

# Evaluation of Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease Print Patient Education Materials for Use With Low-Health Literate Populations

FELICIA HILL-BRIGGS, PHD<sup>1,2,3</sup>  
ANDREA S. SMITH, MPH, MA<sup>3</sup>

**OBJECTIVE**— Populations with the lowest literacy and health literacy in the U.S. are also among those disproportionately burdened by diabetes and its complications. Yet, suitability of publicly available diabetes and cardiovascular (CVD) patient education materials for these patients is not clear. We evaluated selected American Diabetes Association (ADA) and American Heart Association (AHA) print education materials for accessibility and usability characteristics.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**— English-language, print patient education brochures addressing lifestyle/behavioral management of diabetes and CVD were obtained from the ADA ( $n = 21$ ) and the AHA ( $n = 19$ ). Materials were evaluated using 32 criteria, 23 addressing literacy demand and 9 addressing behavioral activation, compiled from authoritative sources on development of low-literacy consumer health information.

**RESULTS**— Of the 32 criteria identified by two or more sources, ADA materials consistently met 11 (34%) and AHA materials consistently met 8 (25%). Criteria most frequently achieved were text case, use of cues (e.g., bullets) to emphasize key points, design of graphics/illustrations, some provision of “how to” information, and positive depiction of cultural images. The least consistently achieved criteria were reading grade, word usage (e.g., scientific jargon), sentence length, font size, line length, white space, visual organization, limited scope, clear and specific (e.g., step-by-step) behavioral recommendations, and demonstration of audience relevance and cultural appropriateness.

**CONCLUSIONS**— Materials consistently met few criteria for usability by patients with low literacy, limited prior medical knowledge, and/or limited resource availability. Use of available criteria and methods for increasing reach of print education materials to these underserved patient populations is indicated.

*Diabetes Care* 31:667–671, 2008

**A** national priority for improving health outcomes is creating informed patients who participate in health care processes, decision making, and disease prevention and management (1). Professional medical associations, including the American

Diabetes Association (ADA) and American Heart Association (AHA), contribute to this mission, in part by providing health information for patient education. However, health literacy—the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information

and services needed to make appropriate health decisions (2–4)—impacts usability of education materials.

Thirty-five percent of U.S. adults fall in the lowest health literacy categories of basic and below-basic health literacy skills (5), and health literacy needs are magnified in underserved populations. Among blacks and Hispanics, 58 and 66%, respectively, are in the lowest health literacy categories. Among people aged  $\geq 65$  years, 59% fall in the lowest health literacy categories. Low literacy, less education, and poverty are also associated with lowest health literacy (5).

To reach populations disproportionately affected by diabetes and its complications, therefore, patient education materials must meet criteria for understandability by individuals with moderate to very low literacy and must be communicated in a manner that facilitates comprehension and application (3). The purpose of this study was to evaluate these accessibility and usability characteristics of selected ADA and AHA print education materials.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

ADA and AHA patient education materials meeting the following criteria were sought for inclusion in the study: print format, content addressing behavioral management of diabetes and cardiovascular disease (CVD) or CVD risk in individuals with diabetes, material written for an adult audience, and English language. Materials in the Diabetes & CVD Toolkit, comprising patient education brochures designed for health professionals to distribute to their patients, were obtained electronically from the ADA Web site (<http://www.diabetes.org/for-health-professionals-and-scientists/CVD.jsp>). Twenty-one of 26 items met inclusion criteria. Reasons for exclusion were as follows: content did not explicitly focus on diabetes and CVD self-management behaviors (e.g., prediabetes, depression) ( $n = 3$ ) or item was a log sheet rather than an educational brochure ( $n = 2$ ).

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; the <sup>2</sup>Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology, and Clinical Research, Baltimore, Maryland; and the <sup>3</sup>Department of Health, Behavior, and Society, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Felicia Hill-Briggs, PhD, Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology, and Clinical Research, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, 2024 E. Monument St., Baltimore, MD 21205. E-mail: fbriggs3@jhmi.edu.

Received for publication 16 July 2007 and accepted in revised form 17 December 2007.

Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 17 January 2008. DOI: 10.2337/dc07-1365.

Additional information for this article can be found in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc07-1365>.

**Abbreviations:** ADA, American Diabetes Association; AHA, American Heart Association; CVD, cardiovascular disease.

© 2008 by the American Diabetes Association.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked “advertisement” in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Requests for each of 44 titles were placed via the AHA Web site (<http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=1200021>) for mailing to the investigators. Eighteen requested titles were received. An additional six titles that were not found on the website were also received. Nineteen of these 24 materials met inclusion criteria. Five were excluded due to focus on a specific cardiac concern but without a direct diabetes focus in the text (e.g., bypass surgery, congenital heart disease, cardiac catheterization, managing angina, and recovery from heart attack).

Materials were evaluated using 32 consensus criteria from widely cited authoritative sources on development and evaluation of low literacy and understandable consumer health information (6–8). Criteria addressing literacy demand (characteristics that impact approachability, readability, processing, and comprehension of print information) and behavioral activation (characteristics that impact meaningfulness, application, and usability of print information) were compiled.

Entire document review, including page-by-page and item-by-item analyses, was used to evaluate most criteria. For reading level, use of active voice, and sentence, paragraph, and line length, a sampling method described by Doak et al. was used (7). Three samples of text (from the beginning, middle, and end), totaling ~30 sentences, were systematically extracted from each print brochure. Reading grade level and reading ease scores were determined using the Flesch-Kincaid analysis, obtained via computer calculation in Microsoft Word, 2003.

Two reviewers (F.H-B., A.S.) evaluated materials for each of the criteria. Operational definitions used for objective evaluation of each criterion are listed in supplemental Table 3 (available in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc07-1365>). Final ratings resulted from discussion and consensus. Because of the document review procedures and operational definitions utilized, there was rarely disagreement between reviewers regarding whether a criterion was met.

**RESULTS**— Evaluation criteria are listed in Table 1. ADA materials successfully met 11 of 32 criteria (34%), and AHA materials met eight (25%). Overall, 6 of 23 literacy-demand criteria, includ-

ing use of typographic cues (e.g., bullets) to emphasize key points, graphics design and layout, and font style and case, were achieved across brochures. Two of nine behavioral activation criteria were achieved by all brochures: inclusion of “how to” information and positive depiction of cultural images.

#### **Unmet literacy-demand criteria**

Readability criteria were least consistently met (Table 2). Overall, ADA brochures achieved lower reading grade levels; five brochures had an overall reading grade level that met the consensus recommendation of  $\leq 5$ th grade. Wide ranges of required literacy were found within all brochures, however, with sentences reaching grade levels as high as 23.5 for ADA materials and 24.6 for AHA materials (surpassing postdoctoral education), impacting consistency of readability. Font size reduced ease of reading and vision accessibility. Use of multisyllabic or less common words (e.g., quivering, prone, vitally, overexertion, predisposes, gorge, and translucent), technical terms that were often not sufficiently explained (e.g., the explanation for PCBs was polychlorinated biphenyls), and inclusion of significant amounts of excess information nonessential to the purpose of the brochure also contributed to poor reading ease in brochures with low readability.

#### **Unmet behavioral activation criteria**

Criteria least often met were devotion of  $\geq 50\%$  of content to recommended behaviors and provision of step-by-step instructions to enable readers to enact recommended behaviors. When interactive activities were included, such as checklists and fill-in blanks to keep a record, small size of the activity logs/records often limited ease or practicality of use. Assessment of audience relevance and appropriateness criteria identified factors that might limit generalizability to low-health-literate and underserved audiences. Underlying assumptions were observed with regard to nutrition knowledge (e.g., “choose fats with two g or less saturated fat per tablespoon,” “be moderate in use of refined foods containing sugar”), availability of recommended foods (e.g., “keep fresh, low-fat foods around,” “enjoy fat-free and low-fat cheeses and other dairy products,” and “buy fresh fruits and vegetables”), access to specialty products (e.g., cholesterol-lowering margarine or “butter-flavored granules” as a butter/margarine substi-

tute), and financial resources (e.g., recommendations to use choice cuts of meat and use a food processor). With regard to physical activity recommendations, underlying assumptions included existing exercise knowledge (e.g., “do 10 to 15 repetitions without resistance,” and the explanation that exercise will “maintain basal metabolic rate”), access to specialty recreation facilities (e.g., tennis courts, swimming pool for lap swimming, and hiking locations), and resource availability (e.g., models depicted wearing specialty exercise gear or sporting wear). These observable characteristics may not be perceived by underserved patients as representative of their experiences, environments, or resources.

