

Antihypertensive Therapy and the Risk of New-Onset Diabetes

Numerous studies have consistently demonstrated that certain classes of antihypertensive medications have differential effects on carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in humans. In general, higher doses of thiazide diuretics (i.e., ≥ 25 mg/day hydrochlorothiazide) and β -blockers, at any antihypertensive dose, worsen glycemic control, with β -blockers worsening insulin sensitivity (1). Conversely, ACE inhibitors, angiotensin II receptor blockers, and calcium channel blockers (CCBs) have neutral or beneficial effects on these variables (2,3). It is noteworthy, however, that not all drugs within the same class have similar effects on insulin sensitivity. This is exemplified by the effects of vasodilating β -blockers failing to worsen insulin resistance and consequently having neutral effects on glycemic control (4,5).

These aforementioned observations are evident in 11 randomized clinical outcome trials where development of new-onset diabetes was evaluated as a secondary end point (Table 1) (6–11). In contrast to this general trend, the STOP-2 (Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension 2) reported no difference in diabetes incidence between conventional treatment (β -blockers or diuretics) and either ACE inhibitor- or CCB-based treatment (12). Moreover, in addition to prospective randomized trials, some long-term epidemiological studies, such as the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Research in Communities) study, have linked different classes of antihypertensive agents with development of new-onset diabetes (13).

All of these studies, however, have limitations to their conclusions. First, all had cardiovascular outcomes rather than incidence of new-onset diabetes as a primary end point. Second, it is difficult to assess the effects of a single class of agents since many studies added other agents to the randomized drug that also affect insulin sensitivity (6,7,10–12). Lastly, studies that used an open-label with blinded end point evaluation may suffer from detection bias (6,10,12), as diabetes may have been more intensively sought in those who were randomized to conventional treatment.

In this issue of *Diabetes Care*, a long-term observational study involving three large cohorts by Taylor et al. (14) provides additional information on the issue of new-onset diabetes. To investigate the association between drugs from different antihypertensive classes and the risk for new-onset diabetes, the authors followed three cohorts, including 41,193 older women from the NHS (Nurses' Health Study) I, 14,151 younger women from the NHS II, and 19,472 men from the HPFS (Health Professionals' Follow-up Study), all of whom had hypertension, for 8, 10, and 16 years, respectively. Using alternative ways to adequately confirm the diagnosis of new-onset diabetes, the authors documented 3,589 incident cases of diabetes.

After adjustment for multiple confounders, including the use of other antihypertensive medications, the relative risk for incident diabetes in individuals taking a thiazide diuretic compared with those not taking one was 20% higher in the cohort of older women, 45% higher in younger women, and 36% higher in men. The relative risk for new-onset diabetes in participants taking a β -blocker compared with those not taking one was 32% greater in older women and 20% greater in men. It is noteworthy that the authors addressed the possibility that surveillance for diabetes was more intense in patients treated with diuretics and β -blockers. They did this by doing analyses only on cases that reported more than one typical symptom of diabetes on the screening physical examination over the 2 years before the diagnosis. In spite of this, they still found that use of diuretics or β -blockers conferred a significantly greater risk for development of new-onset diabetes. Their data are consistent with previous reports in that neither ACE inhibitors nor CCBs conferred a higher risk for new-onset diabetes (14).

This analysis, because of its denominator and duration, adds substantive strength to the panoply of other studies supporting the notion that most β -blockers and thiazide diuretics increase the risk of new-onset diabetes. While this study clearly has some strength, in that the au-

thors confirmed the self-reported cases of diabetes by medical record review and minimized the effect of differences in testing frequency for diabetes for individual antihypertensive agents with additional analyses that adjusted for multiple known and suspected risk factors for diabetes development, it also has some limitations. These limitations include the following: 1) the use of self-reporting of antihypertensive medications, 2) the use of the four antihypertensive drug classes was obtained only in the first cohort of older women from NHS I, and 3) data for the specific use of ACE inhibitors were missing for men in the HPFS, whereas in younger women from the NHS II study, only the specific use for diuretics was recorded.

Taken together with all other studies, these data support the concept that thiazide diuretics and most β -blockers increase the risk for development of new-onset diabetes. The question is, however, does this development of diabetes detract from their cardiovascular risk reduction?

