

# Brain Imaging in Patients With Diabetes

## A systematic review

BARBERA VAN HARTEN, MD<sup>1,2</sup>  
FRANK-ERIK DE LEEUW, MD, PHD<sup>3</sup>  
HENRY C. WEINSTEIN, MD, PHD<sup>1,2</sup>

PHILIP SCHELTENS, MD, PHD<sup>2</sup>  
GEERT JAN BIESSELS, MD, PHD<sup>4</sup>

**D**iabetes is associated with impaired cognitive functioning and an increased risk of dementia (1,2). Patients with type 1 diabetes may show mild to moderate slowing of mental speed and diminished mental flexibility, whereas learning and memory are relatively spared (3). In patients with type 2 diabetes, cognitive impairment may be relatively more pronounced, particularly affecting verbal memory or complex information processing (4,5). The pathogenesis is still uncertain, but chronic hyperglycemia, vascular disease, repeated hypoglycemic episodes, and possibly direct effects of insulin on the brain have been implicated (6). Brain imaging studies can help to clarify the pathogenesis. An increasing number of studies report both focal vascular and more global (e.g., atrophy) cerebral changes, but the results are not always consistent.

Our aim was to systematically review brain imaging studies in patients with diabetes. Data on the relation of imaging with cognition and with relevant disease variables were also recorded.

### RESEARCH DESIGN AND

**METHODS**— Medline and EMBASE (1966 to February 2006) were searched with the following medical subject heading terms: computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies: white matter, leukoaraiosis, lacunar infarction, subcortical, periventricular, brain, cerebral, hippocampus,

atrophy, MRI, magnetic resonance imaging, CT, and tomography; magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) studies: magnetic resonance spectroscopy, MRS, brain, and cerebral; positron emission tomography (PET), single-photon emission CT (SPECT), and Xenon-enhanced CT studies: cerebral blood flow, glucose metabolism, brain, cerebral, PET, SPECT, Xenon, positron emission tomography, single-photon emission tomography, and tomography; all combined with “diabetes.”

The abstracts were screened and potentially relevant articles retrieved. These articles were included if they met the following four criteria: 1) original article, written in English, on brain imaging in adult patients with diabetes in comparison with control subjects; 2) diagnostic criteria for diabetes specified; 3) sample size of at least 20 diabetic patients, or a total sample size >200 if the number of diabetic patients was not specified; 4) for CT or MRI studies: specification of the rating method for white matter lesions (WMLs) or atrophy. Eligible articles were evaluated against the inclusion criteria by two independent authors (B.v.H., F.E.d.L., or G.-J.B.). In case of disagreement, a consensus meeting was held. If multiple articles reported on the same imaging outcome measure from the same study population, the article with the most detailed data on brain imaging and/or the largest study population was included.

The search strategy for MRI and CT studies yielded 271 articles. Three additional articles were identified through bibliographies of included articles (7–9). After screening of title and abstracts was completed, 117 full-text versions were retrieved, of which 46 were included. The search for MRS studies yielded 75 articles, of which 3 were included. The search strategy for PET, SPECT, and Xenon-CT yielded 84 articles, of which 6 were included.

From included studies, the source population (e.g., population or clinic based), experimental design (e.g., cross-sectional, longitudinal), sample size, and age of the participants were recorded. The procedure for diagnosing diabetes was recorded (e.g., based on history, based on oral glucose tolerance test), as well as the diabetes type of the population involved (type 1 diabetes, type 2 diabetes, mixed, or unknown). If the diabetes type was not specified and the mean age of the patients was  $\geq 60$  years, the population was classified as “predominantly type 2 diabetes.” The imaging modality and the methods to rate WMLs, atrophy, lacunar infarcts, cerebral blood flow (CBF), or cerebral glucose metabolism were recorded. Effect sizes (Cohen’s *d*), odds ratios (ORs), or relative risks with 95% CIs for these outcome measures were recorded or calculated based on the available data. Data on the relation between relevant comorbidity (e.g., hypertension) and diabetes-related variables (e.g., glycemic control, microvascular complications, diabetes duration, medication use) and the imaging outcome measures were also recorded.

The source of the study populations in CT or MRI studies varied considerably, from true population-based sampling to populations with vascular or cognitive pathology. To improve clarity, we therefore classified the 46 included articles into three main categories: 1) “general cohorts” ( $n = 11$ ): this category included articles on population-based studies or case-control studies, in which the cases were recruited from the general population or a diabetes clinic; 2) “vascular cohorts” ( $n = 23$ ): this category included articles on studies that primarily recruited

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Sint Lucas Andreas Hospital, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>2</sup>Neurology and Alzheimer Center, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands; the <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurology, University Medical Center St. Radboud, Nijmegen, the Netherlands; and the <sup>4</sup>Department of Neurology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Barbera van Harten, Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, Postbus 888, 8901 BR Leeuwarden, Netherlands. E-mail: bvanharten@hotmail.com.

Received for publication 2 August 2006 and accepted in revised form 15 August 2006.

**Abbreviations:** CBF, cerebral blood flow; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MRS, magnetic resonance spectroscopy; PET, positron emission tomography; SPECT, single-photon emission CT.

A table elsewhere in this issue shows conventional and Système International (SI) units and conversion factors for many substances.

DOI: 10.2337/dc-06-1637

© 2006 by the American Diabetes Association.

Table 1—Relation between diabetes and WMLs

Study (ref.)	Study population	Subjects (total/ diabetic) (n)	Mean age (years)	Diabetes type	Imaging
General cohorts					
Dejgaard et al. (16)	Case/control	60/20	40	1	MRI
Yousem et al. (37)	Case/control	35/25	31	1	MRI
Longstreth et al. (7)	Population based	3,301/369	>65	2	MRI
den Heijer et al. (12)	Population based	506/41	>60	2	MRI
Schmidt et al. (13)	Population based	1,252/114	69	2	MRI
Jerekathil et al. (9)	Population based	1814/91	54	ND	MRI
Jorm et al. (15)	Population based	475/?	>60	2	MRI
Vascular cohorts					
Hijdra et al. (19)	Stroke	376/59	>65	2	CT
Schmidt et al. (17)	Stroke/vascular risk factors and control	234/38	55	ND	MRI
Manolio et al. (10)	Stroke and control	303/76	>65	2	MRI
Fukuda and Kitani (63)	Hypertension	238/52	>40	ND	MRI
Jorgensen et al. (64)	Stroke or TIA	1,084/203	>70	2	CT
Awada and Omojola (39)	Stroke	398/131	>60	2	CT
Henon et al. (65)	Stroke	610/83	64	2	CT
Padovani et al. (34)	Stroke and control	100/20	>60	2	MRI
Coskun et al. (38)	Stroke	288/57	>65	2	CT
Streifler et al. (18)	Stroke	596/110	>60	2	CT
Kario et al. (47)	Hypertension	20/20	69	2	MRI
Outpatient cohorts					
Raiha et al. (41)	Geriatric department	204/55	74	2	CT
Araki et al. (21)	MRI for any indication	2,725/159	60	2	MRI
Fukuda and Kitani (66)	Neurologically normal	253/50	66	2	MRI
Kobayashi et al. (22)	Neurologically normal	933/66	58	ND	MRI
Hogervorst et al. (24)	Alzheimer's disease and control subjects	414/29	74	2	CT
Masana and Motozaki (23)	Mild headache and vertigo	1,674/87	51	ND	MRI
Biessels et al. (11)	Memory clinic	347/29	73	2	MRI
Lazarus et al. (20)	Memory clinic	177/20	>65	2	MRI
Taylor et al. (14)	Depressive disorder and control subjects	399/34	70	2	MRI

