



# Are There Clinical Implications of Racial Differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>? A Difference, to Be a Difference, Must Make a Difference

Elizabeth Selvin

*Diabetes Care* 2016;39:1462–1467 | DOI: 10.2337/dc16-0042

Studies that have compared HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels by race have consistently demonstrated higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in African Americans than in whites. These racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> have not been explained by measured differences in glycemia, sociodemographic factors, clinical factors, access to care, or quality of care. Recently, a number of nonglycemic factors and several genetic polymorphisms that operate through nonglycemic mechanisms have been associated with HbA<sub>1c</sub>. Their distributions across racial groups and their impact on hemoglobin glycation need to be systematically explored. Thus, on the basis of evidence for racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>, current clinical guidelines from the American Diabetes Association state: “It is important to take . . . race/ethnicity . . . into consideration when using the A1C to diagnose diabetes.” However, it is not clear from the guidelines how this recommendation might be actualized. So, the critical question is not whether racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> exist between African Americans and whites; the important question is whether the observed differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> level are clinically meaningful. Therefore, given the current controversy, we provide a Point-Counterpoint debate on this issue. In the preceding point narrative, Dr. Herman provides his argument that the failure to acknowledge that HbA<sub>1c</sub> might be a biased measure of average glycemia and an unwillingness to rigorously investigate this hypothesis will slow scientific progress and has the potential to do great harm. In the counterpoint narrative below, Dr. Selvin argues that there is no compelling evidence for racial differences in the validity of HbA<sub>1c</sub> as a measure of hyperglycemia and that race is a poor surrogate for differences in underlying causes of disease risk.

—William T. Cefalu  
Editor in Chief, *Diabetes Care*

In a major change to clinical practice guidelines, the International Expert Committee first recommended the use of hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) for the diagnosis of diabetes in 2009 (1). This recommendation was codified in the American Diabetes Association’s *Clinical Practice Recommendations* in 2010 (2) and has been adopted by the World Health Organization and numerous other professional groups across the globe (3,4). Given the long-standing use of HbA<sub>1c</sub> for diabetes control and its strong link to complications, the use of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in diagnosis of diabetes seemed advisable and advantageous. Nevertheless, the 2009 recommendations for the use of HbA<sub>1c</sub> as a diagnostic test for diabetes were met with considerable controversy (5). Central to this controversy has been the interpretation of racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels. The relevance of racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> for its use in screening, diagnosis, and management of diabetes is the focus of this commentary.

Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, and Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

Corresponding author: Elizabeth Selvin, [eselvin@jhu.edu](mailto:eselvin@jhu.edu).

© 2016 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.

See accompanying articles, pp. 1299 and 1458.

## RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN RISK OF DIABETES AND ITS COMPLICATIONS

In the U.S., racial and ethnic minority groups are disproportionately burdened by adverse social and economic conditions that can profoundly influence disease risk. Society-level factors such as social position, residence, material conditions (including wealth), social connections, environment, and food and physical insecurity are particularly important factors influencing risk of obesity and diabetes (6,7). There are well-documented racial disparities in the risk of diabetes, with African Americans approximately twice as likely to develop diabetes as compared with their white counterparts (8). Racial and ethnic minority groups are also disproportionately burdened by the complications of diabetes including retinopathy (9,10), chronic kidney disease (11), and lower-extremity peripheral vascular disease (12,13), with an especially high risk of amputation (14). Indeed, racial differences in end-stage renal disease represent one of the most striking racial disparities in health in the U.S. (15,16).

### RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN HbA<sub>1c</sub>

In numerous cohorts and in national data, it has been shown that blacks have higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> values than whites in both the presence and absence of diabetes (17–21). Mexican Americans have values of HbA<sub>1c</sub> that are intermediate between blacks and whites (9,22). Comparisons of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in other racial/ethnic groups and non-U.S. populations are scarce but suggest higher nondiabetic levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in some groups, e.g., South Asians, black Brazilians, and Inuit populations, compared with whites or Caucasians (23–25). It is unclear what factors might be driving these differences.

Racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> have been widely cited as a potential shortcoming of HbA<sub>1c</sub> testing for diagnosis of diabetes (26–29). On the basis of evidence for racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>, current clinical guidelines from the American Diabetes Association state: “It is important to take. . .race/ethnicity. . .into consideration when using the A1C to diagnose diabetes” (29). However, it is not clear from the guidelines how this recommendation might be actualized. Some argue that racial differences are

nonglycemic in nature, i.e., a result of factors that influence HbA<sub>1c</sub> via pathways independent of glucose or hyperglycemia, and have suggested that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is “invalid” or “misleading” as a diagnostic test in African Americans (30,31). Clearly this claim is not trivial: HbA<sub>1c</sub> is widely considered the gold standard measure of chronic hyperglycemia in diabetes care. Treatment and diagnostic decisions are routinely based on HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels. If the higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> in blacks compared with whites is primarily due to nonglycemic factors, then HbA<sub>1c</sub> is falsely high in blacks. If this claim is substantiated, it suggests potential disparities in diabetes care may not be real, efforts to reduce hyperglycemia in blacks may be unwarranted and could cause harm, and that we might need race-specific diagnostic and treatment thresholds.

The debate is not whether racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> exist: they do. What is not clear is why levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> are somewhat higher in blacks compared with whites.

### WHAT MIGHT EXPLAIN RACIAL DIFFERENCES IN HbA<sub>1c</sub>?

#### Nonglycemic Factors

HbA<sub>1c</sub> is an indirect measure of hyperglycemia (32–35), but it is well established that the primary determinant of HbA<sub>1c</sub> is circulating glucose level (33). It has been postulated that racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> might be explained by differences in hemoglobin-related factors. Red cell turnover may be the most important unmeasured nonglycemic determinant of HbA<sub>1c</sub> (36), but there is currently no direct evidence of racial differences in red cell turnover that might explain racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>. The impact of red cell turnover on HbA<sub>1c</sub> in the general population is not well understood because of major difficulties in its measurement (37). Certain conditions such as glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency and specific hemoglobin variants (e.g., sickle cell) are more common in African Americans than in whites. Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency causes hemolysis and can result in a lowering of HbA<sub>1c</sub>, and sickle cell trait (and other hemoglobin variants) can falsely lower or raise HbA<sub>1c</sub> or may have no effect depending on the method of HbA<sub>1c</sub> measurement (38).

#### Glycemic Factors

The racial differences we see in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels across populations may reflect real differences in circulating average (nonfasting) glucose that are reflected in HbA<sub>1c</sub> but not (or not as much) in fasting glucose or 2-h glucose. Given the considerable black–white disparities in risk of diabetes and other major health conditions, perhaps it is not so surprising that there are racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> even after adjusting for fasting glucose. A single measurement of fasting glucose or 2-h glucose does not fully reflect average glycemia and would not account for possible differences in true circulating average glucose between races. Differences in body composition, physical activity, diet, lifestyle, stress, and/or environmental and neighborhood-level factors might affect circulating nonfasting glucose levels and contribute to the racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>. Such parameters may not be fully captured by standard assessments in large epidemiologic studies, leaving open the possibility that racial disparities in HbA<sub>1c</sub> are not artifactual but reflect black–white differences in true circulating nonfasting glucose.

Importantly, the higher levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> are also seen for other biomarkers of chronic hyperglycemia, specifically fructosamine and glycated albumin (39–42). Because fructosamine and glycated albumin are unaffected by the hematologic factors that might affect HbA<sub>1c</sub>, racial differences in erythrocyte turnover or hemoglobin glycation cannot explain racial differences in these hemoglobin-independent serum biomarkers of hyperglycemia. The racial differences in fructosamine and glycated albumin support a difference in glycemia itself.

#### Genetic Factors

Genetic differences undoubtedly contribute to both glycemic and nonglycemic variation in measures of hyperglycemia including HbA<sub>1c</sub>. The clinical significance of a nonglycemic genetic contribution is uncertain, particularly in persons without genetic hemoglobin abnormalities. We have previously shown that genetic ancestry does not contribute substantially (<1%) to variability in HbA<sub>1c</sub> among African Americans (43). Furthermore, no known genetic variants differ substantially enough between persons of African compared with Caucasian ancestry to

explain racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> in the general population (44–46). Although the current evidence does not rule out the possibility of genetic nonglycemic determinants of HbA<sub>1c</sub>, there is no clear evidence that genetic differences contribute substantially to racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>. Race is primarily a social construct (47,48), and the literature does suggest that we should not treat race like a biological factor that should be used to adjust HbA<sub>1c</sub> values.

The cause or causes of racial disparities in HbA<sub>1c</sub> are incompletely understood, and we cannot rule out a small but systematic nonglycemic difference. Research is needed to understand the full determinants of HbA<sub>1c</sub>, particularly the impact of red cell turnover on differences across population subgroups. Nonetheless, we should recognize that, in the diabetic range, the primary determinant of HbA<sub>1c</sub> is circulating ambient glucose; other factors are likely to have a relatively small influence.

