White Rice Intake and Incident Diabetes: A Study of 132,373 Participants in 21 Countries
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OBJECTIVE

Previous prospective studies on the association of white rice intake with incident diabetes have shown contradictory results but were conducted in single countries and predominantly in Asia. We report on the association of white rice with risk of diabetes in the multinational Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Data on 132,373 individuals aged 35–70 years from 21 countries were analyzed. White rice consumption (cooked) was categorized as <150, ≥150 to <300, ≥300 to <450, and ≥450 g/day, based on one cup of cooked rice = 150 g. The primary outcome was incident diabetes. Hazard ratios (HRs) were calculated using a multivariable Cox frailty model.

RESULTS

During a mean follow-up period of 9.5 years, 6,129 individuals without baseline diabetes developed incident diabetes. In the overall cohort, higher intake of white rice (≥450 g/day compared with <150 g/day) was associated with increased risk of diabetes (HR 1.20; 95% CI 1.02–1.40; P for trend = 0.003). However, the highest risk was seen in South Asia (HR 1.61; 95% CI 1.13–2.30; P for trend = 0.02), followed by other regions of the world (which included South East Asia, Middle East, South America, North America, Europe, and Africa) (HR 1.41; 95% CI 1.08–1.86; P for trend = 0.01), while in China there was no significant association (HR 1.04; 95% CI 0.77–1.40; P for trend = 0.38).

CONCLUSIONS

Higher consumption of white rice is associated with an increased risk of incident diabetes with the strongest association being observed in South Asia, while in other regions, a modest, nonsignificant association was seen.

Globally, 425 million people currently have diabetes and this number is expected to increase to 629 million by 2045 (1). China and India, two countries in Asia where rice is the staple food, are also the top two countries in terms of the number of people with diabetes in the world (2). Rapid urbanization and economic development, especially in developing countries of the world, have led to a dramatic change in nutrition and dietary intake as well as in physical inactivity, both of which are related to the obesity and diabetes epidemics (3).

Carbohydrate forms 70–80% of the calories consumed in many South Asian countries (4). Till the early 1970s, most of the traditional diets, especially in India and some other Asian countries, were less milled or polished as it was manually hand
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Specifically, consumption of high amounts of white rice has been shown to increase the risk of diabetes in some studies (14–18) but not all (19–22). In their meta-analysis that pooled results from four studies in China, Japan, U.S., and Australia, Hu et al. (14) showed that each extra serving of white rice increased the risk for diabetes by 11%. By contrast, a large prospective cohort study of >45,000 participants from Singapore reported that higher consumption of white rice (above 500 g/day) did not substantially increase the risk of incident diabetes (19). Two different cohort studies from Iran also showed opposing results with one showing an increased risk while the other did not (21). Many of these studies were conducted in single countries and predominantly in Asia where consumption of white rice is higher than most other regions of the world. Our aim was to assess the association of white rice consumption with risk of diabetes in the large multiethnic, multinational Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study with data on 132,373 individuals, enrolled from 21 counties, representing different geographies and continents.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Study Design and Participants

The design and methods of the PURE study have been described previously (23,24). In this report, we include data on 132,373 individuals who had complete information on diet from 21 countries (Argentina, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, Chile, China, Colombia, India, Iran, Malaysia, occupied Palestine territory, Pakistan, Philippines, Poland, South Africa, Saudi Arabia, Sweden, Tanzania, Turkey, United Arab Emirates, and Zimbabwe) and who had completed at least one follow-up visit. Data were collected at the community, household, and individual levels using standardized questionnaires. Standard case-report forms were used to record data on health outcomes during follow-up. For the current analysis, we included all outcome events (i.e., incident diabetes) until 3 July 2019.

Procedures

In the PURE study, the participants’ habitual food intake was recorded using country-specific validated food frequency questionnaires (FFQs) at baseline. For countries where a validated FFQ was not available, we developed and validated FFQs using a standard method (Supplementary Table 1). For validation of FFQ, we followed the Hu et al. (25) classification and classified starchy foods as refined grains (which included white rice when we started the PURE study in 2005) and whole grains. De attenuated correlation coefficients of nutrient and food intake are presented in Supplementary Table 2. Participants were asked “during the past year, on average, how often have you consumed the following foods or drinks” and were asked to select their response from a list of food items. The format of the FFQ was the same for all countries, and the frequency of consumption of each food item varied
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from “never” to “more than six times per day.” Standard serving sizes were assigned to each food item. The reported frequency of consumption for each food item was converted to daily intake and was then multiplied by the portion size (U.S. Department of Agriculture) to calculate the daily intake of that particular food. For the present analysis, rice was not included in the refined grains group, and it was computed separately. Mixed dishes prepared with rice (such as rice with beans, rice with vegetables, and so on) were disaggregated into their constituents, and a proportional weight was assigned to the white rice component, which was included in the white rice definition. The list of FFQ validation studies is provided in the supplementary material (Supplementary Table 1). Regarding types of rice, during the FFQ development, we collected a 24-h dietary recall from 100 participants residing in urban and rural areas of each country. The most commonly reported food items were compiled as a food list and predefined portion sizes were assigned for each food item. To ensure face and content validity of the short FFQ, two expert nutritionists (M.D. and a local nutritionist) checked the food list, and if nutrient-rich or discriminating foods were missing, those foods were added to the list. Then, they structured the food list as a short FFQ. Brown rice was reported as a commonly consumed food only in very few countries, e.g., Brazil, and hence it was not included in the list.