Studies are listed in chronological order. Study populations: general cohorts, population-based or case-control studies; vascular cohorts, cohort with stroke or other cardiovascular risk factors; outpatient cohorts, neurological, or psychiatric outpatients. Diabetes type: 2 (except for the Study by Kario et al. [47]), population type classified as predominantly type 2 diabetes (see RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS). ND, not determined. Rating scale: Dich, dichotomous scale; Int, interval scale; Ord, ordinal scale. \*Dichotomization was performed for analysis. Outcome and results (diabetic patients vs. control subjects): BG, basal ganglia; DWML, deep WMLs; GML, gray matter lesions; PVH, periventricular hyperintensities. ORs are presented with 95% CIs in parentheses. †Where possible, we calculated OR or effect sizes (*d*) if they were not provided in the original article. Mean differences (diff; with 95% CIs in parentheses), *d*,  $\beta$ , and *t* values >0 reflect more severe WMLs in the diabetic group relative to control subjects. Studies marked with ‡ were included in the meta-analysis presented in Table 4. *P* value: NS, not significant; ?, not specified. Adjustments/matching: apo, apolipoprotein; AF, atrial fibrillation; BP, blood pressure (including hypertension, mean arterial pressure, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive drugs, left ventricular hypertrophy, and ankle-to-arm index); edu, education; HL, hyperlipidemia; ICD, ischemic cerebrovascular disease (including transient ischemic attack [TIA], stroke, leukoaraiosis, WMLs, and ultrasound examination of carotid or intracranial arteries); PVD, peripheral vascular disease (including peripheral artery disease, coronary artery disease, cardiac disease, congestive heart failure, and electrocardiogram changes).

patients with stroke or other cardiovascular risk factors and assessed the effects of diabetes within these selected populations; 3) "outpatient cohorts" (*n* = 12): this category included articles on cohorts of neurological or psychiatric outpatients (e.g., with cognitive complaints or other neurological or psychiatric conditions) and assessed the effects of diabetes within these selected populations.

Meta-analyses were performed on dichotomous outcome measures if a given outcome measure was available from at least two independent studies on the same cohort type with the same imaging modality (CT or MRI) and if the required data could be extracted from the articles. Analyses were performed with Review Manager (version 4.2; Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark) on unad-

justed data. For individual studies, no systematic differences were observed between these unadjusted ORs and adjusted ORs as presented in Tables 1 and 2.

## RESULTS

### CT and MRI studies

**Diabetes and WMLs.** The majority of the studies used ordinal rating scales with

Table 1—Continued

Rating scale	Outcome and results	P value	Adjustments/matching
Dich	OR 15.4 (3.8–63.2)†	<0.05	Age
Dich	No WML in either group	NS	Age, sex
Ord (0–9)	NS	NS	Age, sex
Ord (0–9) PVH	PVH: diff +0.4 (–0.2 to 1.1), <i>d</i> = 0.4†	NS	Age, sex
Int WML	WML: diff +0.01 ml (–1.0 to 1.0), <i>d</i> = 0.2†	NS	
Int	PVH: median diff 0	0.1	Sex, age, edu, BP, smoking, BMI, HL, PVD
	WML: median diff +0.2	0.2	
Volumetry	WML: $\beta$ = 0.02	0.8	Age, sex
Volumetry	WML: <i>r</i> = 0.03	NS	No
Ord (0–4)*	OR 2.0 (1.1–3.5)†‡	0.02	No
Ord (0–3)	$\beta$ = 0.2 (SE 0.1)	<0.001	Age, BP, sex, ICD, PVD
Ord (0–8)	Diabetes: mean diff 0.4	0.1	No
Ord (0–5)	Diabetes: mean diff 0.1, <i>d</i> = 0.2†	0.3	No
Dich	OR 0.8 (0.5–2.3)†‡	0.3	No
Dich	OR 0.9 (0.6–1.5)†‡	0.3	No
Ord (0–3)	Estimated coefficient 1.2 (SE 0.8)	0.1	Age, sex, BP, alcohol, HL, ICD, cerebral atrophy
Ord (0–3)	$\beta$ = 0.02 (SE 0.1)	0.9	Age, sex, BP, PVD, ICD, ventricular index
Ord (0–4)*	OR 0.6 (0.06–0.3)†‡	NS	No
Ord*	OR 1.6 (1.0–2.6)†‡	0.04	No
Ord*	OR 2.2 (0.5–9.0)	NS	Age, sex, BP
Dich	OR 0.9 (0.5–1.7)†	NS	No
Dich	OR 1.4 (0.7–2.8)†‡	NS	No
Ord (0–4)	<i>d</i> = 0.1†	0.6	Age, sex, BP, smoking, HL, ICD
Ord (PVH: 0–4)*	PVH: OR 2.0 (0.8–4.8)†‡	0.2	No
Dich (WML)	DWML: OR 0.8 (0.3–2.8)†‡	0.6	
Ord (0–3)*	OR 1.4 (0.5–4.3)	0.5	Age, sex, diagnosis, smoking, diabetes, BP, apoE4
Ord (0–3)	OR 1.6 (0.8–3.0)†	0.2	Age, sex, BP, HL, family history, smoking, alcohol, ICD
Ord (0–6)	PVH: mean diff 0 (–0.5 to 0.5)	NS	Age, sex
Ord (0–24)	DWML: mean diff –0.5 (–2.0 to 1.5)	NS	
Ord (0–4)*	PVH: OR 1.6 (0.6–5.2)†	NS	Age, BP, AF, ICD
	DWML: OR 2.9 (1.0–7.8)	?	
Volumetry	WML: <i>t</i> = –1.3	0.2	Age, sex, BP
	GML in BG: <i>t</i> = –1.08	0.3	

three to four levels, ranging from absent to severe confluent WMLs (Table 1). Other studies made a dichotomization into presence or absence of WMLs. Five studies used an ordinal scale that included nine or more grades of WML severity (7,10,11) or an interval scale (12,13). Only three studies used actual volumetric measurements (9,14,15).