Are there nonglycemic determinants of HbA<sub>1c</sub>? Certainly. Do these nonglycemic

determinants play a large role at diagnostic or higher (diabetic) levels of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in most of the population? Probably not. Are there studies that provide direct evidence that nonglycemic factors explain racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub>? No. The question is then not whether there are racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> as an accurate index of chronic hyperglycemia. The important question now is: Are the observed racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> level clinically meaningful?

### PROGNOSTIC VALUE OF HbA<sub>1c</sub> IN DIFFERENT RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUPS

A major justification for using HbA<sub>1c</sub> as a diagnostic test for diabetes is the strong evidence linking it to future diabetes and major clinical complications in ethnically diverse populations (19,49–54). If the observed systematically higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in African Americans as compared with whites stem from racial differences not in glucose exposure but from nonglycemic factors, then HbA<sub>1c</sub> should be a weaker predictor of diabetic complications in African Americans,

especially compared with fasting glucose. The current diabetes diagnostic cut point of HbA<sub>1c</sub> 6.5% is supported by epidemiologic evidence for a high prevalence of retinopathy beginning above this threshold (1,55,56), with key studies in multiethnic U.S. study populations (56,57), Malay adults in Singapore (58), and Australian (59), Pima Indian (60), Egyptian (55,61), Korean (62), Chinese (63), and Japanese (64,65) populations. In analyses of data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), investigators have directly compared the prognostic value of clinical categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in populations of Mexican Americans, African Americans, and whites. These analyses found no evidence for racial/ethnic differences in the relative association of HbA<sub>1c</sub> with prevalent retinopathy, suggesting that current diabetes clinical cut points should be interpreted similarly in whites, African Americans, and Mexican Americans (9,10). Randomized clinical trials in persons with diabetes have further demonstrated that lowering HbA<sub>1c</sub>

**Table 1—Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI)\* of peripheral vascular disease, chronic kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, and all-cause mortality according to categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose at baseline in blacks and whites without diagnosed diabetes, the ARIC Study (1990–1992), N = 11,018**

	HbA <sub>1c</sub> <5.0%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> 5.0–5.6%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> 5.7–6.5%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> ≥6.5%
Peripheral vascular disease, n = 279 events				
White	1.25 (0.70–2.23)	1 (ref)	1.63 (1.20–2.22)	3.22 (1.93–5.38)
Black	2.06 (0.74–5.69)	1 (ref)	1.24 (0.66–2.33)	2.73 (1.24–6.02)
Chronic kidney disease, n = 1,550 events				
White	0.97 (0.76–1.22)	1 (ref)	1.30 (1.13–1.49)	1.84 (1.41–2.42)
Black	2.15 (1.40–3.30)	1 (ref)	1.56 (1.22–2.01)	1.82 (1.28–2.60)
Cardiovascular disease, n = 2,205 events				
White	0.99 (0.81–1.20)	1 (ref)	1.51 (1.35–1.69)	1.94 (1.55–2.44)
Black	0.78 (0.47–1.29)	1 (ref)	1.36 (1.09–1.70)	2.28 (1.68–3.08)
All-cause mortality, n = 2,999 deaths				
White	1.19 (1.01–1.40)	1 (ref)	1.37 (1.24–1.50)	1.72 (1.39–2.12)
Black	1.41 (1.03–1.93)	1 (ref)	1.14 (0.96–1.36)	1.36 (1.05–1.78)
	Fasting glucose <90 mg/dL	Fasting glucose 90–99 mg/dL	Fasting glucose 100–125 mg/dL	Fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL
Peripheral vascular disease, n = 279 events				
White	0.79 (0.42–1.51)	1 (ref)	0.99 (0.72–1.35)	1.26 (0.74–2.17)
Black	0.71 (0.21–2.45)	1 (ref)	0.68 (0.37–1.25)	1.55 (0.72–3.33)
Chronic kidney disease, n = 1,550 events				
White	1.07 (0.84–1.36)	1 (ref)	1.04 (0.91–1.18)	1.25 (0.98–1.59)
Black	1.14 (0.71–1.84)	1 (ref)	1.16 (0.90–1.50)	1.61 (1.14–2.27)
Cardiovascular disease, n = 2,205 events				
White	1.27 (1.04–1.55)	1 (ref)	1.12 (1.00–1.25)	1.40 (1.15–1.71)
Black	0.88 (0.57–1.35)	1 (ref)	0.89 (0.71–1.11)	1.38 (1.01–1.87)
All-cause mortality, n = 2,999 deaths				
White	1.01 (0.85–1.21)	1 (ref)	1.10 (1.00–1.21)	1.54 (1.29–1.83)
Black	1.17 (0.87–1.59)	1 (ref)	0.89 (0.75–1.07)	1.06 (0.81–1.38)

\*Adjusted for age, sex, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, log-transformed triglycerides, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, hypertension, family history of diabetes, education, drinking status, cigarette smoking status, and physical activity index.

reduces the risk of microvascular disease, regardless of race/ethnicity (66).

