup>15,16</sup> the key finding of the present longitudinal study is that a decline in insulin sensitivity (maternal grandmother) and beta-cell function (mother) during early adolescence in overweight Latino youth is influenced specifically by a family history of type 2 diabetes on the maternal side. Thus, the declines in insulin sensitivity and beta cell function as well as the increase in fasting and 2-hr glucose levels, were independent of body composition or gestational diabetes and were more pronounced in those subjects with a maternal family history of type 2 diabetes. Although the relationship between maternal family history and acute insulin response is opposite to that of insulin sensitivity and disposition index i.e. with a positive maternal family history, we see an increase in acute insulin response the fact that disposition index continues to deteriorate indicates that this acute insulin response is likely to be inadequate for the degree of ongoing deterioration in insulin sensitivity. This would lead to a decrease in disposition index relative to insulin sensitivity conveyed by maternal family history. In adults, the progression of insulin resistance and the subsequent inability of the beta-cell to adequately compensate through an increase in secretion<sup>22</sup>, is the basis for the development of type 2 diabetes. In

children, this pathogenesis is likely to be similar, but exacerbated by transient insulin resistance that occurs during puberty<sup>23,24</sup> and may further contribute to beta-cell demand. Our results suggest that maternal history of type 2 diabetes may hold further pathophysiologic relevance in the offspring. This is further supported by the finding of increasing fasting glucose levels over time in children with positive maternal history of diabetes.

The findings of the present study are consistent with adult studies of transmission of type 2 diabetes. Evidence is increasing that offspring whose mother had diabetes are more likely to develop diabetes themselves compared with offspring whose fathers had diabetes<sup>12,21</sup>. Several adult studies have examined the role of parental transmission of type 2 diabetes, and have consistently shown an effect of maternal diabetes<sup>23</sup>. These findings are limited by the fact that many of the fathers may have undetected diabetes because of reduced screening rates, poor health care provision and utilization or may develop type 2 diabetes at an older age than mothers<sup>25</sup>. It maybe possible that the reported association between maternal history and insulin /glucose dynamics in their offspring may be attributed to the environment in which the child has been raised. We were unable to compare our findings that a history of type 2 diabetes in maternal grandmothers predicts a fall in insulin sensitivity over age as to our knowledge no other longitudinal study has investigated this possible relationship. However, these findings do offer further evidence of maternal transmission of type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, in line with prior evidence suggests that prenatal exposure to a diabetic intrauterine environment leads to an increased risk of developing diabetes in later life<sup>26</sup>, our study shows initial levels

of insulin sensitivity to be lower in offspring with a maternal history of gestational diabetes.

Assuming that maternal influences are important in the transmission of type 2 diabetes, several possible genetic mechanisms have been suggested. These include the role of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA)<sup>27</sup>, genes imprinting and the effect of maternally determined environments (e.g. intrauterine influences). Although several mutations have been implicated, the strongest evidence suggests a point substitution at nucleotide position 3243 (A to G) in the mitochondrial tRNA<sup>leu(UUR)</sup> gene<sup>27</sup>. Apart from severe, pathogenic mtDNA mutations, common polymorphisms in mtDNA may contribute to variations of insulin secretory capacity in normal individuals. Although, mitochondrial diabetes may account for less than 1% of all diabetes, the exact mechanism whereby maternal history of type 2 diabetes imparts increase risk of beta-cell dysfunction and diabetes risk in her offspring remains to be determined.

Compared with earlier studies<sup>12,21,23</sup>, the present study is unique in several aspects, thereby significantly expanding knowledge of the effects of family history on the development of type 2 diabetes. First, the longitudinal design of the present study allows us to examine the dynamic influence of family history on glucose and insulin variables over age. Second, the use of minimal model method applied to the FIVGT to measures insulin dynamics, which is recognized as a gold standard technique to assess peripheral insulin resistance<sup>28</sup>.