From the seven studies categorized as “general cohorts,” one observed a significant association between diabetes and WMLs, although the actual median total deep WML volume in the diabetic group was small (<0.1 ml) (16). From the 11 studies categorized as “vascular cohorts,” 3 reported an increased severity of WMLs in patients with diabetes (17–19). From the nine studies categorized as “outpa-

tient cohorts,” only one reported a statistically significant association between diabetes and WMLs (20), although in four studies WML scores tended to be higher in the diabetic group (21–24).

Nine of the 27 WML studies could be included in the meta-analysis (Table 4). In the “vascular cohorts,” there was no association between diabetes and WMLs (OR 1.1 [95% CI 0.9–1.4]). In contrast, in the “outpatient cohorts,” there appeared to be a modest association between WMLs and diabetes (point estimates for ORs varied from 1.8 to 2.4 [Table 4]).

**Diabetes and lacunar infarctions.** Twenty articles on lacunar infarcts, which dealt with 19 study populations, were included. For one population, both cross-

sectional (8) and longitudinal (25) analyses were reported. Both articles were included, but only the cross-sectional data are presented in Table 2 and included in the meta-analysis. The majority of studies used MRI. The definition of lacunar infarcts (i.e., focal hyperintensities on T2-weighted images with corresponding hypointense lesions on T1 or FLAIR imaging) was consistent across the studies.

Four studies were categorized as “general cohorts” (8,13,25,26). Two of these studies reported an association between diabetes and symptomatic infarcts but no association with silent lacunar infarcts (8,26). The only longitudinal study observed an association between diabetes and silent incident lacunar infarcts (OR 2.9 [95% CI 1.0–8.5]) without a signifi-

Table 2—Relation between diabetes and lacunar infarcts

Study (ref.)	Study population	Subjects (total/diabetic) (n)	Mean age (years)	Diabetes type	Imaging	Outcome and results	P value	Adjustments/matching	Estimated power
<b>General cohorts</b>									
Longstreth et al. (26)	Population based	3,660/519	>65	2	MRI	Silent: OR 1.1 (0.8–1.5)	0.6	Age, sex, BP, HL, smoking, PVD, creatinine	1.0
Vermeer et al. (8)	Population based	1,077/75	>60	2	MRI	Symptomatic: OR 2.2 (1.1–4.5) Silent: OR 0.7 (0.4–1.5)	0.02 NS	Age, sex, BP, smoking	0.8
Schmidt et al. (13)	Population based	1,252/114	69	2	MRI	Symptomatic: OR 2.5 (1.0–5.9) Silent: OR 1.4 (0.7–2.7)*	<0.05 0.3	Sex, age, edu, BP, smoking, BMI, HL, PVD	0.6
<b>Vascular cohorts</b>									
Jorgensen et al. (40)	Stroke	494/79	>70	2	CT	Silent: OR 1.4 (0.9–2.4)*	0.2	No	0.8
Konemori (67)	Stroke vs. control	324/36	>50	ND	MRI	Silent: OR 0.8 Symptomatic: OR 2.5	NS NS	Age, sex, BP, HL	0.5
Hsu et al. (28)	Stroke and control	132/20	>60	2	CT	Symptomatic: OR 12.5 (3.1–57.6)	<0.05	No	0.3
Adachi et al. (42)	Stroke	171/50	69	2	MRI	Silent: OR 1.9 (0.9–3.9)	0.1	No	0.5
Revilla et al. (29)	Lacunar infarcts and control	164/28	65	2	CT/MRI	Symptomatic: OR 5.4 (1.5–18.9)	0.008	Age, sex, BP, HL, smoking	0.4
Arauz et al. (27)	Lacunar infarcts	175/72	64	2	MRI	Silent: OR 3.0 (1.3–7.0)	0.03	Age, sex, BP, HL, smoking, alcohol, PVD	0.5
Selvetella et al. (43)	Hypertension	195/40	>60	2	MRI	Silent: OR 2.0 (0.9–4.1)*	0.07	No	0.5
Giele et al. (68)	Atherosclerotic vascular disease or risk factors	308/59	58	ND	MRI	Silent: OR 1.4 (0.7–2.8)*	0.4	No	0.5
Karapanayiotides et al. (30)	Stroke	4,064/611	67	2	MRI	Symptomatic: OR 1.8 (1.3–3.8)	0.009	Sex, smoking, HL	1.0
Sarkar et al. (31)	Stroke	450/171	51	ND	CT	Symptomatic: OR 2.6 (1.8–3.9)	<0.05	No	0.9
Kario et al. (47)	Hypertension	20/20	69	2	MRI	Silent and symptomatic: OR 2.3 (0.6–8.0)	NS	Age, sex, BP	0.2
Kawamoto et al. (44)	Stroke	453/40	76	2	CT	Symptomatic: OR 0.7 (0.3–1.6)	0.35	Age, sex, smoking	0.5
<b>Outpatient cohorts</b>									
Araki et al. (21)	MRI for any indication	2,725/159	60	2	MRI	Silent: OR 1.0 (0.7–1.4)*	NS	No	1.0
Kobayashi et al. (22)	Neurologically normal	933/66	58	ND	MRI	Silent: OR 2.4 (1.2–4.9)	0.01	Age, sex, BP, alcohol, PVD	0.5
Uehara et al. (69)	Neurologically normal	219/37	63	2	MRI	Silent LI white matter: OR 2.3 (0.98–5.6)	0.06	Age, sex, BP, HL, smoking, PVD	0.5
Biessels et al. (11)	Memory clinic	347/29	73	2	MRI	Silent LI in BG: OR 0.7 (0.2–2.1) Silent: OR 2.3 (0.9–5.6)	0.6 NS	Age, sex	0.3

Studies are listed in chronological order. Study populations: general cohorts, population-based or case-control studies; vascular cohorts, cohort with stroke or other cardiovascular risk factors; outpatient cohorts, neurological outpatients. Diabetes type: 2 (except for the study by Kario et al. [47]), population type classified as predominantly type 2 diabetes (see RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS). ND, not determined. Outcome and results: BG, basal ganglia; LI, lacunar infarcts. ORs are presented with 95% CIs in parentheses. \*Where possible, we calculated ORs if they were not provided in the original article. P value: NS, not significant. Adjustments/matching: AF, atrial fibrillation; BP, blood pressure (including hypertension, mean arterial pressure, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive drugs, left ventricular hypertrophy, and ankle-to-arm index); edu, education; HL, hyperlipidemia; ICD, ischemic cerebrovascular disease (including transient ischemic attack, stroke, leukoarteritis, WMIs), and ultrasound examination of carotid or intracranial arteries); PVD, peripheral vascular disease (including peripheral artery disease, coronary artery disease, cardiac disease, congestive heart failure, and electrocardiogram changes). Estimated power: For each study, the power  $(1 - \beta)$  to detect a statistically significant difference between the diabetic and the control group was estimated, assuming an OR for infarcts of 2.0 in the diabetic group and an  $\alpha$  of 0.05 with two-sided testing (<http://calculators.stat.ucla.edu/powercalc/>).