For this report we conducted analyses of two population-based studies, the community-based Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study and the nationally representative NHANES, to compare associations of diagnostic categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose with major long-term diabetic complications in black, Mexican American, and white persons. We analyzed HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose data from 11,018 participants aged 48–68 years with no history of cardiovascular disease who attended the second examination of the ARIC Study from 1990 to 1992. During a median of approximately 20 years of follow-up, there were 279 peripheral vascular disease events, 1,550 cases of chronic kidney disease, 2,205 cardiovascular (coronary heart disease or stroke) events, and 2,999 deaths. Comparing the hazard ratios across clinical categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose reveals that, in general, HbA<sub>1c</sub> is more strongly associated with future clinical outcomes as compared with fasting glucose and the relative risk associations appear similar in blacks and whites (Table 1). NHANES III, which is linked to national mortality data (but not nonfatal outcomes), also included measurements of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose in non-Hispanic black,

non-Hispanic white, and Mexican American adults. Thus, similar analyses can be conducted in this nationally representative cohort. In an analysis of 12,722 NHANES III (1988–1994) participants aged 20 years or older with HbA<sub>1c</sub> measurements (and 5,676 with fasting glucose), there were 804 total deaths of which 363 were from cardiovascular causes during a median of approximately 19 years of follow-up. In NHANES III, clinical categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in non-Hispanic blacks were similarly or more strongly associated with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality as compared with non-Hispanic whites (Table 2).

These data from ARIC and NHANES demonstrate that HbA<sub>1c</sub> ≥6.5% is a risk factor for future development of peripheral vascular disease, kidney disease, cardiovascular disease, and death across racial/ethnic groups. We see patterns of association of HbA<sub>1c</sub> diagnostic categories that are generally similar or stronger than those for fasting glucose; our results do not support the contention that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is a weaker predictor of outcomes compared with fasting glucose in African Americans compared with whites. These results extend and update prior publications (18,19,53,67–69), and, taken as a whole, the current literature demonstrates that race-specific HbA<sub>1c</sub> cut points for diagnosis of diabetes would

not be consistent with long-term risk associations.

In other studies in ARIC, we also observed that associations of nontraditional biomarkers of hyperglycemia (fructosamine and glycated albumin) with clinical outcomes were also similar in blacks and whites (39). Ultimately, the literature suggests that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is a similarly valid diagnostic and prognostic tool in persons of different races/ethnicities and supports recommendations for using the same HbA<sub>1c</sub> diagnostic cut points across racial/ethnic groups (10,70). To quote a saying commonly attributed to Gertrude Stein: “A difference, to be a difference, must make a difference.”

### CONCLUSIONS

As with any clinical test, the strength and limitations of HbA<sub>1c</sub> need to be understood and communicated. Each HbA<sub>1c</sub> test result needs to be interpreted in the context of the individual patient. Although population-level evidence is critical to guide individual decision-making, diabetes clinical practice guidelines have increasingly recognized the need for individualization of diabetes treatment (71–73). To most effectively address the diabetes epidemic, we need to improve our approaches to preventing and treating diabetes and tailor these approaches to each individual.

**Table 2—Adjusted hazard ratios (95% CI)\* of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality according to categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> and fasting glucose† at baseline in persons without diagnosed diabetes, by race/ethnicity group, U.S. adults aged 18 years or older (NHANES III, 1988–1994), N = 12,722**