**CONCLUSIONS**— Although the evaluated materials may be suitable for patients with adequate to proficient literacy and health literacy, there remains room for improvement in design of materials to meet the needs of patients with low literacy; low health literacy; vision difficulties; low prior medical, nutrition, and exercise knowledge; limited access to resources for fresh or specialty health foods; and limited access to resources for structured sporting and exercise facilities and activities. Unfortunately, these factors characterize underserved populations who have high rates of the diseases addressed in the education materials (9).

Concerted efforts to maximize the reach and effectiveness of print education materials, using available criteria, are warranted. Individuals with lower health literacy identify brochures or books as a primary source from which they receive health information (5), and print materials have proven effective as an intervention tool (10–12). Moreover, although auditory presentation of information is often recommended as a nonprint strategy to use with low-literacy patients, drawbacks include the associations of lower education and lower literacy with reduced auditory information processing and verbal memory (13,14). Supplementing discussion with printed information may improve understanding of health instructions (15) and processing of information for medical decision making (16).

A potential limitation is that the materials evaluated in the current study were obtained from the ADA and AHA Web sites, and patients with low health literacy may be less able or less likely to

Table 1—Consensus criteria for evaluation of literacy-demand (n = 23) and behavioral activation (n = 9) characteristics of print patient education materials

| Criteria literacy demand                         | Specifications  | Sources (refs.)*   |
|--|---|--|
| Word usage, reading level, and sentence length   | Scientific jargon avoided; technical, concept, category, and value judgment terms introduced with understandable explanation or example | 6–8  |
|  | Vocabulary uses common words; multisyllabic words (>2–3 syllables) avoided  | 6–8  |
|  | Sentence length <15 words   | 6, 7   |
|  | Writing in active (vs. passive) voice   | 7, 8   |
|  | Reading grade level <5th grade*   | 7, 8   |
|  | Typography  | Text in uppercase and lowercase serif (best) or sans-serif |
| Graphics, illustrations, and tables              | Type size ≥12 points (including text, tables, and captions)   | 6–8  |
|  | Typographic cues (bolding, bullets, and size) emphasize key points  | 6–8  |
|  | Subheaders used; complex topics subdivided into smaller parts of ≤5 main points, ≤5 items per list†                                     | 6, 7   |
|  | Line length ≤30–50 characters and spaces  | 7, 8   |
|  | Using all capital letters for long headers or running text avoided  | 7, 8   |
|  | Cover graphic shows purpose of brochure, attracts attention, and is friendly  | 6–8  |
|  | Graphics designed to be simple, age appropriate, and familiar to readers  | 6–8  |
|  | Explanatory captions included with each graphic   | 6–8  |
|  | Illustrations on page adjacent to related text  | 6, 7   |
|  | Illustrations present key messages so that reader can grasp key idea from illustration alone  | 6, 7   |
| Layout, space, and paper                         | Illustrations not distracting   | 7, 8   |
|  | Layout and organization enable predictable sequence/flow of information   | 6–8  |
|  | Visual cuing devices (e.g. shading, boxes, arrows) used to direct attention to specific points or key content                           | 6–8  |
|  | Adequate white space and line spacing used to reduce appearance of clutter  | 6–8  |
|  | Contrast between type and paper is high   | 6–8  |
|  | Color use supports and does not distract from message. Readers need not learn color codes to understand and use message                 | 7, 8   |
|  | Topics preceded by advanced organizers or headers >50% of the time  | 7, 8   |
| Behavioral activation                            |   |  |
| Content, scope, and organization                 | Scope limited to information directly related to purpose‡   | 6–8  |
|  | Content ≥50% behaviorally focused   | 7, 8   |
|  | Summary, information overview, or information review included   | 7, 8   |
| Engagement, interaction, and action facilitation | Recommended behaviors are modeled and specific (e.g. step by step)  | 6–8  |
|  | Questions or activities with records presented for reader response  | 7, 8   |
|  | “How to” information provided   | 6, 8   |
| Audience relevance and appropriateness           | Recommendations sensible in the context of the audience’s culture, values, and beliefs  | 6–8  |
|  | Language and experience(s) used match those of the intended audience  | 6–8  |
|  | Cultural images and examples presented in a positive manner   | 6–8  |