**Table 3—Relation between diabetes and cerebral atrophy**

Study (ref.)	Study population	Subjects (total/diabetic) (n)	Mean age (years)	Diabetes type	Imaging	Rating scale	Outcome and results	P value	Adjustments/matching
<b>General cohorts</b>									
Longstreth et al. (33)	Population based	3,253?	>65	2	MRI	Ord (0–9)	Increase in cortical atrophy grade: mean 0.6 (0.2–0.9); women 0.2 (0–0.4)	?	Age, race, edu, BP, PVD, alcohol
Den Heijer et al. (12)	Population based	506/41	>60	2	MRI	Int	Hippocampal volume: –4% (0–9)*	0.04	Age, sex, PVD
Schmidt et al. (13)	Population based	1,252/114	69	2	MRI	Ord (0–15), ventricle-to-brain ratio	Amygdalar volume: –7% (–2 to 12)* Cortical atrophy: <i>d</i> = 0.3*	0.004 0.001	Sex, age, edu, BP, PVD, smoking, BMI, HL
Musen et al. (36)	Case-control	118/82	33	1	MRI	Volumetry	Subcortical atrophy: <i>d</i> = 0.3* Cortical density loss: range 4.3–5.0% Subcortical density loss: 5.2%	<0.001 <0.001	Age, sex, edu,
<b>Vascular cohorts</b>									
Manolio et al. (10)	Stroke and control	303/76	>65	2	MRI	Ord (0–9)	Sulcal widening: mean diff –0.3	0.3	No
Padovani et al. (34)	Stroke and control	100/20	>60	2	MRI	Int	Ventricular enlargement: mean diff 0.5 Ventricular enlargement: NS	<0.03 NS	No
<b>Outpatient cohorts</b>									
Pirttila et al. (32)	CT for any indication	416/46	>15	ND	CT	Dich	Any cerebral atrophy: OR 3.4 (1.8–6.5)*	?	No
Soininen et al. (35)	Elderly volunteers	84/25	>70	2	CT	Int	Cortical atrophy: <i>d</i> = range –0.2 to 0.7 (right temporal horn)* Subcortical atrophy: <i>d</i> = range 0.2–0.4* Any cerebral atrophy: OR 3.2 (2.3–4.4)*	NS NS <0.05	Age, head size
Araki et al. (21)	MRI for any indication	2,725/159	60	2	MRI	Dich	Cortical atrophy: mean diff 1.5 (0–2.5)	?	No
Bjessels et al. (11)	Memory clinic	347/29	73	2	MRI	Ord	Subcortical atrophy: mean diff 0 (–1.5 to 2) Medial temporal lobe atrophy: mean diff 0.5 (–0.5 to 0.5)	NS NS	Age, sex

Studies are listed in chronological order. Study populations: general cohorts, population-based or case-control studies; vascular cohorts, cohort with stroke or other cardiovascular risk factors; outpatient cohorts, neurological outpatients. Diabetes type: 2 (except for the study by Soininen et al. [35]), population type classified as predominantly type 2 diabetes (see RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS). ND, not determined. Rating scales: Dich, dichotomous scale; Int, interval scale; Ord, ordinal scale. Outcome and results: ORs are presented with 95% CIs in parentheses. \*Where possible, we calculated ORs or effect sizes (*d*) if they were not provided in the original article. Mean differences (diff, with 95% CIs in parentheses), *d*, *β*, and *t* values >0 reflect more severe atrophy in the diabetic group relative to control subjects. *P* value: NS, not significant; ?, not specified. Adjustments/matching: AF, atrial fibrillation; BP, blood pressure (including hypertension, mean arterial pressure, systolic blood pressure, use of antihypertensive drugs; left ventricular hypertrophy, and ankle-to-arm index); edu, education; HL, hyperlipidemia; ICD, ischemic cerebrovascular disease (including transient ischemic attack, stroke, leukoaraiosis, WMLs, and ultrasound examination of carotids or intracranial arteries); PVD, peripheral vascular disease (including peripheral artery disease, coronary artery disease, cardiac disease, congestive heart failure, and electrocardiogram changes).

Table 4—Meta-analysis of WMLs and lacunar infarcts

Imaging measure	No. of studies	Subjects		OR (95% CI)	
		Control (n)	Diabetic (n)		
<b>WMLs</b>					
Vascular cohorts	CT	5	2,129	604	1.1 (0.9–1.4)
Outpatient cohorts	MRI: PVH	2	1,024	86	1.8 (0.9–3.6)
	MRI: DWML	2	1,024	86	1.7 (0.9–3.5)
	MRI: any WML	2	4,071	246	2.4 (1.7–3.4)
<b>Lacunar infarcts</b>					
General cohorts	MRI	3	5,281	708	1.3 (1.1–1.6)
Vascular cohorts	CT	5	1,349	338	2.3 (1.8–3.0)
	MRI	7	4,389	888	2.1 (1.8–2.5)
	Total (CT + MRI)	12	5,738	1,226	2.2 (1.9–2.5)
Outpatient cohorts	MRI	4	3,934	291	1.4 (1.1–1.8)

Analyses were performed on the crude, unadjusted data. For WMLs, all studies that could be included in the meta-analysis are listed and marked with † in the “Outcome and results” column in Table 1. The analysis on lacunar infarcts includes all studies listed in Table 2. any WML, studies that did not distinguish between DWML and PVH; DWML, deep WMLs; PVH, periventricular hyperintensities.

cant association between diabetes and silent infarcts at baseline (1.9 [0.4–4.8]) (25).

Of the 12 studies categorized as “vascular cohorts,” 1 showed a significant association between diabetes and silent lacunar infarcts (27) and 4 between diabetes and symptomatic lacunar infarcts (28–31). Of the four studies categorized as “outpatient cohorts,” one showed a significant association between diabetes and silent lacunar infarcts (22).

