

Lifetime Risk of Cardiovascular Disease Among Individuals With and Without Diabetes Stratified by Obesity Status in the Framingham Heart Study

CAROLINE S. FOX, MD, MPH^{1,2,3}
MICHAEL J. PENCINA, PHD^{1,4}
PETER W.F. WILSON, MD⁵

NINA P. PAYNTER, PHD^{1,4}
RAMACHANDRAN S. VASAN, MD^{1,6}
RALPH B. D'AGOSTINO, SR, PHD^{1,4}

OBJECTIVE — We assessed the lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) among individuals with and without obesity and diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — Participants were drawn from the original and offspring cohorts of the Framingham Heart Study. Lifetime (30-year) risk of CVD was assessed using a modified Kaplan-Meier approach adjusting for the competing risk of death, beginning from age 50 years.

RESULTS — Over 30 years, the lifetime risk of CVD among women with diabetes was 54.8% among normal-weight women and 78.8% among obese women. Among normal-weight men with diabetes, the lifetime risk of CVD was 78.6%, whereas it was 86.9% among obese men.

CONCLUSIONS — The lifetime risk of CVD among individuals with diabetes is high, and this relationship is further accentuated with increasing adiposity.

Diabetes Care 31:1582–1584, 2008

The prevalence of obesity among individuals with diabetes continues to rise (1). The lifetime risk of coronary heart disease is 42% among men and 25% among women (2) and is higher among individuals with diabetes (3). However, given the close relationship between obesity and diabetes, it is important to evaluate the joint impact of the presence of obesity and diabetes on the lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD). The purpose of this study is to quantify the lifetime risk of CVD among individuals with and without diabetes and obesity in the Framingham Heart Study.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study design

Participants were drawn from the Framingham Heart Study. For details regarding the study sample, outcome ascertainment, and diabetes diagnosis, please see an online appendix available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0025>.

All participants gave written informed consent, and the study was approved by the institutional review board of the Boston Medical Center.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were sex specific. Participants who developed diabetes during follow-up were allowed to contribute information to both nondiabetic and diabetic categories (transition in this direction was permitted). Short- (10-year), medium- (20-year) and long-term (30-year) risks of developing CVD were calculated for participants with and without diabetes. The 30-year risk estimate approximates the lifetime risk in individuals aged 50 years or older. The original cohort was further stratified by BMI category; this was not done on the offspring cohort due to fewer numbers of events. The inclusion of the offspring cohort allows for the analysis of more contemporary data. For computing the 10-, 20-, and 30-year risks, we used the Practical Incidence Estimators macro approach developed by Beiser et al. (4) based on a method proposed by Gaynor et al. (5) as previously described (6). This approach uses age as a time scale of analysis and adjusts for the competing risk of death to avoid risk inflation introduced in standard survival methods that do not fully account for individuals who die during follow-up (and, therefore, can no longer develop the event of interest).

RESULTS — Table 1 presents the number of individuals, BMI categories, and CVD cases over the duration of follow-up stratified by diabetes status. Overall, in the original cohort, the lifetime risk of CVD was 38.7% in women and 55.4% in men. In the offspring cohort, the lifetime risk of CVD in women was 27.2% and 39.8% in men.

The 10-, 20-, and 30-year risk of CVD by diabetes status

Over 30 years, the lifetime risk of developing CVD in the original cohort was 38.0% among women without diabetes, whereas it was 67.1% among women with diabetes (Table 1, middle panel). Among men, the lifetime risk of CVD without diabetes was 54.8% and with diabetes 78.0%. Similar patterns were observed in

From the ¹National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, Massachusetts; the ²National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Bethesda, Maryland; the ³Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism, and Hypertension, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; the ⁴Boston University Department of Mathematics, Boston, Massachusetts; the ⁵Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia; and the ⁶Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts.

Corresponding author: Caroline S. Fox, foxca@nhlbi.nih.gov.

Received 11 January 2008 and accepted 1 May 2008.

Published ahead of print at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org> on 5 May 2008. DOI: 10.2337/dc08-0025.

