



CGM Initiation Soon After Type 1 Diabetes Diagnosis Results in Sustained CGM Use and Wear Time

Diabetes Care 2020;43:e3-e4 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-1205

Priya Prahalad,¹ Ananta Addala,¹ David Scheinker,^{1,2} Korey K. Hood,¹ and David M. Maahs^{1,3}

The majority of youth with type 1 diabetes are above hemoglobin A_{1c} (Hb A_{1c}) targets. Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) has been shown to improve clinical outcomes, and use early in the course of diabetes has the potential to improve glycemic outcomes and improve quality of life.

In our clinic, youth with new-onset type 1 diabetes were offered the opportunity to start on CGM (Dexcom G6) soon after diabetes diagnosis. Ongoing CGM coverage was subsequently applied for through the patient's insurance. Following CGM initiation, youth had a 1-week follow-up with a nurse practitioner. Per clinic standard of care, youth were seen again at 1 month post-diagnosis and every 3 months thereafter. We prospectively collected data on HbA_{1c}, continued CGM use, days of CGM wear, method of viewing CGM data, time in range (TIR), and time in hypoglycemia. Institutional review board approval was obtained for the prospective data collection.

From July 2018 to April 2019, we approached 44 youth with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes to initiate CGM. Forty-one youth (at onset: mean age 9.7 \pm 4.1 years, 56% male, 90% with private insurance, 41% non-Hispanic white, HbA_{1c} 12.2 \pm 1.8% [110 mmol/mol]) were started on CGM at a mean of 9.0 \pm

8.8 days post—diabetes diagnosis (Table 1). Three adolescent youth declined CGM, stating they did not want to wear a device.

Of those on CGM, the most recent visit occurred at a mean of 94.1 \pm 64.3 days post–CGM initiation and the youth had a mean HbA $_{\rm 1c}$ of 7.2 \pm 1.0% (55 mmol/mol). At that time, 38 of 41 youth continued on CGM. Among those on CGM, the TIR (70–180 mg/dL) was 69.6 \pm 18.9% with minimal time in hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) 3.4 \pm 3.9% and a mean CGM wear time of 13.2 \pm 2.3 days over the 2 weeks prior to the visit. Two of the three who stopped using CGM were publicly insured and did not receive ongoing insurance coverage. The third patient discontinued CGM use since he no longer wanted to wear a device on his body.

Our data indicate that CGM initiation in the new-onset period is feasible and well accepted by youth and their families in response to a team effort around CGM education and glucose targets. More long-term follow-up is needed to determine whether early CGM use coupled with modified diabetes education can improve diabetes outcomes. Given the increased amount of information provided to youth and caregivers by CGM, further work needs to be conducted to understand the psychosocial impact of early CGM initiation.

A recent study has shown that an individual's long-term glycemic track is set by 5 years post-diabetes diagnosis (1). We have previously shown that HbA_{1c} rises between 5 and 6 months post-diabetes diagnosis and plateaus at 12-18 months in our clinic (2). Taken together, these studies suggest that interventions early in the course of diabetes can have long-term impact on glycemic outcomes. Previous studies with initiation of CGM early in the course of diabetes demonstrated improved glycemic control (3) and decreased hypoglycemia (4). Since newer-generation CGM systems do not require calibration, are approved for insulin dosing decisions, and provide glucose information continuously as well as the rate and direction of glucose change, they are superior alternatives to self-monitored blood glucose. Introducing CGM devices early in the course of diabetes in conjunction with education around glucose targets has the potential to improve glycemic outcomes early in the course of diabetes and may translate to improved long-term outcomes.

Real-world reports such as this complement research study data to inform guidelines such as the Diabetes Technology chapter in the American Diabetes

Corresponding author: Priya Prahalad, prahalad@stanford.edu

Received 19 June 2019 and accepted 3 July 2019

This article is part of a special article collection available at http://care.diabetesjournals.org/collection/cgm-for-type1-diabetes.