We calculated the power for each study to detect statistically significant differences between diabetic and nondiabetic subjects, assuming a relative risk of 2.0 for infarcts in the diabetic group. Despite the rather high contrast between the groups in this assumption, the estimated power of the majority of studies was ~0.5, although it is common to require a power between 0.8 and 0.9.

All studies on lacunar infarcts could be included in the meta-analysis (Table 4). There was a significant association between diabetes and lacunar infarcts in all cohort types (general cohorts OR 1.3 [95% CI 1.1–1.6], vascular cohorts 2.2 [1.9–2.5], and outpatient cohorts 1.4 [1.1–1.8]).

**Diabetes and cerebral atrophy.** Ten studies addressed the relation between diabetes and atrophy (Table 3), with marked heterogeneity in the methods for atrophy assessment. Some studies measured only cortical atrophy or hippocampal atrophy (12,21,32,33), others measured only subcortical atrophy (34), and others assessed both (10,11,13,35,36).

All four studies categorized as “gen-

eral cohorts” (12,13,33,36), one of the two studies categorized as “vascular cohorts” (10), and all four studies belonging to the “outpatient cohorts” (11,21,32,35) showed associations between diabetes and cerebral atrophy (i.e., amygdalar atrophy, cortical atrophy, or subcortical atrophy). The outcome measures on atrophy were too heterogeneous to perform a meta-analysis.

#### Relation of CT and MRI findings to cognition and other disease variables

Only three studies compared cognition between diabetic and nondiabetic patients (11,16,36). One study, classified as a “general cohort,” showed modest impairments of cognitive performance in type 1 diabetic patients (36), whereas a study classified as a “vascular cohort” (16) and a study of patients attending a memory clinic (11) reported no difference between diabetic and nondiabetic patients. None of these studies presented analyses on the association between cognition and MRI findings in the diabetic population.

From the 46 articles, 3 study populations included only type 1 diabetic patients, 2 included only type 2 diabetes, 32 were classified as “predominantly type 2 diabetes,” and 9 were classified as “diabetes of unknown type” (Tables 1–3). The diagnosis of diabetes was based on history or medication use in 20 studies (7,8,14,18,20,21,24,26,28,31–33,37–44), while in the other studies, active screening was done by fasting glucose levels, random glucose levels, or an oral glucose tolerance test. Only two studies included data on metabolic control (16,36). Two studies on type 2 diabetic

patients specified which glucose-lowering therapy was used (11,27). The duration of diabetes was mentioned in two studies (16,36).

Few studies presented detailed data on relevant disease variables in relation to brain imaging. One study indicated that higher levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> (A1C), longer duration of type 1 diabetes, severe hypoglycemic events, and severity of retinopathy were associated with cortical and/or subcortical gray matter atrophy (36). Although several studies collected data on, for example, hypertension and vascular morbidity (Tables 1–3), these data were generally only entered as covariates in the analysis of the between-group difference of the population with and without diabetes. Data on the effects of these variables on lesion severity within the diabetic population were not provided.

#### MRS studies

Three studies examined cerebral metabolism in diabetes with <sup>1</sup>H-MRS. One study, which included 6 type 1 diabetic and 24 type 2 diabetic patients, reported increased *myo*-inositol-to-creatine ratios in the gray and white matter but did not observe significant changes in *N*-acetylaspartate ratios (45). Another study that included 17 type 1 diabetic (of which 9 were recovering from diabetic ketoacidosis) and 4 type 2 diabetic patients reported increased *myo*-inositol-to-creatine ratios and decreased *N*-acetylaspartate-to-creatine ratios (46). The third study only included type 2 diabetic patients with hypertension and hypertensive control subjects and showed decreased *N*-acetylaspartate-to-creatine

ratios (47). The latter is regarded as an indicator of reduced neuronal viability (48).

### PET and SPECT studies

Four studies examined cerebral perfusion in diabetes with SPECT (49–52). Three of these studies involved patients with type 1 diabetes (mean age 30–40 years,  $n = 20–65$ ) (49–51) observing both modest regional hypo- and hyperperfusion in the diabetic patients relative to control subjects, particularly in patients with long-standing diabetes and a history of severe hypoglycemic episodes. The fourth study, which predominantly involved patients with type 2 diabetes, observed 25–30% reductions in mean CBF in all cortical areas studied, as well as the cerebellum, in a group of 27 patients (mean age 64 years) relative to age-matched control subjects (52). The abnormalities were most pronounced in patients who were treated with insulin (52). A study in type 1 diabetic patients with Xenon-enhanced CT reported CBF to be in the normal range in most patients but did observe reduced flow with increased duration of diabetes (53).

One study on 21 patients with type 1 diabetes and 12 control subjects reported a 15–20% reduction in cerebral glucose metabolism in type 1 diabetes with PET, but only in a subgroup of patients with long-standing diabetes and microvascular complications (e.g., neuropathy, retinopathy) (54). No abnormalities in glucose metabolism were observed in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes (54).

**CONCLUSIONS** — The CT and MRI studies reviewed herein show a relation between diabetes and cerebral atrophy and lacunar infarcts but no consistent relation with WMLs. The MRS studies report elevated *myo*-inositol-to-creatinine ratios and reduced *N*-acetylaspartate-to-creatinine ratios in diabetic patients. The PET and SPECT studies reveal regional alterations in CBF. None of the studies assessed the relation between imaging findings and cognition, and data on the relation between imaging findings and disease variables were scarce.

Methodological limitations were observed in a considerable proportion of studies. Study design and methodology were markedly heterogeneous, involving issues such as sample selection, diabetes assessment, imaging rating methods, and data analyses. The majority of the studies

based their results on relatively small populations. This leads to low statistical power, as illustrated by the power calculations in Table 2. The findings from negative studies may therefore reflect lack of power instead of lack of associations. Selective recruitment may also have confounded the results. For example, patients with more severe brain lesions are less likely to participate in imaging studies. Moreover, the results of the studies belonging to the “vascular” or “outpatient cohorts” have a low external validity and cannot readily be generalized to the diabetic population at large. The results of the meta-analysis support this point: the risk estimates for lacunar infarction, for example, clearly differ between the studies from the “vascular cohorts” and the “general and outpatient cohorts.” All but one study had a cross-sectional design. A longitudinal design with repeated brain imaging could detect progression of brain abnormalities and would provide a better indication of their relation with diabetes. A high response rate on follow-up is needed to overcome selective (related to both the determinant and the outcome) participation.