Outcome
The main outcome of this study was incident diabetes. Incident diabetes was deemed to have occurred in those who had no diabetes at baseline but subsequently, on follow-up, reported having a diagnosis of diabetes made by a physician, used oral antidiabetic agents or insulin, or had a documented fasting plasma glucose level of \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/L (126 mg/dL) (26). Of the 6,129 cases of incident diabetes, 5,563 (90.7%) were diagnosed based on documented evidence of the use of hypoglycemic agents or insulin and/or a documented elevated plasma glucose level, while in 566 (9.3%), it was based on self-reported diabetes.

Statistical Analysis
One cup of cooked white rice is roughly equivalent to 150 g, and hence white rice consumption was categorized into the following groups: \(<150\) g/day, \(\geq 150\) to \(<300\) g/day, \(\geq 300\) to \(<450\) g/day, and \(\geq 450\) g/day (equivalent to less than one cup, one to two cups, two to three cups, and greater than three cups of cooked rice), with the lowest intake group, i.e., \(<150\) g/day, used as the reference group. We estimated the median intakes of white rice consumption across these four different categories of white rice intake. We examined the association between white rice intake and incident diabetes in the entire PURE cohort and examined it separately in South Asia (India, Bangladesh, Pakistan), the rest of the world (South East Asia, Middle East, South America, North America/Europe, and Africa), and China.

We calculated the hazard ratios (HRs) for incident diabetes using multivariable Cox frailty model with random intercepts to account for center clustering (which also adjusts for region and country) and evaluated the association of white rice consumption with incident diabetes. Multivariable models were adjusted for age, sex, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, family history of diabetes, smoking, location, wealth index, education, PA, energy intake, whole grains and refined grains, vegetable and fruit intake, and study center as random effect.

Location refers to urban/rural area. PA was assessed using the long form International Physical Activity Questionnaire and was calculated as a total of occupation, transportation, housework, and recreational activity reported in metabolic equivalents (MET) \( \times \) minutes per week. Total PA was then categorized into physically inactive (\(<600\) MET \( \times \) minutes per week) or physically active (\(>600\) MET \( \times \) minutes per week), corresponding to \(<150\) min per week or \(>150\) min per week of moderate intensity PA.

RESULTS
Dietary information was recorded in 148,858 individuals in the PURE study. After excluding participants who had baseline diabetes (n = 16,485), 132,373 individuals were included in the analysis. The overall mean age of participants was 50 ± 9 years. Baseline characteristics of participants across regions and in South Asia, the rest of the world, and China are presented in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4.

Overall, the median (interquartile range [IQR]) consumption of white rice was 128 (36–400) g/day among all PURE participants. The highest median (IQR) consumption of white rice was seen in South Asia at 630 (103–952) g/day, followed by South East Asia at 239 (115–389) g/day, and China at 200 (57–600) g/day (Fig. 1). Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants with different levels of white rice consumption. Those who consumed \(\geq 450\) vs. \(<150\) g/day were younger, had
lower BMI (23.1 ± 4.3 vs. 26.5 ± 5.4 kg/m²), and lower smoking rates (4.9% vs. 15.0%). These clinical characteristics probably reflect the profile of Asians, particularly South Asians who consume the maximum amount of rice. The higher category of rice consumers also consumed lower amounts of most other foods, such as whole and refined wheat products, fiber, red meat, and dairy products. Additionally, those who consumed ≥450 g/day of white rice consumed the highest percentage of their energy from carbohydrate and a lower percentage from fat and protein.