Literacy demand, n = 23; behavioral activation, n = 9. \*5th-grade reading level or less is the superior criterion according to Doak et al. (6) and the recommended criterion for low literacy according to the National Cancer Institute (8). †No more than three to four main ideas and five to six items per list according to Centers for Disease Control (7) criteria; less than seven main ideas and three to five items per list for low literacy according to Doak et al. criteria (6). ‡A less stringent, adequate criterion according to Doak et al. is that <40% of information be nonessential to purpose.

access these Web sites. Although the study procedure used the Web sites to ensure that all titles were identified and perused by the investigators, it is important to note that the ADA materials are designed as a “toolkit” for healthcare

professionals, with specific instructions on the Web site for professionals to print out the brochures to give to their patients. Similarly, AHA materials are hard copy brochures that are made available in clinical and community set-

tings, where patients of all literacy levels encounter them. A second limitation is that several of the AHA titles found on the Web site and requested from AHA were not received. Although these primarily reflected discontinued materials

Table 2—Selected literacy-demand evaluation results for diabetes and CVD print patient education materials

| Patient education brochures  | Flesch-Kincaid reading grade level* |                         | Text font size† | Number of words per sentence‡ | Number of characters per line§ |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  | Brochure grade level                | Grade level by sentence |                 |                               |                                |
| <b>ADA¶</b>  |                                     |                         |                 |                               |                                |
| Treating High Cholesterol in People with Diabetes                                    | 4.3                                 | 2.3–14.2                | 10–12           | 6–26                          | 37–135                         |
| Protect Your Heart: Choose Fats Wisely   | 4.4                                 | 0.0–20.9                | 10–12           | 6–23                          | 25–138                         |
| Learning How to Change Habits  | 4.8                                 | 0.0–7.9                 | 11.5–12         | 5–19                          | 20–93                          |
| Protect Your Heart: Check Food Labels to Make Heart-Healthy Choices                  | 4.9                                 | 0.0–14.6                | 10–12           | 5–36                          | 26–157                         |
| Protect Your Heart: Cook with Heart-Healthy Foods                                    | 5.0                                 | 0.0–11.1                | 12              | 4–25                          | 21–156                         |
| Taking Aspirin to Protect Your Heart   | 5.2                                 | 0.5–11.9                | 10–12           | 8–25                          | 34–114                         |
| Treating High Blood Pressure in People with Diabetes                                 | 5.2                                 | 2.6–17.2                | 10–12           | 4–33                          | 24–182                         |
| All About Carbohydrate Counting  | 5.6                                 | 2.2–15.0                | 10–12           | 8–35                          | 30–118                         |
| Protect Your Heart by Losing Weight  | 5.8                                 | 0.0–12.5                | 12              | 3–25                          | 20–151                         |
| All About Blood Glucose for People with Type 2 Diabetes                              | 6.5                                 | 1.0–17.6                | 10–12           | 9–35                          | 46–215                         |
| All About Peripheral Arterial Disease  | 7.0                                 | 0.0–15.7                | 10–12           | 4–33                          | 12–173                         |
| Taking Care of Your Heart  | 7.1                                 | 0.0–14.7                | 12              | 5–24                          | 31–145                         |
| Taking Care of Type 2 Diabetes   | 7.6                                 | 0.5–14.5                | 10–12           | 5–23                          | 29–170                         |
| All About Physical Activity for People with Diabetes                                 | 7.7                                 | 0.0–15.4                | 12              | 3–29                          | 21–63                          |
| Knowing the Warning Signs of a Heart Attack  | 7.8                                 | 4.7–13                  | 10–12           | 11–30                         | 46–135                         |
| Getting Started with Physical Activity   | 8.2                                 | 2.6–15.7                | 11.5–12         | 7–40                          | 43–185                         |