The methodology for the diagnosis and classification of diabetes was suboptimal in the majority of the studies. Undiagnosed cases of diabetes will therefore have been erroneously assigned to the nondiabetic group, which can lead to an underestimation of the effect of diabetes. A distinction into diabetes type was usually not made. Based on the age of the study populations, the majority of the CT and MRI studies are likely to have predominantly included type 2 diabetic patients. PET and SPECT studies, in contrast, mainly involved type 1 diabetic patients. The relevance of the PET and SPECT findings for the CT and MRI abnormalities can therefore be questioned (and vice versa). It should be considered that type 1 and type 2 diabetes may have different effects on the brain. Type 2 diabetes, for example, is closely linked to the so-called metabolic syndrome, which refers to a cluster of vascular risk factors, including hypertension, obesity, insulin resistance, and dyslipidemia, which may each affect the brain (55). Hypoglycemic episodes, on the other hand, are more common in type 1 diabetic patients and may also have detrimental effects, albeit through entirely different pathophysiological mechanisms.

Limitations concerning the rating methods for the imaging data were also

noted, in particular in studies on WMLs. The majority of the WML scales that were applied were originally developed for patients with cerebrovascular disease or vascular dementia and are relatively crude and insensitive. Although these scales adequately distinguish between patients with or without severe WMLs, they could be too insensitive to detect differences in WMLs between patients with diabetes and control subjects. True volumetric scales should be used in future studies (56). The same applies to measurement of cerebral atrophy, but apparently the association between diabetes and atrophy is more robust and has therefore been more consistently detected, even with relatively crude techniques. A possible methodological limitation of the PET and SPECT studies is failure to account for cerebral atrophy, which may have confounded the assessment of tracer uptake due to partial volume effects (57).

The included studies provide indications of pathogenesis but leave many questions unanswered. The MRI and CT studies clearly identify diabetes as a risk factor for vascular brain pathology, in particular infarctions. The mechanisms underlying cerebral atrophy, however, cannot be readily determined from cross-sectional studies. Along these lines, specific causative mechanisms cannot be derived from elevated *myo*-inositol levels and decreased *N*-acetylaspartate levels on  $^1\text{H}$ -MRS studies (48). PET and SPECT studies point at disturbances of both CBF and glucose metabolism. However, blood flow changes were regional, included both hypo- and hyperperfusion, and were mostly limited to subgroups of patients. It is still uncertain whether these changes are causes or consequences of alterations in cerebral function in diabetes.

The lack of information on risk factors for brain imaging abnormalities also hampers the identification of underlying mechanisms. Only a minority of the studies included in this review took the effects of vascular risk factors, such as hypertension or atherosclerosis, into account, which should be regarded as an important omission. In the general population, hypertension, atherosclerosis, and markers of inflammation are established risk factors for WMLs and lacunar infarcts (58–60). Although cerebral atrophy is generally assumed to be due to neurodegenerative processes, it is also associated with vascular risk factors (33,61). It could therefore be argued that vascular (co)morbidity is the driving force in the

association between diabetes and brain imaging abnormalities, but this appears to be an oversimplification. A recent population-based study (proportion of diabetic patients <10%) indicated that increased A1C levels are associated with accelerated cerebral atrophy, while taking into account vascular risk factors (62). This is in line with observations from studies on cognitive functioning in diabetic patients, which also indicate that chronic hyperglycemia may have detrimental effects on the brain (3–5).

In conclusion, there is convincing evidence for an association between diabetes and cerebral atrophy and lacunar infarcts, but the risk factors for these brain imaging abnormalities have not been identified and the relation with impaired cognition has not been addressed. In addition, the issue on the association between diabetes and WMLs remains unanswered, as the methodology of available studies was not sufficiently sensitive to detect subtle alterations. Future studies should have a longitudinal design and include sufficiently large groups of well-defined diabetic patients, preferably at an early stage of the disease, in order to detect incident structural brain changes. MRI is the preferred imaging modality because the abnormalities are subtle and rating methods should be sensitive and quantitative (e.g., three-dimensional volumetry). Patients should be without cognitive impairment at study entry to assess causality with cognitive function. Standardized neuropsychological examinations should be performed at regular intervals, and data on relevant disease variables and comorbidity should be carefully recorded in order to identify the risk factors for structural brain changes. PET, SPECT, and MRS may help to identify underlying metabolic and vascular mechanisms.

**Acknowledgments**—This study was supported by a grant from the “Roomsche Catholyk Oude-Armenkantoer” of Amsterdam and the “Stichting Alzheimer & Neuropsychiatrie Foundation Amsterdam.”