One observational study of >700 untreated hypertensive patients with a median follow-up of 6 years suggested that the development of new-onset diabetes after the initiation of antihypertensive treatment carried a risk for subsequent cardiovascular events that was similar to that of patients who already had diabetes at the onset of the study (15). On closer inspection, however, this was driven by <10 patients and could not be attributed to use of thiazide diuretics. Moreover, intervention trials, like the HDFP (Hypertension Detection and Follow-Up Program) (16) and the SHEP (Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program) (17) demonstrated that a thiazide diuretic-based antihypertensive regimen was associated with improved cardiovascular outcomes but an increase in new-onset diabetes was also noted. Similarly, in the ALLHAT (Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial), treatment with chlorthalidone, lisinopril, or amlodipine yielded similar cardiovascular outcomes, even though chlorthalidone was associated with the highest incidence of new-onset diabetes (9).

A rational argument for the discordance

- (ALLHAT). *JAMA* 288:2981–2997, 2002
10. Pepine CJ, Handberg EM, Cooper-DeHoff RM, Marks RG, Kowey P, Messerli FH, Mancia G, Cangiano JL, Garcia-Barreto D, Keltai M, Erdine S, Bristol HA, Kolb HR, Bakris GL, Cohen JD, Parmley WW: A calcium antagonist vs a non-calcium antagonist hypertension treatment strategy for patients with coronary artery disease: the International Verapamil-Trandolapril Study (INVEST): a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 290:2805–2816, 2003
 11. Dahlöf B, Sever PS, Poulter NR, Wedel H, Beevers DG, Caulfield M, Collins R, Kjeldsen SE, Kristinsson A, McInnes GT, Mehlsen J, Nieminen M, O'Brien E, Ostergren J: Prevention of cardiovascular events with an antihypertensive regimen of amlodipine adding perindopril as required versus atenolol adding bendroflumethiazide as required, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial-Blood Pressure Lowering Arm (ASCOT-BPLA): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 366: 895–906, 2005
 12. Hansson L, Lindholm LH, Ekblom T, Dahlöf B, Lanke J, Schersten B, Wester PO, Hedner T, de FU: Randomised trial of old and new antihypertensive drugs in elderly patients: cardiovascular mortality and morbidity the Swedish Trial in Old Patients with Hypertension-2 study. *Lancet* 354:1751–1756, 1999
 13. Gress TW, Nieto FJ, Shahar E, Wofford MR, Brancati FL: Hypertension and antihypertensive therapy as risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus: Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. *N Engl J Med* 342:905–912, 2000
 14. Taylor EN, Hu FB, Curhan GC: Antihypertensive medications and the risk of incident type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 29: 1065–1070, 2006
 15. Verdecchia P, Reboldi G, Angeli F, Borgioni C, Gattobigio R, Filippucci L, Norgiolini S, Bracco C, Porcellati C: Adverse prognostic significance of new diabetes in treated hypertensive subjects. *Hypertension* 43:963–969, 2004
 16. The Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program Cooperative Research Group: Mortality findings for stepped-care and referred-care participants in the hypertension detection and follow-up program, stratified by other risk factors. *Prev Med* 14:312–335, 1985
 17. Curb JD, Pressel SL, Cutler JA, Savage PJ, Applegate WB, Black H, Camel G, Davis BR, Frost PH, Gonzalez N, Guthrie G, Oberman A, Rutan GH, Stamler J: Effect of diuretic-based antihypertensive treatment on cardiovascular disease risk in older diabetic patients with isolated systolic hypertension: Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program Cooperative Research Group. *JAMA* 276:1886–1892, 1996
 18. Kostis JB, Wilson AC, Freudenberger RS, Cosgrove NM, Pressel SL, Davis BR: Long-term effect of diuretic-based therapy on fatal outcomes in subjects with isolated systolic hypertension with and without diabetes. *Am J Cardiol* 95:29–35, 2005
 19. Saydah SH, Fradkin J, Cowie CC: Poor control of risk factors for vascular disease among adults with previously diagnosed diabetes. *JAMA* 291:335–342, 2004