	HbA <sub>1c</sub> <5.0%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> 5.0–5.6%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> 5.7–6.5%	HbA <sub>1c</sub> ≥6.5%
<b>Cardiovascular mortality, n = 804 deaths</b>				
Non-Hispanic white	0.74 (0.38–1.41)	1 (ref)	1.13 (0.83–1.53)	1.39 (0.77–2.51)
Non-Hispanic black	0.94 (0.45–1.96)	1 (ref)	1.10 (0.78–1.56)	2.25 (0.89–5.64)
Mexican American	0.60 (0.18–1.98)	1 (ref)	1.15 (0.63–2.10)	3.90 (1.86–8.17)
<b>All-cause mortality, n = 3,415 deaths</b>				
Non-Hispanic white	1.18 (0.91–1.54)	1 (ref)	1.12 (0.96–1.32)	1.50 (1.09–2.05)
Non-Hispanic black	1.27 (0.88–1.81)	1 (ref)	1.14 (0.98–1.32)	2.00 (1.40–2.85)
Mexican American	0.99 (0.60–1.63)	1 (ref)	1.15 (0.84–1.58)	1.74 (1.13–2.67)
	Fasting glucose <90 mg/dL	Fasting glucose 90–99 mg/dL	Fasting glucose 100–125 mg/dL	Fasting glucose ≥126 mg/dL
<b>Cardiovascular mortality, n = 363 deaths†</b>				
Non-Hispanic white	0.96 (0.51–1.80)	1 (ref)	1.43 (0.87–2.35)	1.82 (0.98–3.36)
Non-Hispanic black	0.77 (0.29–2.05)	1 (ref)	0.97 (0.45–2.07)	1.60 (0.42–6.13)
Mexican American	1.96 (0.86–4.47)	1 (ref)	1.11 (0.55–2.24)	1.22 (0.39–3.84)
<b>All-cause mortality, n = 1,536 deaths†</b>				
Non-Hispanic white	0.96 (0.76–1.21)	1 (ref)	1.16 (0.97–1.38)	1.47 (1.04–2.08)
Non-Hispanic black	1.09 (0.71–1.66)	1 (ref)	1.16 (0.85–1.59)	2.40 (1.50–3.84)
Mexican American	0.84 (0.46–1.53)	1 (ref)	0.97 (0.61–1.52)	1.48 (0.69–3.17)

\*Adjusted for age, sex, lipids, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, education, smoking status, hypertension, and physical activity; †Subsample of 5,676 participants who attended the morning examination and had measurements of fasting plasma glucose.

The evidence from population-based studies suggests that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is a useful and valid test of hyperglycemia across racial/ethnic groups. Indeed, studies using modern HbA<sub>1c</sub> assays have now shown that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is more strongly associated with outcomes as compared with fasting glucose or 2-h postprandial glucose (19,54). There is robust evidence that HbA<sub>1c</sub> is associated with microvascular and macrovascular outcomes in diverse populations. There is no compelling evidence that the validity of HbA<sub>1c</sub> as a measure of hyperglycemia and the prognostic value of clinical categories of HbA<sub>1c</sub> differ substantially according to race.

Certainly more work needs to be done to understand the causes of racial differences in HbA<sub>1c</sub> and the contribution of nonglycemic factors. But race is a poor surrogate for differences in underlying causes of disease risk, and suggestions for racially based medical decisions are disquieting. If anything, we need less emphasis on using race to define health and guide medical decision making. With respect to HbA<sub>1c</sub>, we need to understand what might be causing disparities lest we inappropriately withhold a useful and prognostic test from a subgroup of the population known to be at high risk for diabetes and its complications. There is no evidence that HbA<sub>1c</sub> testing will lead to “overdiagnosis” of diabetes in African Americans. There is, however, a real concern that recommendations to avoid or interpret HbA<sub>1c</sub> results differently in racial/ethnic minority populations may actually increase health disparities.

**Acknowledgments.** E.S. is indebted to Drs. Larry Appel and Morgan Grams, Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, for their valuable comments on an early draft of this article and to the staff and participants of the ARIC Study for their important contributions to this work. E.S. also thanks Yuan Chen, Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, for assistance with statistical analyses.

**Funding.** This work is supported by National Institutes of Health National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grants 2R01-DK-089174 and K24-DK-106414 to E.S. The ARIC Study is carried out as a collaborative study supported by National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute contracts (HHSN268201100005C, HHSN268201100006C, HHSN268201100007C,

HHSN268201100008C, HHSN268201100009C, HHSN268201100010C, HHSN268201100011C, and HHSN268201100012C).