During mean follow-up of 9.5 years, 6,129 cases of incident diabetes were recorded. Table 2 shows the association of white rice consumption with incident diabetes. In the overall PURE cohort, after adjusting for lifestyle and dietary factors, higher consumption of white rice (≥450 vs. <150 g/day) was significantly associated with an increased risk of incident diabetes (HR 1.20; 95% CI 1.02–1.40; P for trend = 0.003). The subgroup analysis by regions showed that the association was most pronounced in South Asia (HR 1.61; 95% CI 1.13–2.30; P for trend = 0.02) followed by the rest of the world, which includes South East Asia, Middle East, South America, North America, Europe, and Africa (HR 1.41; 95% CI 1.08–1.86; P for trend = 0.01). However, in China, the effect was minimal and did not reach statistical significance (HR 1.04; 95% CI 0.77–1.40; P for trend = 0.38).

The association between rice intake and incident diabetes was seen even when stratified based on family history of diabetes, PA, BMI, or waist-to-hip ratio, particularly in South Asia (Supplementary Tables 5A, 5B, 5C, and 5D). Further subgroup analysis by different regions showed the direction of association to be similar in South East Asia, Middle East, and South America, but the results did not reach statistical significance. In North America, Europe, and Africa, the amount of white rice consumed was much less, and therefore the model did not provide meaningful results (Supplementary Table 6). A pooled analysis showed no significant heterogeneity between the regions (I² = 7.7%; P = 0.369) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

CONCLUSIONS

Data from this large multinational prospective cohort study of 21 countries show that in the overall PURE cohort, higher consumption of white rice is associated with an increased risk of diabetes, which was most marked and driven by the strong association seen in South Asia. In other regions, like South East Asia, Middle East, South America, North America, Europe, and Africa, the association was in a similar direction, but it did not reach statistical significance except when pooled. In China, there was no significant association between white rice consumption and incident diabetes.

Overall, our findings are consistent with results from some of the previous studies conducted in Asia and Europe and North America (14–18), but not all (19–22). A meta-analysis by Hu et al. (14), which included data on 352,384 participants with 13,284 incident diabetes from four studies in China, Japan, U.S., and Australia, showed that each extra serving of white rice (equivalent to about 150 g of cooked rice) increased the risk for diabetes by 11%. The Shanghai Women’s Health Study, one of the earliest studies conducted on 64,227 Chinese women, showed a relative risk of 1.78 among women who consumed 750 g of cooked white rice compared with 500 g/day (15). A similar association was seen in a Japanese study among women, where women consuming >437 g of white rice had a 1.65 times higher risk of diabetes than those consuming <200 g/day (16). It is important to note that in the meta-analysis by
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**Table 1—Characteristics of study participants by levels of white rice consumption in 132,373 participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White rice intake (g/day)</th>
<th>&lt;150 g/day (n = 71,914)</th>
<th>≥150 to &lt;300 g/day (n = 16,976)</th>
<th>≥300 to &lt;450 g/day (n = 14,010)</th>
<th>≥450 g/day (n = 29,473)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median intake (g/day)</td>
<td>42.8 (18.7–82.6)</td>
<td>200 (171.9–233.5)</td>
<td>395 (341.0–400)</td>
<td>900 (609.8–991.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.3 (10.0)</td>
<td>50.3 (9.7)</td>
<td>50.8 (9.8)</td>
<td>48.8 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>25.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td>25.3 ± 4.5</td>
<td>23.1 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>29,192 (40.3)</td>
<td>6,693 (40.5)</td>
<td>5,547 (39.6)</td>
<td>13,470 (45.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>40,509 (56.0)</td>
<td>9,993 (60.5)</td>
<td>7,737 (55.2)</td>
<td>10,621 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical inactivity</td>
<td>11,474 (17.4)</td>
<td>2,780 (17.7)</td>
<td>2,035 (15.3)</td>
<td>5,182 (18.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>10,811 (15.0)</td>
<td>1,374 (8.4)</td>
<td>1,555 (11.2)</td>
<td>1,431 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Diet components