## References

- Allen KV, Frier BM, Strachan MW: The relationship between type 2 diabetes and cognitive dysfunction: longitudinal studies and their methodological limitations. *Eur J Pharmacol* 490:169–175, 2004
- Biessels GJ, Staekenborg S, Brunner E, Brayne C, Scheltens P: Risk of dementia in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Lancet Neurol* 5:64–74, 2006
- Brands AM, Biessels GJ, de Haan EH, Kappelle LJ, Kessels RP: The effects of type 1 diabetes on cognitive performance: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 28:726–735, 2005
- Stewart R, Liolitsa D: Type 2 diabetes mellitus, cognitive impairment and dementia. *Diabet Med* 16:93–112, 1999
- Strachan MW, Deary IJ, Ewing FM, Frier BM: Is type II diabetes associated with an increased risk of cognitive dysfunction? A critical review of published studies. *Diabetes Care* 20:438–445, 1997
- Biessels GJ, van der Heide LP, Kamal A, Bleys RL, Gispen WH: Ageing and diabetes: implications for brain function. *Eur J Pharmacol* 441:1–14, 2002
- Longstreth WT Jr, Manolio TA, Arnold A, Burke GL, Bryan N, Jungreis CA, Enright PL, O’Leary D, Fried L: Clinical correlates of white matter findings on cranial magnetic resonance imaging of 3301 elderly people: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Stroke* 27:1274–1282, 1996
- Vermeer SE, Koudstaal PJ, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Breteler MM: Prevalence and risk factors of silent brain infarcts in the population-based Rotterdam Scan Study. *Stroke* 33:21–25, 2002
- Jeerakathil T, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Massaro J, Seshadri S, D’Agostino RB, DeCarli C: Stroke risk profile predicts white matter hyperintensity volume: the Framingham Study. *Stroke* 35:1857–1861, 2004
- Manolio TA, Kronmal RA, Burke GL, Poirier V, O’Leary DH, Gardin JM, Fried LP, Steinberg EP, Bryan RN: Magnetic resonance abnormalities and cardiovascular disease in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Stroke* 25:318–327, 1994
- Biessels GJ, Koffeman A, Scheltens P: Diabetes and cognitive impairment: clinical diagnosis and brain imaging in patients attending a memory clinic. *J Neurol* 253:477–482, 2006
- den Heijer T, Vermeer SE, van Dijk EJ, Prins ND, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, Breteler MM: Type 2 diabetes and atrophy of medial temporal lobe structures on brain MRI. *Diabetologia* 46:1604–1610, 2003
- Schmidt R, Launer LJ, Nilsson LG, Pajak A, Sans S, Berger K, Breteler MM, de Ridder M, Dufouil C, Fuhrer R, Giampaoli S, Hofman A: Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain in diabetes: the Cardiovascular Determinants of Dementia (CASCADE) study. *Diabetes* 53:687–692, 2004
- Taylor WD, MacFall JR, Payne ME, McQuoid DR, Steffens DC, Provenzale JM, Krishnan RR: Greater MRI lesion volumes in elderly depressed subjects than in control subjects. *Psychiatry Res* 139:1–7, 2005
- Jorm AF, Anstey KJ, Christensen H, de Plater G, Kumar R, Wen W, Sachdev P: MRI hyperintensities and depressive symptoms in a community sample of individuals 60–64 years old. *Am J Psychiatry* 162:699–705, 2005
- Dejgaard A, Gade A, Larsson H, Balle V, Parving A, Parving HH: Evidence for diabetic encephalopathy. *Diabet Med* 8:162–167, 1991
- Schmidt R, Fazekas F, Kleinert G, Offenbacher H, Gindl K, Payer F, Freidl W, Niederkorn K, Lechner H: Magnetic resonance imaging signal hyperintensities in the deep and subcortical white matter. *Arch Neurol* 49:825–827, 1992
- Streifler JY, Eliasziw M, Benavente OR, Alamowitch S, Fox AJ, Hachinski V, Barnett HJ: Development and progression of leukoaraiosis in patients with brain ischemia and carotid artery disease. *Stroke* 34:1913–1916, 2003
- Hijdra A, Verbeeten B Jr, Verhulst JA: Relation of leukoaraiosis to lesion type in stroke patients. *Stroke* 21:890–894, 1990
- Lazarus R, Prettyman R, Cherryman G: White matter lesions on magnetic resonance imaging and their relationship with vascular risk factors in memory clinic attenders. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 20:274–279, 2005
- Araki Y, Nomura M, Tanaka H, Yamamoto H, Yamamoto T, Tsukaguchi I, Nakamura H: MRI of the brain in diabetes mellitus. *Neuroradiology* 36:101–103, 1994
- Kobayashi S, Okada K, Koide H, Bokura H, Yamaguchi S: Subcortical silent brain infarction as a risk factor for clinical stroke. *Stroke* 28:1932–1939, 1997
- Masana Y, Motozaki T: Emergence and progress of white matter lesion in brain check-up. *Acta Neurol Scand* 107:187–194, 2003
- Hogervorst E, Ribeiro HM, Molyneux A, Budge M, Smith AD: Plasma homocysteine levels, cerebrovascular risk factors, and cerebral white matter changes (leukoaraiosis) in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol* 59:787–793, 2002
- Vermeer SE, den Heijer T, Koudstaal PJ, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Breteler MM: Incidence and risk factors of silent brain infarcts in the population-based Rotterdam Scan Study. *Stroke* 34:392–396, 2003
- Longstreth WT Jr, Bernick C, Manolio TA, Bryan N, Jungreis CA, Price TR: Lacunar infarcts defined by magnetic resonance imaging of 3660 elderly people: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Arch Neurol* 55:1217–1225, 1998
- Arauz A, Murillo L, Cantu C, Barinagarrementeria F, Higuera J: Prospective study of single and multiple lacunar infarcts using magnetic resonance imaging: risk factors, recurrence, and outcome in 175 consecutive cases. *Stroke* 34:2453–2458, 2003
- Hsu LC, Hu HH, Chang CC, Sheng WY,