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

1. The International Expert Committee. International Expert Committee report on the role of the A1C assay in the diagnosis of diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:1327–1334
2. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2010. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33(Suppl. 1):S11–S61
3. World Health Organization. Use of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus: abbreviated report of a WHO consultation [Internet], 2011. World Health Organization. Available from [http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/report-hba1c\\_2011.pdf](http://www.who.int/diabetes/publications/report-hba1c_2011.pdf). Accessed 26 May 2016
4. International Diabetes Federation Clinical Guidelines Task Force. Global guideline for type 2 diabetes [Internet], 2012. International Diabetes Federation. Available from <http://www.idf.org/sites/default/files/IDF-Guideline-for-Type-2-Diabetes.pdf>. Accessed 26 May 2016
5. Sacks DB. Hemoglobin A1c in diabetes: panacea or pointless? *Diabetes* 2013;62:41–43
6. Jack L, Jack NH, Hayes SC. Social determinants of health in minority populations: a call for multidisciplinary approaches to eliminate diabetes-related health disparities. *Diabetes Spectr* 2012;25:9–13
7. Agardh E, Allebeck P, Hallqvist J, Moradi T, Sidorchuk A. Type 2 diabetes incidence and socioeconomic position: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:804–818
8. Brancati FL, Kao WHL, Folsom AR, Watson RL, Szklo M. Incident type 2 diabetes mellitus in African American and white adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *JAMA* 2000;283:2253–2259
9. Bower JK, Brancati FL, Selvin E. No ethnic differences in the association of glycated hemoglobin with retinopathy: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2005–2008. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:569–573
10. Tsugawa Y, Mukamal KJ, Davis RB, Taylor WC, Wee CC. Should the hemoglobin A1c diagnostic cutoff differ between blacks and whites? A cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;157:153–159
11. Crews DC, Pfaff T, Powe NR. Socioeconomic factors and racial disparities in kidney disease outcomes. *Semin Nephrol* 2013;33:468–475
12. Selvin E, Erlinger TP. Prevalence of and risk factors for peripheral arterial disease in the United States: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999–2000. *Circulation* 2004;110:738–743
13. Gregg EW, Sorlie P, Paulose-Ram R, et al.; 1999–2000 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Prevalence of lower-extremity disease in the U.S. adult population ≥40 years of age with and without diabetes: 1999–2000 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:1591–1597
14. Goodney PPDN, Goodman DC, Bronner KK. Variation in the Care of Surgical Conditions: Diabetes and Peripheral Arterial Disease: A Dartmouth Atlas of Health Care Series, 2014. Available from [http://www.dartmouthatlas.org/downloads/reports/Diabetes\\_report\\_10\\_14\\_14.pdf](http://www.dartmouthatlas.org/downloads/reports/Diabetes_report_10_14_14.pdf). Accessed 26 May 2016
15. Tarver-Carr ME, Powe NR, Eberhardt MS, et al. Excess risk of chronic kidney disease among African-American versus white subjects in the United States: a population-based study of potential explanatory factors. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2002;13:2363–2370
16. Parsa A, Kao WHL, Xie D, et al.; AASK Study Investigators; CRIC Study Investigators. APOL1 risk variants, race, and progression of chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:2183–2196
17. Harris MI, Eastman RC, Cowie CC, Flegal KM, Eberhardt MS. Racial and ethnic differences in glycemic control of adults with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1999;22:403–408
18. Selvin E, Rawlings AM, Bergenstal RM, Coresh J, Brancati FL. No racial differences in the association of glycated hemoglobin with kidney disease and cardiovascular outcomes. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:2995–3001
19. Selvin E, Steffes MW, Zhu H, et al. Glycated hemoglobin, diabetes, and cardiovascular risk in nondiabetic adults. *N Engl J Med* 2010;362:800–811
20. Kirk JK, D’Agostino RB Jr, Bell RA, et al. Disparities in HbA1c levels between African-American and non-Hispanic white adults with diabetes: a meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:2130–2136
21. Saaddine JB, Fagot-Campagna A, Rolka D, et al. Distribution of HbA(1c) levels for children and young adults in the U.S.: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:1326–1330
22. Menke A, Rust KF, Savage PJ, Cowie CC. Hemoglobin A1c, fasting plasma glucose, and 2-hour plasma glucose distributions in U.S. population subgroups: NHANES 2005–2010. *Ann Epidemiol* 2014;24:83–89
23. Shipman KE, Jawad M, Sullivan KM, Ford C, Gama R. Ethnic/racial determinants of glycemic markers in a UK sample. *Acta Diabetol* 2015;52:687–692
24. de Miranda VA, Cruz Filho RA, de Oliveira TS, et al. Racial differences in HbA1c: a cross-sectional analysis of a Brazilian public primary care population. *Prim Care Diabetes* 2013;7:135–141
25. Jørgensen ME, Bjerregaard P, Borch-Johnsen K, Witte D. New diagnostic criteria for diabetes: is the change from glucose to HbA1c possible in all populations? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2010;95:E333–E336
26. Inzucchi SE. Clinical practice. Diagnosis of diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:542–550
27. Sacks DB. A1C versus glucose testing: a comparison. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:518–523
28. Davidson MB. Diagnosing diabetes with glucose criteria: worshipping a false God. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:524–526
29. American Diabetes Association. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. Sec. 2. In *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2016*. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39(Suppl. 1):S13–S22
30. Dagogo-Jack S. Pitfalls in the use of HbA<sub>1c</sub>(c) as a diagnostic test: the ethnic conundrum. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2010;6:589–593
31. Herman WH, Ma Y, Uwaifo G, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Differences in A1C by race and ethnicity among patients with impaired glucose tolerance in