| Energy intake (kcal)      | 1,963 (1,497–2,546)     | 2,048 (1,579–2,619)          | 2,065 (1,586–2,658)          | 2,120 (1,693–2,741)     |
| %E from carbohydrate     | 57.6 (50.0–66.1)        | 58.2 (52.6–64.6)             | 61.8 (56.0–68.2)             | 71.4 (63.3–78.5)        |
| %E from fat              | 26.7 (19.4–34.2)        | 25.9 (20.0–30.6)             | 22.4 (16.9–27.7)             | 15.2 (9.6–23.5)         |
| %E from protein          | 15.7 (13.6–17.9)        | 16.2 (14.0–18.2)             | 15.5 (13.2–17.5)             | 12.0 (10.5–14.2)        |
| Fiber intake (g/day)     | 24.2 (15.6–34.5)        | 21.1 (14.3–29.4)             | 16.9 (10.4–24.9)             | 10.8 (7.8–14.7)         |
| Refined wheat products (g/day) | 146 (66–300)           | 171 (88–279)                 | 102 (56–182)                 | 43 (12–107)             |
| Whole wheat products (g/day) | 27 (0–125)              | 15 (0–71)                    | 11 (0–33)                    | 7 (0–33)                |
| Red meat (g/day)         | 42.8 (14.4–87.8)        | 51.4 (16.4–108.7)            | 48.0 (16.4–107.7)            | 15 (20–52.4)            |
| White meat (g/day)       | 39.0 (12.1–74.8)        | 39.9 (13.9–82.7)             | 44.4 (18.8–79.8)             | 26.2 (6.9–67.2)         |
| Processed meat (g/day)   | 2.8 (0–12.1)            | 0 (0–6)                      | 1.9 (0–9.6)                  | 0 (0–3)                 |
| Fish (g/day)             | 11.4 (0–26)             | 12.8 (2.8–36.7)              | 11.3 (0–28.7)                | 8.6 (0–93.7)            |
| Dairy products (g/day)   | 145.3 (29.5–290.0)      | 137.1 (13.1–289.9)           | 97.8 (4–252.9)               | 15.7 (0–118.6)          |

Data are median (IQR), mean ± SD, or n (%). E, energy.
Hu et al. (14), however, a direct association of risk was observed only in one study, the Nurses’ Health Study II, which showed a higher risk (odds ratio 1.40; 95% CI 1.09–1.80; P = 0.01). The Japanese study reported an effect only in women and not in men (16). Prospective data from a south Indian cohort with a follow-up of 10 years showed a doubling in the rate of incident diabetes with increasing quartiles (416 vs. 222 g/day) of white rice consumption (18).

There are also some studies that do not corroborate our results (19–22). The Singapore Chinese Health Study of 45,411 Chinese participants followed up for 11 years, with 5,207 cases of incident diabetes, reported no increase in the risk of diabetes (HR 0.98; 95% CI 0.90–1.08), although the median intake in the lowest and highest quartile was substantial (236 vs. 649 g/day) (19). Another study from China showed that a diet high in white rice was associated with a lower prevalence of diabetes in certain parts of China (20). In the current study also, in China, there was no significant association between rice intake and incident diabetes. It is possible that the type of rice is different in China (sticky rice), that the vegetables, pulses, or meat consumed with the rice blunts the GL of the rice, or that the consumption of rice itself has decreased in China in recent times.

Data from two prospective studies from Iran reported opposing results (21). While data from Tehran showed significantly higher risk for >250 g/day of white rice, the Golestan Cohort Study showed no significant increase in risk at 210 g/day intake of white rice (21). A lack of association between white rice intake and incident diabetes was also reported in a study conducted in southern Spain (22). However, this again is not a predominantly rice-eating region, and the comparison was between rice consumed two to three times per week and rice consumed once a week. Hence, this would not compare with the predominantly rice-eating populations, like South Asia, that we have reported in our study. Unmeasured confounding caused by other dietary factors, characteristics of the population and ethnicity could also explain the discrepancy in these findings.

Finally, the inconsistent reports from these different studies could also be attributed to different amounts of white rice consumed among the different study populations.

What could be the possible mechanism by which excess rice intake leads to diabetes?

It is known that excess rice consumption leads to postprandial glucose spikes that, in turn, lead to compensatory hyperinsulinemia to maintain euglycemia (27,28). Over time, β-cells become exhausted, leading to β-cell failure and diabetes. There are some reports that suggest that rice consumption leads to high arsenic exposure due to the arsenic-contaminated groundwater that is used for rice cultivation (29–31). Some authors believe that this is an alternative explanation for the link between rice intake and diabetes, as arsenic is known to damage β-cells (32) or to act as an endocrine disruptor (33). However, further studies are needed to look at this hypothesis by measuring the arsenic content of soil and water and the risk of diabetes.

