

Self-rated health and healthcare utilization among women with histories of gestational diabetes mellitus

Running head: Gestational diabetes and self-rated health

Catherine Kim, M.D., M.P.H.(1); Anjel Vahratian, Ph.D (2)

1. Departments of Medicine and Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
2. Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Address for Correspondence:

Catherine Kim, M.D. M.P.H.

e-mail: cathkim@umich.edu

Submitted 21 September 2009 and accepted 28 September 2009.

This is an uncopyedited electronic version of an article accepted for publication in *Diabetes Care*. The American Diabetes Association, publisher of *Diabetes Care*, is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it by third parties. The definitive publisher-authenticated version will be available in a future issue of *Diabetes Care* in print and online at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>.

Objective: To examine self-rated health and healthcare utilization among women with histories of gestational diabetes (hGDM)

Research Design and Methods: A cross-sectional analysis of the 2006 National Health Interview Survey of parous women with (n=370) and without (n=6695) hGDM.

Results: Women with hGDM reported fair or poor health status and ≥ 10 office visits in the past year more frequently than women without hGDM. The higher prevalence of obesity in hGDM women accounted for their poorer self-rated health after adjustment for other demographic factors. While the association between hGDM and more frequent office visits was reduced after adjustment for demographic factors including health insurance, hGDM was still associated with a lower odds of contact with a mental health professional.

Conclusions: Due to obesity, women with hGDM have poorer self-rated health than women without hGDM. Contact with mental health providers was reduced compared to women without hGDM.

Self-rated health can act as a global summary measure of health and has been found to correlate with mortality (1) and healthcare utilization (2) independent of chronic disease. Studies that examine self-rated health among women with histories of gestational diabetes (hGDM) conflict (3-6), and healthcare utilization among hGDM women has not been reported. Women with hGDM may have poorer self-rated health than women without hGDM due to their poorer socioeconomic status (7), greater prevalence of obesity (7), and/or greater prevalence of postpartum depression (5). Therefore, we sought to determine whether women with hGDM had poorer self-rated health than parous women without hGDM, whether any differences persisted after adjustment for demographic factors and consideration of body mass index (BMI) and mental health distress, and whether hGDM women had different patterns of healthcare utilization than women without hGDM.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Eligible participants were parous female respondents 18 to 50 years of age with self-reported information on hGDM, self-rated health, and healthcare utilization who participated in the 2006 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), a cross-sectional household interview survey.(8) Women with current diabetes (n=273) were excluded. The study sample consisted of 6695 parous women without diabetes or GDM and 370 women with hGDM.

Women were asked to rate their current health as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor and about the number of visits to an emergency room or other types of outpatient visit. BMI was assessed using self-reported weight and height. Health insurance was classified as private, Medicaid, other, and no coverage. Mental health distress

was ascertained using the NHIS 6-item Non-Specific Distress Battery, and respondents were classified as experiencing high or high-moderate, moderate, or low-moderate distress.(9)

The SURVEYLOGISTIC procedure in SAS was used to assess the association between hGDM and the presence of fair/poor health and the several measures of healthcare utilization, with the addition of health insurance in demographic variables. For all analyses, the data were weighted to adjust for the survey design, sampling, coverage, and response rates.

RESULTS

Compared to women without hGDM, women with hGDM were older and more likely to be Hispanic or Latina, less likely to be African-American, and more likely to be married and to have an annual household income of <\$20,000 per year. Women with hGDM were more likely to have Medicaid than women without hGDM (16% vs. 9%). Women with hGDM were more likely to be obese than women without hGDM (41% vs. 23%). Women with and without hGDM reported similarly low distress levels (3% vs. 5%).

Twelve percent of hGDM women and 7% of non-GDM women reported fair or poor self-rated health, 21% of hGDM women vs. 14% of non-GDM women reported ≥ 10 office visits in the past year, and 5% of hGDM women vs. 8% of non-GDM women reported any contact with a mental health professional in the past year.

In adjusted analyses (Table), the association between hGDM and fair/poor self-rated health persisted after adjustment for demographic variables, but was no longer significant after consideration of BMI. The association between hGDM and ≥ 10 office visits in the past year was no longer

significant after consideration of demographic variables, including health insurance. Although women with hGDM were less likely to report any contact with a mental health professional, this was not due to demographic factors, BMI, or mental health symptoms.

COMMENTS

While best known for its association with future adverse metabolic effects, GDM is also associated with adverse self-rated health (5; 6), an independent indicator of future health (1). We found that even in the absence of diabetes, women with hGDM have poorer self-rated health than women without hGDM, and this was explained by hGDM women's greater BMI. We also found that women with hGDM tend to be greater utilizers of health care, reporting more frequent outpatient visits than women without hGDM, due to their demographic profile. Despite their poorer self-rated health and more frequent outpatient visits, women with hGDM were less likely to have any contact with a mental health professional in the past year.