Study limitations include; use of parental self-report for diabetes status of the parents and grandparents, including those potentially undiagnosed condition. Negative parental history may be

underestimated, as many parents may not recognize that they do have diabetes or they may be still too young to manifest the disease clinically. However, this would most likely result in an underestimation of the strength of the association between parental diabetes and risk variables in the offspring during adolescence and adulthood. This underestimation is most likely in the paternal side, as women are more likely to seek medical care and be diagnosed. In additions, since the mothers most often completed the family history of diabetes form they may not know the status of their in-laws, again creating a bias toward underreporting and an underestimation of paternal effects. Furthermore, the lack of dietary and physical activity data which may have provided more insight into the environment in which these at risk children are being raised. The cumulative exposure time to a sedentary lifestyle and hypercaloric diets may have an impact on these at risk for type 2 diabetes children.

In conclusion, this longitudinal study provides new evidence that a positive maternal family history in a cohort of overweight Latino adolescents may be related to the deterioration of insulin sensitivity, beta-cell function and levels of glycemia in a cohort of overweight Latino adolescents and, therefore, might be considered as a risk factor for the future development of the type 2 diabetes over time. Further research is clearly warranted to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the transmission of type 2 diabetes risks in this and other at risk populations.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to the children and families participating in the SOLAR study. This study was supported by the NIH Grant R01 DK 59211, the General

Clinic Research Center (GCRC) and the  
National Center for Research Resources

Grant M01 RR 00043.

## REFERENCES

1. King H, Aubert RE, Herman WH: Global burden of diabetes, 1995-2025: prevalence, numerical estimates, and projections. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21(9):1414-31.
2. Fagot-Campagna A. Emergence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in children: epidemiological evidence. *J of Pediatr Endocr* 2000; 13 (Suppl 6):1395-402.
3. Goran MI, Bergman RN, et al: Insulin resistance and associated compensatory responses in African American and Hispanic children. *Diabetes Care* 2002; 25(12):2184-90.
4. Goran MI, Bergman RN, et al: Impaired glucose tolerance and reduced beta-cell function in overweight Latino children with a positive family history for type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Endocr Met* 2004; 89(1):207-12.
5. Weigensberg MJ, Ball GD, et al: Decreased beta-cell function in overweight Latino children with impaired fasting glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28(10):2519-24.
6. Goran MI, Shaibi GQ, et al. Deterioration of insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function in overweight Hispanic children during pubertal transition: A longitudinal assessment. *IJPO*. 2006; In press.
7. Haffner SM, Stern MP, et al: Increased insulin concentrations in nondiabetic offspring of diabetic parents. *New Engl J Med* 1988; 319(20):1297-301.
8. Johnston C, Ward WK, et al: Islet function and insulin sensitivity in the non-diabetic offspring of conjugal type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetic Med* 1990; 7(2):119-25.
9. Weijnen CF, Rich SS, et al: Risk of diabetes in siblings of index cases with Type 2 diabetes: implications for genetic studies. *Diabetic Med* 2002; 19(1):41-50.
10. Medici F, Hawa M, et al: Concordance rate for type II diabetes mellitus in monozygotic twins: actuarial analysis. [Twin Study] *Diabetologia* 1999; 42(2):146-50.
11. Newman B, Selby JV, et al : Concordance for type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus in male twins. *Diabetologia* 1987; 30(10):763-8.
12. Klein BE, Klein R, et al: Parental history of diabetes in a population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 1996; 19(8):827-30.
13. Mitchell BD, Valdez R, , et al: Differences in the prevalence of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance according to maternal or paternal history of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1993; 16(9):1262-7.
14. Watanabe RM, Valle T, et al: Familiality of quantitative metabolic traits in Finnish families with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Finland-United States Investigation of NIDDM Genetics (FUSION) Study investigators. *Hum Hered* 1999; 49, 159–168.
15. Goran MI, Coronges K, et al: Influence of family history of type 2 diabetes on insulin sensitivity in prepubertal children. *J Clin Endocr Met* 2003; 88(1):192-5.
16. Paulsen EP, Richenderfer L, et al: Plasma glucose, free fatty acids, and immunoreactive insulin in sixty-six obese children. Studies in reference to a family history of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes* 1968 17(5):261-9.
17. Weigensberg MJ, Cruz ML, et al: Association between insulin sensitivity and post-glucose challenge plasma insulin values in overweight Latino youth. *Diabetes Care* 2003; 26:2094–2099.
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: CDC growth Charts. Atlanta, GA, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, 2000 (U.S. Publ. no. 314).

