Errata


In the print version of the article listed above, Table 1 is missing the n values in row 1 for four categories: “Overweight Nondiabetic” should read “687”; “Overweight Diabetic” should read “135”; “Obese Nondiabetic” should read “489”; and “Obese Diabetic” should read “227.” In addition, the following acknowledgments were missing from the Acknowledgments section: “S.D. is supported by a grant from the American Diabetes Association (10-JF-13) and has received speaker’s honoraria from Solvay Pharmaceuticals. C.L.M. and M.G.M. are employees of Solvay Pharmaceuticals, Inc.” The online version reflects these changes.
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In the print version of the article listed above, under the “Conclusions” heading in the 2nd sentence of the 5th paragraph, the sentence incorrectly reads: “Some RT-CGM studies followed participants two to three times weekly (7,9) in person or by telephone (I. Hirsch, MD, University of Washington, personal communication), although recent evidence suggests a benefit for RT-CGM in conventional clinical settings (16).” The corrected sentence reads: “Some RT-CGM studies followed participants at intervals of 2–3 weeks (7,9) in person or by telephone (I. Hirsch, MD, University of Washington, personal communication), although recent evidence suggests a benefit for RT-CGM in conventional clinical settings (16).” The online version reflects these changes.


In the print version of the article listed above, on page S65 in the paragraph under the subheading, “Amount and type of carbohydrate,” the following sentence is incorrect: “However, 1-year follow-up data from a small weight-loss trial (20) indicate, among the subset with diabetes, that the reduction in fasting glucose was 21 mg/dl (1.17 mmol/l) and 28 mg/dl (1.55 mmol/l) for the low-carbohydrate and low-fat diets, respectively, with no significant difference for change in A1C levels.” The correct sentence should read: “However, 1-year follow-up data from a small weight-loss trial (20) indicate, among the subset with diabetes, that the reduction in fasting glucose was 21 mg/dl (1.17 mmol/l) and 28 mg/dl (1.55 mmol/l) for the low-fat and low-carbohydrate diets, respectively, with no significant difference for change in A1C levels.” The online version reflects these changes.