¹Division of Endocrinology, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

²Department of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

³Stanford Diabetes Research Center, Stanford, CA

Table 1-Baseline and follow-up characteristics of individuals initiated on CGM in the first month of diabetes diagnosis (n = 41)

| Age at onset, years | 9.7 ± 4.1 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| Sex Male Female | 23 (56) 18 (44) |
| Insurance type Public Private | 4 (10) 37 (90) |
| Race Non-Hispanic white Hispanic Asian and Pacific Islander Alaskan Native or American Native Other Unknown | 17 (41) 4 (10) 5 (12) 0 (0) 5 (12) 10 (24) |
| Primary language English Spanish Other | 38 (93) 2 (5) 1 (2) |
| Diabetic ketoacidosis at onset | 20 (49) |
| Outpatient education | 20 (49) |
| Education at Stanford | 37 (90) |
| HbA _{1c} at onset, % (mmol/mol) | 12.2 ± 1.8 (110) |
| Days to CGM start | 9.0 ± 8.8 |
| Days to most recent visit | 94.1 + 64.3 |
| Continuing to use CGM | 38 (93) |
| Days worn over the last 2 weeks $(n = 27)^*$ | 13.2 + 2.3 |
| Mean HbA_{1c} , % (mmol/mol) ($n = 32$)† | 7.2 + 1.0 (55) |
| TIR, $\%$ ($n = 38$) | 69.6 + 18.9 |
| Time in hypoglycemia, $\%$ ($n = 38$) | 3.4 + 3.9 |
| Time in hyperglycemia, $\%$ ($n = 38$) | 26.7 + 18.9 |

Data are n (%) or mean \pm SD. *Data were based only on patients with a scanned report in the medical record or with the data available in the Clarity portal. †Most recent visit for six youth was within the first month of diagnosis.

Association's Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019 (5), which are used by insurers to make decisions on coverage for CGM. Transition from self-monitored blood glucose to CGM mirrors the historic advancement from urine to blood glucose testing. More data are required on newgeneration CGM systems to accelerate patient access to these superior glucose monitoring technologies.

One limitation of this study was that it was conducted at a single site with limited follow-up. We restricted our new-onset CGM program to youth with private insurance due to inconsistent insurance coverage by public insurance. Fortunately, we have recently

obtained funding to study new-onset CGM initiation in publicly insured children, and future reports will describe early CGM initiation in these children with type 1 diabetes with the goal of advocating for earlier and easier CGM approval for publicly insured youth. Such data are needed to develop the evidence base for early and universal access to CGM for all people with type 1 diabetes.

Funding and Duality of Interest. CGM supplies for first month (transmitter, three sensors, and receiver per patient) were donated by Dexcom. K.K.H. has received research support from Dexcom, Inc., for investigator-initiated research and consultant fees from Lilly Innovation Center, LifeScan Diabetes Institute, Insulet, Inc., and Roche Diagnostics, D.M.M. has received research support from the National Institutes of Health, JDRF, the National Science Foundation, and The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. and his institution has received research support from Medtronic, Dexcom, Insulet, Bigfoot Biomedical, Tandem, and Roche. D.M.M. has consulted for Abbott, The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmslev Charitable Trust. Sanofi. Novo Nordisk. Eli Lilly, and Insulet. He is supported by P30DK116074. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported. Author Contributions. P.P. participated in the design of the intervention, collected the data, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A.A. reviewed and edited the manuscript and contributed to the conclusion, D.S. reviewed and edited the manuscript and contributed to the conclusion. K.K.H. participated in the design of the intervention, reviewed and edited the manuscript, and contributed to the conclusion. D.M.M. participated in the design of the intervention, reviewed and edited the manuscript. and contributed to the conclusion. P.P. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Prior Presentation. Parts of this study were presented in poster form at the 79th Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, San Francisco, CA, 7-11 June 2019.

References

- 1. Nirantharakumar K, Mohammed N, Toulis KA, Thomas GN, Narendran P, Clinically meaningful and lasting HbA_{1c} improvement rarely occurs after 5 years of type 1 diabetes: an argument for early, targeted and aggressive intervention following diagnosis. Diabetologia 2018;61:1064-
- 2. Prahalad P, Yang J, Scheinker D, Desai M, Hood K, Maahs DM. Hemoglobin A1c trajectory in pediatric patients with newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2019; 21:456-461
- 3. Patton SR, Noser AE, Youngkin EM, Majidi S, Clements MA. Early initiation of diabetes devices relates to improved glycemic control in children with recent-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Technol Ther 2019;21:379-384
- 4. Wadwa RP, Hanes S, Clay M, et al. Impact of early initiation of continuous glucose monitoring on glycemic control in pediatric type 1 diabetes patients. Abstract presented at the 12th International Conference on Advanced Technologies & Treatments for Diabetes, 20-23 February 2019, in Berlin, Germany
- 5. American Diabetes Association. 7. Diabetes technology: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019. Diabetes Care 2019;42(Suppl. 1):S71-S80