- the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:2453–2457
32. Bunn HF, Haney DN, Kamin S, Gabbay KH, Gallop PM. The biosynthesis of human hemoglobin A1c. Slow glycosylation of hemoglobin in vivo. *J Clin Invest* 1976;57:1652–1659
  33. Nathan DM, Kuenen J, Borg R, Zheng H, Schoenfeld D, Heine RJ; A1c-Derived Average Glucose Study Group. Translating the A1C assay into estimated average glucose values. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:1473–1478
  34. Koenig JR, Peterson CM, Kilo C, Cerami A, Williamson RJ. Hemoglobin A1c as an indicator of the degree of glucose intolerance in diabetes. *Diabetes* 1976;25:230–232
  35. Nathan DM, Singer DE, Hurxthal K, Goodson JD. The clinical information value of the glycosylated hemoglobin assay. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310:341–346
  36. Mortensen HB, Christophersen C. Glucosylation of human haemoglobin a in red blood cells studied in vitro. Kinetics of the formation and dissociation of haemoglobin A1c. *Clin Chim Acta* 1983;134:317–326
  37. Franco RS. The measurement and importance of red cell survival. *Am J Hematol* 2009;84:109–114
  38. Bry L, Chen PC, Sacks DB. Effects of hemoglobin variants and chemically modified derivatives on assays for glycohemoglobin. *Clin Chem* 2001;47:153–163
  39. Parrinello CM, Sharrett AR, Maruthur NM, Bergenstal RM, Grams ME, Coresh J, et al. Racial differences in and prognostic value of biomarkers of hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care*. 17 December 2015 [Epub ahead of print]
  40. Selvin E, Steffes MW, Ballantyne CM, Hoogeveen RC, Coresh J, Brancati FL. Racial differences in glycemic markers: a cross-sectional analysis of community-based data. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;154:303–309
  41. Kohzuma T, Yamamoto T, Uematsu Y, Shihabi ZK, Freedman BI. Basic performance of an enzymatic method for glycosylated albumin and reference range determination. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2011;5:1455–1462
  42. Shafi T, Sozio SM, Plantinga LC, et al. Serum fructosamine and glycosylated albumin and risk of mortality and clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36:1522–1533
  43. Maruthur NM, Kao WH, Clark JM, et al. Does genetic ancestry explain higher values of glycosylated hemoglobin in African Americans? *Diabetes* 2011;60:2434–2438
  44. Soranzo N, Sanna S, Wheeler E, et al.; WTCCC. Common variants at 10 genomic loci influence hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> levels via glycemic and nonglycemic pathways. *Diabetes* 2010;59:3229–3239
  45. Soranzo N. Genetic determinants of variability in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) in humans: review of recent progress and prospects for use in diabetes care. *Curr Diab Rep* 2011;11:562–569
  46. An P, Miljkovic I, Thyagarajan B, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies common loci influencing circulating glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA<sub>1c</sub>) levels in non-diabetic subjects: the Long Life Family Study (LLFS). *Metabolism* 2014;63:461–468
  47. Havranek EP, Mujahid MS, Barr DA, et al.; American Heart Association Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health, and Stroke Council. Social determinants of risk and outcomes for cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2015;132:873–898
  48. Collins FS. What we do and don't know about 'race', 'ethnicity', genetics and health at the dawn of the genome era. *Nat Genet* 2004;36(Suppl.):S13–S15
  49. Heianza Y, Hara S, Arase Y, et al. HbA<sub>1c</sub> 5.7–6.4% and impaired fasting plasma glucose for diagnosis of prediabetes and risk of progression to diabetes in Japan (TOPICS 3): a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet* 2011;378:147–155
  50. Droumaguet C, Balkau B, Simon D, et al.; DESIR Study Group. Use of HbA<sub>1c</sub> in predicting progression to diabetes in French men and women: data from an Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome (DESIR). *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:1619–1625
  51. Khaw KT, Wareham N, Bingham S, Luben R, Welch A, Day N. Association of hemoglobin A1c with cardiovascular disease and mortality in adults: the European prospective investigation into cancer in Norfolk. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;141:413–420
  52. Matsushita K, Blecker S, Pazin-Filho A, et al. The association of hemoglobin a1c with incident heart failure among people without diabetes: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Diabetes* 2010;59:2020–2026
  53. Selvin E, Ning Y, Steffes MW, et al. Glycated hemoglobin and the risk of kidney disease and retinopathy in adults with and without diabetes. *Diabetes* 2011;60:298–305
