

OBSERVATIONS

# Can Trained Dogs Detect a Hypoglycemic Scent in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes?

In persons with type 1 diabetes (T1D), hypoglycemia is common and sometimes serious. Anecdotal reports suggest that dogs can detect hypoglycemia in their human companions. The current study was undertaken to assess whether dogs can detect hypoglycemia by scent alone.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—The study was approved by the Human Institutional Review

Board and the Animal Care and Use Committee at Legacy Research Institute (Portland, OR). Three patients with T1D were enrolled (age 25–57 years; duration of diabetes 2–21 years; none were hypoglycemic unaware). These people were unfamiliar to the dogs that were studied. For each of two hypoglycemic periods (capillary blood specimens  $\leq 60$  mg/dL), each subject collected two sterile cotton swab samples by rubbing them on the skin of both arms. Additional samples were collected during two normoglycemic episodes (capillary glucose 100–150 mg/dL). This procedure was chosen because the dog-training organization affiliated with one of the authors used this method to train dogs to respond to hypoglycemia in their human companions. The three adult dogs used in this study had been trained to respond to hypoglycemia by pressing a bell after sniffing the open-capped container with the hypoglycemic swab. Each of these dogs had been placed in the home of a person

with T1D. The owners and trainer believed that the dogs chosen for this study were consistently able to detect hypoglycemia in the home.

Investigators were blinded to sample identity. Each dog was tested with each of the 24 samples by presenting the sample to the animal for 30–45 s. An alert was recorded by a blinded investigator if the dog activated the bell.

**RESULTS**—Results are presented in Table 1. The values for sensitivity, specificity, and percent of samples that were correctly identified were each  $\sim 50\%$ . We considered the possibility that volatile compounds may have already evaporated during later tests. However, the first test was not more accurate than later tests.

**CONCLUSIONS**—Trained dogs were largely unable to identify skin swabs obtained from hypoglycemic T1D subjects. We chose to test with skin swabs because 1) dogs are well known to respond to scents carried on human skin (1) and 2) the trainers had reported success with this method in training the animals to respond to hypoglycemia. To our knowledge, this is the first controlled study to address whether dogs can detect a hypoglycemic scent, though there are anecdotal and case reports suggesting that dogs can respond to hypoglycemia (2–4). Our results addressed only whether there is a detectable hypoglycemia scent on the skin. In future studies, it may be helpful to include behavioral elements, such as studies in the presence of human companions. It might also be helpful to obtain swabs from the usual human companions of the dogs. We found that trained dogs were unable to correctly identify skin swabs obtained during hypoglycemia in subjects with T1D. Further studies are needed to address the role of other factors that the animals might use, such as behavioral cues.

Table 1—Human data and canine results

Sample no.	Glucose (mg/dL)	Test order	Human subject	Dog 1	Dog 2	Dog 3
1	47	20	1-male	Y	N	Y
2	47	6	1-male	Y	Y	Y
3	58	12	1-male	N	N	Y
4	58	5	1-male	N	N	Y
5	125	9	1-male	N	Y	Y
6	125	8	1-male	Y	N	Y
7	130	4	1-male	N	N	Y
8	130	2	1-male	Y	Y	N
9	56	19	2-female	N	Y	N
10	56	14	2-female	Y	Y	Y
11	57	23	2-female	N	Y	Y
12	57	15	2-female	Y	N	N
13	119	10	2-female	Y	N	Y
14	119	22	2-female	Y	N	N
15	112	16	2-female	N	Y	N
16	112	18	2-female	Y	Y	Y
17	56	21	3-male	N	Y	N
18	56	11	3-male	Y	Y	N
19	59	17	3-male	N	N	Y
20	59	3	3-male	Y	Y	N
21	128	24	3-male	N	N	Y
22	128	13	3-male	N	N	N
23	101	1	3-male	N	N	Y
24	101	7	3-male	N	Y	N
Percent correct, each				54.2	58.3	50.0
Percent correct, all				54.2		
Sensitivity, each dog				50.0	58.3	58.3
Sensitivity, all				55.5		
Specificity, each dog				58.3	58.3	41.7
Specificity, all				52.8		

N, no alert; Y, alert.

KY DEHLINGER, DVM<sup>1,2</sup>  
 KRISTIN TARNOWSKI, BS, MED<sup>5</sup>  
 JODY L. HOUSE, SCD<sup>5</sup>  
 EVAN LOS, MD<sup>3</sup>  
 KATHRYN HANAVAN, RN<sup>3</sup>  
 BRYAN BUSTAMANTE, BS<sup>1</sup>  
 ANDREW J. AHMANN, MD<sup>4</sup>  
 W. KENNETH WARD, MD<sup>3,4</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Veterinary Medical Unit, Portland VA Medical Center, Portland, Oregon; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Comparative Medicine, Legacy Research Institute, Portland, Oregon; the <sup>3</sup>Legacy Research Institute, Diabetes Division, Portland,

Oregon; the <sup>4</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Clinical Nutrition, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon; and the <sup>5</sup>Dogs Assisting Diabetics Foundation, Forest Grove, Oregon.

Corresponding author: W. Kenneth Ward, kenward503@msn.com.

DOI: 10.2337/dc12-2342

© 2013 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

**Acknowledgments**—No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

All authors participated in several planning sessions. K.D. obtained animal use

permission, carried out the animal study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. K.T. trained the dogs and carried out the animal study. J.L.H., E.L., and K.H. played important roles in designing the human and animal study protocols. B.B. and A.J.A. carried out the animal study. W.K.W. obtained Human Institutional Review Board approval, supervised the human element of the study, carried out the animal study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. K.D. and W.K.W. are the guarantors of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

The authors thank the dog owners and Legacy Research Institute for allowing them to use their facilities.



**References**

1. Syrotuck WG. *Scent and the Scenting Dog*. 2nd ed. Mechanicsburg, PA, Barkleigh Productions, Inc., 2000
2. Wells DL, Lawson SW, Siriwardena AN. Canine responses to hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes. *J Altern Complement Med* 2008;14:1235–1241
3. O'Connor MB, O'Connor C, Walsh CH. A dog's detection of low blood sugar: a case report. *Ir J Med Sci* 2008;177:155–157
4. Chen M, Daly M, Williams N, Williams S, Williams C, Williams G. Non-invasive detection of hypoglycaemia using a novel, fully biocompatible and patient friendly alarm system. *BMJ* 2000;321:1565–1566