| Getting the Very Best Care for Your Diabetes   | 8.3                                 | 0.0–15.0                | 10–12           | 9–31                          | 52–165                         |
| Protect Your Heart: Make Wise Food Choices   | 8.3                                 | 0.0–15.4                | 12              | 5–29                          | 33–178                         |
| All About Insulin Resistance   | 8.5                                 | 0.0–16.9                | 12              | 6–43                          | 29–227                         |
| All About Stroke   | 9.0                                 | 0.0–17.6                | 10–12           | 4–27                          | 12–158                         |
| Medical Tests and Procedures for Finding and Treating Heart and Blood Vessel Disease | 11.9                                | 3.9–23.5                | 12              | 9–41                          | 47–210                         |
| <b>AHA  </b>   |                                     |                         |                 |                               |                                |
| Managing Your Weight   | 6.3                                 | 0.0–16.3                | 9–12            | 6–23                          | 35–141                         |
| Just Move!   | 6.7                                 | 2.3–15.4                | 9–12            | 2–29                          | 18–165                         |
| Easy Food Tips for Heart-Healthy Eating  | 6.8                                 | 0.0–14.9                | 9–12            | 3–37                          | 21–208                         |
| Understanding and Controlling Your High Blood Pressure                               | 7.1                                 | 0.0–13                  | 9–12            | 5–26                          | 35–116                         |
| Tips for Eating Out  | 7.3                                 | 0.8–11.5                | 9–12            | 8–41                          | 31–186                         |
| Smoking and Your Risk of Stroke  | 7.3                                 | 0.0–24.6                | 9–12            | 3–50                          | 15–336                         |
| High Blood Pressure  | 7.8                                 | 3.3–20.6                | 9–12            | 4–30                          | 25–182                         |
| High Blood Pressure in African Americans   | 7.9                                 | 0.0–18.5                | 9–12            | 6–33                          | 31–187                         |
| Diabetes, Heart Disease & Stroke   | 8.0                                 | 0.5–17.9                | 9–12            | 3–27                          | 22–155                         |
| Six Steps to A Healthy Heart   | 8.0                                 | 2.2–17.5                | 9–12            | 6–38                          | 34–165                         |
| Are You At Risk Of Heart Attack Or Stroke  | 8.3                                 | 2.4–16.7                | 9–12            | 9–31                          | 54–169                         |
| Controlling Your Risk Factors  | 8.3                                 | 0.1–19.8                | 12              | 6–27                          | 42–180                         |
| Understanding Stroke   | 8.6                                 | 0.0–15.4                | 9–12            | 3–22                          | 17–120                         |
| Shaking Your Salt Habit  | 8.9                                 | 0.5–15.8                | 9–12            | 5–25                          | 28–146                         |
| An Eating Plan for Healthy Americans   | 9.0                                 | 0.6–20.4                | 9–12            | 4–32                          | 28–183                         |
| Exercise and Your Heart  | 9.1                                 | 0.0–23.1                | 9–12            | 3–43                          | 16–303                         |
| Stroke: Are You at Risk  | 9.7                                 | 0.6–19.8                | 9–12            | 7–39                          | 29–216                         |
| About Your Peripheral Artery Disease   | 10.1                                | 2.4–17.6                | 9–12            | 5–30                          | 33–139                         |
| Aspirin, Heart Disease & Stroke  | 10.1                                | 0.5–18.4                | 9–12            | 4–30                          | 22–156                         |

Data are means or ranges. \*Recommendation is <5th grade (refs. 7 and 8). †Recommendation is ≥12 points (refs. 6–8). ‡Recommendation is <15 words (refs. 6 and 7). §Recommendation is <50 characters per line (refs. 7 and 8). ¶n = 21. ||n = 19.

and changes to titles produced that had not yet been updated on the Web site, it is possible that some materials may have been unavailable as a result of such frequent request that they become out of

stock. To the extent that we were not able to access some materials that may be used currently, it is possible that some titles are not represented in the current evaluation.