C.F. had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

© 2008 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1—Characteristics of study participants aged 50–89 years and the 10-, 20-, and 30-year risk of CVD by diabetes status†

	Women		Men	
	Nondiabetic	Diabetic	Nondiabetic	Diabetic
Baseline characteristics and follow-up information				
Original cohort				
Normal weight	1225 (48.0)	73 (33.2)	694 (35.6)	35 (17.5)
Overweight	880 (34.5)	69 (31.4)	998 (51.3)	113 (56.5)
Obese	448 (17.6)	78 (35.5)	256 (13.1)	52 (26.0)
New CVD events	1424	159	1267	146
Person-years follow-up	63765	2778	39685	2248
Offspring				
Normal weight	931 (49.6)	40 (19.8)	399 (24.5)	39 (15.2)
Overweight	593 (31.6)	63 (31.2)	858 (52.6)	121 (47.3)
Obese	353 (18.8)	99 (49.0)	373 (22.9)	96 (37.5)
New CVD events	244	52	387	102
Person-years follow-up	28229	2027	23408	2573
Lifetime risk CVD*				
Original cohort				
10-year	6.9 (5.8–7.9)	21.6 (9.5–33.6)	15.0 (13.3–16.8)	28.2 (15.4–41.1)
20-year	21.7 (20.1–23.4)	50.0 (39.2–60.9)	36.0 (33.8–38.2)	57.7 (47.7–67.7)
30-year	38.0 (36.1–39.9)	67.1 (58.3–76.0)	54.8 (52.5–57.0)	78.0 (71.5–84.4)
Offspring				
10-year	3.9 (3.0–4.9)	15.9 (7.2–24.6)	8.7 (7.1–10.2)	13.3 (7.1–19.5)
20-year	11.0 (9.2–12.7)	29.3 (19.8–38.7)	23.7 (21.3–26.2)	43.8 (36.1–51.6)
30-year	26.0 (22.6–29.4)	48.6 (38.5–58.7)	37.8 (34.3–41.3)	61.6 (53.9–69.3)
Lifetime risk of CVD stratified by BMI category*				
10-year				
Normal weight	6.2 (4.7–7.6)	9.4 (0.0–22.1)	11.9 (9.3–14.5)	33.2 (6.3–60.0)
Overweight	6.8 (5.0–8.6)	16.5 (0.0–34.1)	15.1 (12.7–17.5)	21.5 (5.9–37.0)
Obese	9.3 (6.3–12.3)	41.9 (14.2–69.6)	22.8 (17.0–28.5)	47.6 (12.1–83.2)
20-year				
Normal weight	18.8 (16.6–21.1)	33.7 (16.2–51.2)	30.9 (27.4–34.4)	54.0 (30.1–77.9)
Overweight	21.6 (18.9–24.4)	52.2 (34.6–69.9)	37.3 (34.2–40.3)	57.9 (45.4–70.4)
Obese	30.0 (25.6–34.3)	65.6 (47.1–84.1)	44.4 (37.9–50.8)	65.4 (40.2–90.6)
30-year				
Normal weight	34.3 (31.6–37.0)	54.8 (39.0–70.5)	49.2 (45.4–52.9)	78.6 (63.7–93.4)
Overweight	38.7 (35.5–41.9)	69.3 (55.9–82.7)	55.5 (52.4–58.6)	74.0 (64.8–83.3)
Obese	46.7 (42.1–51.4)	78.8 (66.4–91.2)	66.8 (60.7–73.0)	86.9 (75.8–97.9)

Data are n, n (%), and odds ratio (95% CI). *Expressed as percent developing CVD, adjusted for the competing risk of death. For original cohort and offspring among participants aged 50–89 years, 95% CIs are stratified by BMI category for the offspring cohort only. †In the original cohort, 0.08% of participants were lost to follow-up, and in the offspring cohort, 0.5% of participants were lost to follow-up. In the original cohort, 10.3% of CVD events were fatal and in the offspring cohort, 5.4% were fatal.

the offspring cohort and with 10- or 20-year risk data (Table 1, middle panel).