19. American Diabetes Association. Type 2 diabetes in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*, 2000; 105: 671-680.
20. Marshall WA, Tanner JM: Variations in pattern of pubertal changes in girls. *Arch Dis Child* 1969; 44:291-303.
21. Karter AJ, Rowell SE, et al: Excess maternal transmission of type 2 diabetes. The Northern California Kaiser Permanente Diabetes Registry. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22(6):938-43.
22. Bergman RN. Toward physiological understanding of glucose tolerance. *Diabetes*. 1989 1989; 38:1512-1527.
23. Meigs JB, Cupples LA, et al: Parental transmission of type 2 diabetes: the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes* 2000; 49 (12):2201-7.
24. Goran MI, Gower BA. Longitudinal study of pubertal insulin resistance. *Diabetes*. 2001; 50:2444-2450.
25. Shalev V, Chodick G, Heymann AD, Kokia E: Gender differences in healthcare utilization and medical indicators among patients with diabetes. *Public Health* 2005; 119(1):45-9.
26. Dabelea D, Pettitt DJ, Hanson RL, et al: Birth weight, type 2 diabetes, and insulin resistance in Pima Indian children and young adults. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22: 944-950.
27. Van den Ouweland JM, Lemkes HH, Ruitenbeek W, et al: Mutation in mitochondrial tRNA(Leu)(UUR) gene in a large pedigree with maternally transmitted type II diabetes mellitus and deafness. *Nat Genet* 1992; 1 (5): 368-71.
28. American Diabetes Association. Consensus development conference on insulin resistance. *Diabetes Care*. 1998; 21:310 –314.

Table 1. Characteristics of Participants at study entry

Characteristics	Total (N= 247)
Age (y) <sup>1</sup>	11.1 ± 1.7
Height (M) <sup>1</sup>	1.49 ± 11.4
Weight (kg) <sup>1</sup>	64.3 ± 20.2
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) <sup>1</sup>	28.3 ± 5.7
BMI percentile <sup>1</sup>	97.2 ± 3.0
Total body fat (kg) <sup>1</sup>	25.0 ± 10.5
Total lean body mass (kg) <sup>1</sup>	36.8 ± 10.2
<b>Tanner Stage</b>	42% Tanner1; 29% tanner 2; 8% Tanner 3; 12% Tanner 4; 9% Tanner 5
Maternal Gestational Diabetes	N=53 (22%)
Family History of Type 2 Diabetes	Mother (40%); Father (48%); maternal grandmother (49%); maternal grandfather (29%); paternal grandmother (30%); paternal grandfather (22%)
<b>Insulin Dynamics</b>	
SI [ $\times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^{-1}/(\mu\text{U/mL})$ ] <sup>2</sup>	1.5 (0.8-2.9)
AIR ( $\mu\text{U/mL}$ ) <sup>2</sup>	1438.8 (745.1-2778.4)
DI ( $\times 10^{-4} \text{ min}^1$ ) <sup>2</sup>	2213.1 (1386.1-3533.5)
Fasting Glucose (mg/dl) <sup>2</sup>	92.0 (85.8-98.6)
2-hr Glucose (mg/dl) <sup>2</sup>	124.3 (106.9-144.5)

<sup>1</sup> Data are means ± SD, n (%), unless otherwise stated.

<sup>2</sup> Data are geometrical means and upper and lower limit SD