- Wang SJ, Wong WJ: Comparison of risk factors for lacunar infarcts and other stroke subtypes. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi (Taipei)* 59:225–231, 1997
29. Revilla M, Obach V, Cervera A, Davalos A, Castillo J, Chamorro A: A -174G/C polymorphism of the interleukin-6 gene in patients with lacunar infarction. *Neurosci Lett* 324:29–32, 2002
  30. Karapanayiotides T, Piechowski-Jozwiak B, van Melle G, Bogousslavsky J, Devuyst G: Stroke patterns, etiology, and prognosis in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Neurology* 62:1558–1562, 2004
  31. Sarkar RN, Banerjee S, Basu A: Comparative evaluation of diabetic and non-diabetic stroke: effect of glycaemia on outcome. *J Indian Med Assoc* 102:551–553, 2004
  32. Pirttila T, Jarvenpaa R, Laippala P, Frey H: Brain atrophy on computerized axial tomography scans: interaction of age, diabetes and general morbidity. *Gerontology* 38:285–291, 1992
  33. Longstreth WT Jr, Arnold AM, Manolio TA, Burke GL, Bryan N, Jungreis CA, O'Leary D, Enright PL, Fried L: Clinical correlates of ventricular and sulcal size on cranial magnetic resonance imaging of 3,301 elderly people: the Cardiovascular Health Study: Collaborative Research Group. *Neuroepidemiology* 19:30–42, 2000
  34. Padovani A, Di Piero V, Bragoni M, Di Biase C, Trasimeni G, Iannili M, Laudani G, Zanette E, Gualdi GF, Lenzi GL: Correlates of leukoaraiosis and ventricular enlargement on magnetic resonance imaging: a study in normal elderly and cerebrovascular patients. *Eur J Neurol* 4:15–23, 1997
  35. Soininen H, Puranen M, Helkala EL, Laakso M, Riekkinen PJ: A computed tomography study in an elderly population. *Neurobiol Aging* 13:717–721, 1992
  36. Musen G, Lyoo IK, Sparks CR, Weinger K, Hwang J, Ryan CM, Jimerson DC, Hennen J, Renshaw PF, Jacobson AM: Effects of type I diabetes on gray matter density as measured by voxel-based morphometry. *Diabetes* 55:326–333, 2006
  37. Yousem DM, Tasman WS, Grossman RI: Proliferative retinopathy: absence of white matter lesions at MR imaging. *Radiology* 179:229–230, 1991
  38. Coskun O, Yildiz H, Emre U, Akin U, Ucler S, Ergun U, Tunc T, Inan EL: Leukoaraiosis in stroke patients. *Int J Neurosci* 113:915–922, 2003
  39. Awada A, Omojola MF: Leuko-araiosis and stroke: a case-control study. *Acta Neurol Scand* 94:415–418, 1996
  40. Jorgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Gam J, Olsen TS: Silent infarction in acute stroke patients: prevalence, localization, risk factors, and clinical significance: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Stroke* 25:97–104, 1994
  41. Raiha I, Tarvonen S, Kurki T, Rajala T, Sourander L: Relationship between vascular factors and white matter low attenuation of the brain. *Acta Neurol Scand* 87:286–289, 1993
  42. Adachi T, Kobayashi S, Yamaguchi S: Frequency and pathogenesis of silent subcortical brain infarction in acute first-ever ischemic stroke. *Intern Med* 41:103–108, 2002
  43. Selvetella G, Notte A, Maffei A, Calistri V, Scamardella V, Frati G, Trimarco B, Colonnese C, Lembo G: Left ventricular hypertrophy is associated with asymptomatic cerebral damage in hypertensive patients. *Stroke* 34:1766–1770, 2003
  44. Kawamoto R, Tomita H, Oka Y, Kodama A: Metabolic syndrome as a predictor of ischemic stroke in elderly persons. *Intern Med* 44:922–927, 2005
  45. Geissler A, Frund R, Scholmerich J, Feuerbach S, Zietz B: Alterations of cerebral metabolism in patients with diabetes mellitus studied by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes* 111:421–427, 2003
  46. Kreis R, Ross BD: Cerebral metabolic disturbances in patients with subacute and chronic diabetes mellitus: detection with proton MR spectroscopy. *Radiology* 184:123–130, 1992
  47. Kario K, Ishikawa J, Hoshida S, Matsui Y, Morinari M, Eguchi K, Ishikawa S, Shimada K: Diabetic brain damage in hypertension: role of renin-angiotensin system. *Hypertension* 45:887–893, 2005
  48. Ross AJ, Sachdev PS: Magnetic resonance spectroscopy in cognitive research. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 44:83–102, 2004
  49. MacLeod KM, Hepburn DA, Deary IJ, Goodwin GM, Dougall N, Ebmeier KP, Frier BM: Regional cerebral blood flow in IDDM patients: effects of diabetes and of recurrent severe hypoglycaemia. *Diabetologia* 37:257–263, 1994
  50. Keymeulen B, Jacobs A, de Metz K, de Sadeleer C, Bossuyt A, Somers G: Regional cerebral hypoperfusion in long-term type I (insulin-dependent) diabetic patients: relation to hypoglycaemic events. *Nucl Med Commun* 16:10–16, 1995
  51. Quirce R, Carril JM, Jimenez-Bonilla JF, Amado JA, Gutierrez-Mendiguchia C, Banzo I, Blanco I, Uriarte I, Montero A: Semi-quantitative assessment of cerebral blood flow with 99mTc-HMPAO SPET in type I diabetic patients with no clinical history of cerebrovascular disease. *Eur J Nucl Med* 24:1507–1513, 1997
  52. Nagamachi S, Nishikawa T, Ono S, Ageta M, Matsuo T, Jinnouchi S, Hoshi H, Ohnishi T, Futami S, Watanabe K: Regional cerebral blood flow in diabetic patients: evaluation by N-isopropyl-123I-IMP with SPECT. *Nucl Med Commun* 15:455–460, 1994
  53. Rodriguez G, Nobili F, Celestino MA, Francione S, Gulli G, Hassan K, Marengo S, Rosadini G, Cordera R: Regional cerebral blood flow and cerebrovascular reactivity in IDDM. *Diabetes Care* 16:462–468, 1993
  54. Ziegler D, Langen KJ, Herzog H, Kuwert T, Muhlen H, Feinendegen LE, Gries FA: Cerebral glucose metabolism in type I diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* 11:205–209, 1994
  55. Biessels GJ, Kappelle LJ: Increased risk of Alzheimer's disease in type II diabetes: insulin resistance of the brain or insulin-induced amyloid pathology? *Biochem Soc Trans* 33:1041–1044, 2005
  56. Prins ND, van Straaten EC, van Dijk EJ, Simoni M, van Schijndel RA, Vrooman HA, Koudstaal PJ, Scheltens P, Breteler MM, Barkhof F: Measuring progression of cerebral white matter lesions on MRI: visual rating and volumetrics. *Neurology* 62:1533–1539, 2004
  57. Meltzer CC, Cantwell MN, Greer PJ, Ben Eliezer D, Smith G, Frank G, Kaye WH, Houck PR, Price JC: Does cerebral blood flow decline in healthy aging? A PET study with partial-volume correction. *J Nucl Med* 41:1842–1848, 2000
  58. de Leeuw FE, de Groot JC, Bots ML, Witteman JC, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, Van Gijn J, Breteler MM: Carotid atherosclerosis and cerebral white matter lesions in a population based magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurol* 247:291–296, 2000
  59. de Leeuw FE, de Groot JC, Oudkerk M, Witteman JC, Hofman A, Van Gijn J, Breteler MM: Hypertension and cerebral white matter lesions in a prospective cohort study. *Brain* 125:765–772, 2002
  60. van Dijk EJ, Prins ND, Vermeer SE, Vrooman HA, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM: C-reactive protein and cerebral small-vessel disease: the Rotterdam Scan Study. *Circulation* 112:900–905, 2005
  61. Heijer T, Skoog I, Oudkerk M, de Leeuw FE, de Groot JC, Hofman A, Breteler MM: Association between blood pressure levels over time and brain atrophy in the elderly. *Neurobiol Aging* 24:307–313, 2003
  62. Enzinger C, Fazekas F, Matthews PM, Ropele S, Schmidt H, Smith S, Schmidt R: Risk factors for progression of brain atrophy in aging: six-year follow-up of normalsubjects. *Neurology* 64:1704–1711, 2005
  63. Fukuda H, Kitani M: Differences between treated and untreated hypertensive subjects in the extent of periventricular hyperintensities observed on brain MRI. *Stroke* 26:1593–1597, 1995
  64. Jorgensen HS, Nakayama H, Raaschou HO, Olsen TS: Leukoaraiosis in stroke patients: the Copenhagen Stroke Study. *Stroke* 26:588–592, 1995
  65. Henon H, Godefroy O, Lucas C, Pruvo JP, Leys D: Risk factors and leukoaraiosis in stroke patients. *Acta Neurol Scand* 94:137–144, 1996

66. Fukuda H, Kitani M: Cigarette smoking is correlated with the periventricular hyperintensity grade of brain magnetic resonance imaging. *Stroke* 27:645–649, 1996
67. Konemori G: Lipoprotein (a) and other risk factors for cerebral infarction. *Hiroshima J Med Sci* 44:65–77, 1995
68. Giele JL, Witkamp TD, Mali WP, van der GY: Silent brain infarcts in patients with manifest vascular disease. *Stroke* 35:742–746, 2004
69. Uehara T, Tabuchi M, Mori E: Risk factors for silent cerebral infarcts in subcortical white matter and basal ganglia. *Stroke* 30:378–382, 1999