The 10-, 20-, and 30-year risk of CVD by diabetes and BMI status

Over 30 years, the lifetime risk of CVD among normal-weight women without diabetes was 34.3% (Table 1, lower panel), whereas it was 46.7% among obese women without diabetes. Among women with diabetes, the 30-year risk was 54.8% among normal weight women and 78.8% among obese women with diabetes. Similar patterns were observed among men,

and the lifetime risk of CVD approached 90% among men with both obesity and diabetes. Results are displayed graphically in the Online Supplemental Figure.

CONCLUSIONS— The lifetime (i.e., 30-year) incidence of CVD adjusted for mortality among participants with diabetes was two-thirds to three-quarters in the original cohort, and roughly one-half to two-thirds in the offspring cohort. Lifetime risk varied according to BMI category, with participants with obesity and diabetes having the highest risk of developing CVD.

The lifetime risk of diabetes has been estimated at 32.8% for men and 38.5% for women (7). The lifetime risk of diabetes increases in proportion to BMI, ranging from 7.6% among underweight individuals to as high as 74.4% among individuals with stage 2 obesity (8). Similarly, our findings demonstrate that the lifetime risk of CVD is higher among individuals with both obesity and diabetes, with the lifetime risk of CVD approaching nearly 80% in obese women and nearly 90% in obese men.

Given the recent increases in both the

prevalence and incidence of diabetes, projections for the burden of diabetes in the U.S. by 2050 have increased to 48.3 million cases (9). We have already demonstrated that the attributable risk of CVD due to diabetes has increased (10); this trend may continue to worsen if current trajectories do not change.

Limitations of our study include the selection of all white individuals, which potentially limits generalizability. We did not exclude individuals with type 1 diabetes; however, there are less than 10 individuals in our sample and, therefore, this is unlikely to have affected the results. Lastly, given the shorter follow-up time in the offspring, we note that these are more long-term than lifetime risk estimates.

Acknowledgments— This work was supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study (grant N01-HC-25195). R.S.V. was supported in part by grant K24 HL04334.

References

1. Leibson CL, Williamson DF, Melton LJ 3rd, Palumbo PJ, Smith SA, Ransom JE, Schilling PL, Narayan KM: Temporal trends in BMI among adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 24:1584–1589, 2001
2. Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Beiser A, Levy D: Lifetime risk of developing coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 353:89–92, 1999
3. Lloyd-Jones DM, Leip EP, Larson MG, D'Agostino RB, Beiser A, Wilson PW, Wolf PA, Levy D: Prediction of lifetime risk for cardiovascular disease by risk factor burden at 50 years of age. *Circulation* 113:791–798, 2006
4. Beiser A, D'Agostino RB Sr, Seshadri S, Sullivan LM, Wolf PA: Computing estimates of incidence, including lifetime risk: Alzheimer's disease in the Framingham Study: the Practical Incidence Estimators (PIE) macro. *Stat Med* 19:1495–1522, 2000
5. Gaynor JJ, Feuer EJ, Tan CC, Wu DH, Little CR, Straus DJ, Clarkson BD, Brennan MF: On the use of cause-specific failure and conditional failure probabilities—examples from clinical oncology data. *J Am Stat Assoc* 88:400–409, 1993
6. Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Cobain M, Freiberg MS, D'Agostino RB: Estimated risks for developing obesity in the Framingham Heart Study. *Ann Intern Med* 143:473–480, 2005
7. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Sorensen SW, Williamson DF: Lifetime risk for diabetes mellitus in the United States. *JAMA* 290:1884–1890, 2003
8. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Thompson TJ, Gregg EW, Williamson DF: Effect of BMI on lifetime risk for diabetes in the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 30:1562–1566, 2007
9. Narayan KM, Boyle JP, Geiss LS, Saaddine JB, Thompson TJ: Impact of recent increase in incidence on future diabetes burden: U.S., 2005–2050. *Diabetes Care* 29:2114–2116, 2006
10. Fox CS, Coady S, Sorlie PD, D'Agostino RB Sr, Pencina MJ, Vasan RS, Meigs JB, Levy D, Savage PJ: Increasing cardiovascular disease burden due to diabetes mellitus: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 115:1544–1550, 2007