Our results are in accord with other reports that have found that obesity adversely affects self-rated health, even after consideration of other demographic factors, and even in the absence of other chronic disease conditions (10). To our knowledge, no previous reports have examined healthcare utilization in women with hGDM, who reported a greater number of office visits in the past year but less frequently reported contact with a mental health provider. The explanations for reduced contact with mental health professionals among GDM women, despite their poor self-rated health, and after considerations of insurance and other demographic factors, are speculative.

The strengths of this study include its use of a national, population-based dataset

and thus its ability to assess utilization even among adults without insurance. However, this limits its utilization measures, which consequently rely on self-reported use. We did not have information on other aspects of the GDM pregnancy which might have helped us determine which GDM women were at greatest risk for poorer self-rated health, including time since pregnancy, Cesarean section, use of insulin during the pregnancy, and subsequent illness in the children, although the inclusion of this information would be expected to bias our report to the null. Finally, the cross-sectional design limits our ability to determine the impact of GDM women's poorer self-rated health upon future disease outcomes, most notably diabetes and mortality.

We conclude that even in the absence of diabetes, women with hGDM have poorer self-rated health compared to women without hGDM, due to their greater prevalence of obesity. While women with hGDM are frequent utilizers of outpatient healthcare, they are less likely to use mental health services, even after consideration of obesity, insurance, and mental health distress symptoms. Future studies should examine the associations between self-rated health and future morbidity and mortality. Additional studies should also explore use of and access to mental health services among women with hGDM, particularly those with mental health impairment which might be amenable to intervention.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Neither author had a conflict of interest. C.K. was supported by K23DK071552 from the National Institute of Health of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK).

REFERENCES

1. Idler E, Benyamini Y: Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. *J Health Soc Behavior* 38:21-37, 1999
2. DeSalvo K, Fan V, McDonell M, Fihn S: Predicting mortality and healthcare utilization with a single question. *Health Serv Res* 40:1234-1246, 2005
3. Kim C, Brawarsky P, Jackson R, Fuentes-Afflick E, Haas J: Changes in health status experienced by women with gestational diabetes and pregnancy-induced hypertension. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* 14:729-736, 2005
4. Daniells S, Grenyer B, Davis W, Coleman K, Burgess J, Moses R: Gestational diabetes mellitus: is a diagnosis associated with an increase in maternal anxiety and stress in the short and intermediate term? *Diabetes Care* 26:385-389, 2003
5. Kozhimannil K, Pereira M, Harlow B: Association between diabetes and perinatal depression among low-income mothers. *JAMA* 301:842-847, 2009
6. Feig D, Chen E, Naylor C: Self-perceived health status of women three to five years after the diagnosis of gestational diabetes: a survey of cases and matched controls. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 178:386-393, 1998
7. Kieffer E, Sinco B, Kim C: Health behaviors in women with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *Diabetes Care* 29:1788-1793, 2006
8. Adams P, Lucas J, Barnes P: Summary health statistics for the U.S. population: National Health Interview Survey, 2006. *Vital Health Stat* 236:1-104, 2008
9. Dickey W, Blumberg S: The six-item non-specific distress battery in the core questionnaire of the National Health Interview Survey. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1999
10. Okosun I, Choi S, Matamoros T, Dever G: Obesity is associated with reduced self-rated general health status: evidence from a representative sample of white, black, and Hispanic Americans. *Prev Med* 32:429-436, 2001

Table. Associations between a history of GDM, self-rated health, and health-care utilization, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Reference group is women without a history of GDM.

	<u>Fair or poor health*</u>	<u>> 10 office visits in the past year†</u>	<u>> 2 ED visits in the past year†</u>	<u>Any contact with mental health providers‡</u>	<u>Any contact with general practitioner†</u>	<u>Any contact with women's health providers‡</u>
Unadjusted	1.8 (1.2, 2.8)	1.7 (1.2, 2.4)	1.3 (0.87, 1.9)	0.56 (0.32, 0.98)	1.2 (0.91, 1.6)	1.1 (0.85, 1.5)
Adjusted for demographic factors	1.6 (1.01, 2.6)	1.4 (1.0, 2.1)	1.2 (0.81, 1.8)	0.46 (0.26, 0.82)	1.1 (0.80, 1.5)	1.1 (0.78, 1.5)
Adjusted for above, and body mass index‡	1.4 (0.82, 2.3)	1.3 (0.96, 2.0)	1.1 (0.73, 1.7)	0.45 (0.24, 0.81)	0.99 (0.73, 1.3)	1.1 (0.78, 1.5)
Adjusted for above, and mental health distress	1.3 (0.72, 2.2)	1.3 (0.84, 1.9)	1.0 (0.67, 1.6)	0.36 (0.19, 0.70)	0.97 (0.71, 1.3)	1.1 (0.77, 1.5)

*Demographic factors include age (years, continuous), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, Hispanic, African-American, Asian, Other), marital status (married, widowed/divorced/separated, never married, living with partner), education (less than high school, high school, some college, college or more), income (<\$20,000, \$20,000-\$44,999, \$45,000-\$74,999, ≥\$75,000).

†Demographic factors include above, and health insurance (no insurance, private insurance, Medicaid, Other).

‡Body mass index adjusted for as < 25 kg/m², 25-29.9 kg/m², ≥ 30 kg/m²