Development of such materials in the future would benefit from inclusion of experts in medical content, behavior change, education and literacy, and graphics/design. Moreover, patient feed-

back and empirical evaluation of accessibility, effectiveness, and most important criteria for optimal usability are essential (3).

**Acknowledgments**— This research was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (K01 HL076644 to F.H.B.) and the American Diabetes Association (7-06-IN-07 to F.H.B.).

Parts of this study were orally presented at the American Heart Association Annual Scientific Sessions, Chicago, IL, 14 November 2006.

## References

1. Institute of Medicine: *Priority Areas for National Action: Transforming Healthcare Quality*. Adams K, Corrigan JM, Eds. Washington, DC, National Academies Press, 2003
2. Ratzan SC, Parker RM: Introduction. In *National Library of Medicine Current Bibliographies in Medicine: Health Literacy*. Selden CR, Zorn M, Ratzan SC, Parker RM, Eds. Bethesda, MD, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000 (NLM pub. no. CBM 2000-1)
3. Institute of Medicine: *Health literacy: A prescription to End Confusion*. Nielsen-Bohlman L, Panzer AM, Kindig DA, Eds. Washington, DC, National Academies Press, 2004
4. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: *Healthy People 2010: Understanding and Improving Health*. Washington, DC, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2000
5. Kutner M, Greenberg E, Jin Y, Paulsen C: *The Health Literacy of America's Adults: Results From the 2003 National Survey of Adult Literacy*. Washington, DC, National Center for Education Statistics, U.S. Department of Education, 2006 (NCES 2006-483)
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: *Scientific and Technical Information Simply Put*. 2nd ed. Atlanta, Georgia, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1999
7. Doak CC, Doak LG, Root JH: *Teaching Patients With Low Literacy Skills*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, JB Lippincott Company, 1996
8. National Cancer Institute: *Clear and Simple: Developing Effective Print Materials for Low-Literate Readers*. Bethesda, MD, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1994
9. Marcus EN: The silent epidemic: the health effects of illiteracy. *N Engl J Med* 355:339–341, 2006
10. Woloshin S, Schwartz LM, Welch HG: The effectiveness of a primer to help people understand risk: two randomized trials in distinct populations. *Ann Intern Med* 146:256–265, 2007
11. Denberg TD, Coombes JM, Byers TE, Marcus AC, Feinberg LE, Steiner JF, Ahnen DJ: Effect of a mailed brochure on appointment-keeping for screening colonoscopy: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 145:895–900, 2006
12. Yardley L, Kirby S: Evaluation of booklet-based self-management of symptoms in Meniere disease: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychosom Med* 68:762–769, 2006
13. Lezak M, Howieson DB, Loring DW: Neurobehavioral variables and diagnostic issues. In *Neuropsychological Assessment*. 4th ed. New York, Oxford University Press, 2004, p. 277–331
14. Ostrosky-Solis F, Ardila A, Rosselli M, Lopez-Arango G, Uriel-Mendoza V: Neuropsychological test performance in illiterate subjects. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 13: 645–660, 1998
15. Johnson A, Sandford J, Tyndall J: Written and verbal information versus verbal information only for patients being discharged from acute hospital settings to home. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* CD003716, 2003
16. Mancini J, Nogues C, Adenis C, Berthet P, Bonadona V, Chompret A, Coupier I, Eisinger F, Fricker JP, Gauthier-Villars M, Lasset C, Lortholary A, N'Guyen TD, Vennin P, Sobol H, Stoppa-Lyonnet D, Julian-Reynier C: Impact of an information booklet on satisfaction and decision-making about BRCA genetic testing. *Eur J Cancer* 42:871–881, 2006