  54. Di Angelantonio E, Gao P, Khan H, et al.; Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Glycated hemoglobin measurement and prediction of cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* 2014;311:1225–1233
  55. Colagiuri S, Lee CM, Wong TY, Balkau B, Shaw JE, Borch-Johnsen K; DETECT-2 Collaboration Writing Group. Glycemic thresholds for diabetes-specific retinopathy: implications for diagnostic criteria for diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:145–150
  56. Cheng YJ, Gregg EW, Geiss LS, et al. Association of A1C and fasting plasma glucose levels with diabetic retinopathy prevalence in the U.S. population: Implications for diabetes diagnostic thresholds. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:2027–2032
  57. Wong TY, Liew G, Tapp RJ, et al. Relation between fasting glucose and retinopathy for diagnosis of diabetes: three population-based cross-sectional studies. *Lancet* 2008;371:736–743
  58. Jeganathan VS, Cheung N, Tay WT, Wang JJ, Mitchell P, Wong TY. Prevalence and risk factors of retinopathy in an Asian population without diabetes: the Singapore Malay Eye Study. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2010;128:40–45
  59. Tapp RJ, Zimmet PZ, Harper CA, et al.; AusDiab Study Group. Diagnostic thresholds for diabetes: the association of retinopathy and albuminuria with glycaemia. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2006;73:315–321
  60. McCance DR, Hanson RL, Charles MA, et al. Comparison of tests for glycosylated haemoglobin and fasting and two hour plasma glucose concentrations as diagnostic methods for diabetes. *BMJ* 1994;308:1323–1328
  61. Engelgau MM, Thompson TJ, Herman WH, et al. Comparison of fasting and 2-hour glucose and HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels for diagnosing diabetes. Diagnostic criteria and performance revisited. *Diabetes Care* 1997;20:785–791
  62. Park YM, Ko SH, Lee JM, et al.; Committee of Clinical Practice Guideline, Korean Diabetes Association. Glycaemic and haemoglobin A1c thresholds for detecting diabetic retinopathy: the fifth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2011). *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2014;104:435–442
  63. Xin Z, Yuan MX, Li HX, et al. Evaluation for fasting and 2-hour glucose and HbA<sub>1c</sub> for diagnosing diabetes based on prevalence of retinopathy in a Chinese population. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e40610
  64. Tsugawa Y, Takahashi O, Meigs JB, et al. New diabetes diagnostic threshold of hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> and the 3-year incidence of retinopathy. *Diabetes* 2012;61:3280–3284
  65. Fukushima S, Nakagami T, Suto C, Hirose A, Uchigata Y. Prevalence of retinopathy and its risk factors in a Japanese population. *J Diabetes Investig* 2013;4:349–354
  66. Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al.; Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358:2545–2559
  67. Selvin E, Coresh J, Shahar E, Zhang L, Steffes M, Sharrett AR. Glycaemia (haemoglobin A1c) and incident ischaemic stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Lancet Neurol* 2005;4:821–826
  68. Saydah S, Tao M, Imperatore G, Gregg E. GHb level and subsequent mortality among adults in the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 2009;32:1440–1446
  69. Selvin E, Wattanakit K, Steffes MW, Coresh J, Sharrett AR. HbA<sub>1c</sub> and peripheral arterial disease in diabetes: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. *Diabetes Care* 2006;29:877–882
  70. Sabanayagam C, Khoo EY, Lye WK, et al. Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus using HbA<sub>1c</sub> in Asians: relationship between HbA<sub>1c</sub> and retinopathy in a multiethnic Asian population. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2015;100:689–696
  71. Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al.; American Diabetes Association (ADA); European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach: position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). *Diabetes Care* 2012;35:1364–1379
  72. National Guideline Clearinghouse. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of diabetes mellitus [Internet], 2012. Rockville, MD, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Available from <http://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/CD/diabetes/AboutDM.asp>. Accessed 26 May 2016
  73. American Diabetes Association. Strategies for improving care. Sec. 1. In *Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2016*. *Diabetes Care* 2016;39(Suppl. 1):S6–S12