Table 2—Association of white rice consumption with incident diabetes in the overall PURE cohort, China, South Asia, and the rest of the world

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White rice intake (g/day)</th>
<th>&lt;150 g/day</th>
<th>≥150 to &lt;300 g/day</th>
<th>≥300 to &lt;450 g/day</th>
<th>≥450 g/day</th>
<th>P for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall PURE cohort (N = 132,373)</td>
<td>n = 71,914</td>
<td>n = 16,976</td>
<td>n = 14,101</td>
<td>n = 29,473</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intake (g/day)</td>
<td>42.8 (18.7–82.6)</td>
<td>200 (171.9–233.5)</td>
<td>395 (341.0–400.0)</td>
<td>900 (609.8–991.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes events</td>
<td>2,960 (4.1)</td>
<td>922 (5.4)</td>
<td>628 (4.5)</td>
<td>1,619 (5.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally adjusted model</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.13 (1.03–1.24)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.09–1.37)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.05–1.34)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted model*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.12 (1.01–1.24)</td>
<td>1.25 (1.10–1.43)</td>
<td>1.20 (1.02–1.40)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Asia (N = 26,419)*</td>
<td>n = 7,227</td>
<td>n = 1,672</td>
<td>n = 2,046</td>
<td>n = 15,474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intake (g/day)</td>
<td>34 (15–64)</td>
<td>200 (173–246)</td>
<td>356 (328–395)</td>
<td>379 (694–1,099)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes events</td>
<td>343 (4.8)</td>
<td>114 (6.8)</td>
<td>139 (6.8)</td>
<td>1,243 (8.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally adjusted model</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.19 (0.93–1.52)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.90–1.53)</td>
<td>1.23 (0.98–1.55)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted model*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.26 (0.86–1.86)</td>
<td>1.70 (1.14–2.52)</td>
<td>1.61 (1.13–2.30)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rest of the world (N = 64,227)†</td>
<td>n = 46,798</td>
<td>n = 8,004</td>
<td>n = 7,137</td>
<td>n = 2,288</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intake (g/day)</td>
<td>42 (19–79)</td>
<td>187 (158–234)</td>
<td>395 (327–395)</td>
<td>675 (550–786)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes events</td>
<td>2,097 (4.5)</td>
<td>577 (7.2)</td>
<td>317 (4.4)</td>
<td>180 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally adjusted model</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.21 (1.07–1.36)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.00–1.38)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.16–1.83)</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted model*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.19 (1.04–1.36)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.95–1.35)</td>
<td>1.41 (1.08–1.86)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (N = 41,727)‡</td>
<td>n = 17,889</td>
<td>n = 7,300</td>
<td>n = 4,827</td>
<td>n = 11,711</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intake (g/day)</td>
<td>57 (20–86)</td>
<td>200 (200–228)</td>
<td>400 (400–402)</td>
<td>800 (600–905)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes events</td>
<td>520 (2.91)</td>
<td>231 (3.2)</td>
<td>172 (3.6)</td>
<td>268 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimally adjusted model</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02 (0.86–1.21)</td>
<td>1.42 (1.15–1.74)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.79–1.23)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted model*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97 (0.80–1.17)</td>
<td>1.34 (1.05–1.70)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.77–1.40)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are median (IQR) or n (%). *The fully adjusted model includes the following: adjusted for age, sex, BMI, waist-to-hip ratio, family history of diabetes, smoking, location, education, wealth index, PA, energy intake, whole grains, refined grains, fruits and vegetables, and study center as random effect. †South Asia includes India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. ‡The rest of the world includes South East Asia, Middle East, South America, North America, Europe, and Africa.
decreased visual appeal, and greater difficulty in chewing the grain are some of the barriers for the wider acceptance of brown rice (36,37).

One of the earliest studies on GI showed that the GI of rice was higher or similar to white bread (38). Consumption of white bread has also been associated with an increased risk of diabetes (39). A recent study showed that a unique high-fiber white rice variety had a significantly higher dietary fiber content than regular polished white rice (40).

Further, a continuous glycemic monitoring study assessing 24-h glycemic responses showed that this high-fiber white rice had a 34% lower 24-h glucose response and a 30% reduction in adjusted mean plasma insulin levels (41). While replacing white rice with other cereals, such as wheat or millets, may not be an acceptable option due to taste preferences in some cultures, modifying the diet quality by replacing the staple white rice with less polished brown rice (36) or healthier varieties of rice may be viable options in countries where highly polished white rice constitutes the bulk (>70%) of the calories in the diet. All legumes, as a class, have a low GI (42) and, thus, adding legumes to rice not only increases the fiber and protein content but also lowers the GI of the rice-containing meal (28,35).

Our study has several strengths. This is the largest prospective study on rice and incident diabetes, and it covers 21 countries from five continents, with a broad range of white rice consumption. Second, several potential confounders have been included in the multivariable analysis. Third, the sample size is large, and there is a fairly long period of follow-up. However, there are also limitations of our study, which include the following: measurement of diet was done only at baseline and changes in diet and other lifestyle factors could have subsequently occurred. Despite extensive adjustment for confounding factors, residual confounding due to unmeasured dietary factors, such as alcohol use, or the newly emerging risk factors like air pollution (43) or use of pesticides (44) cannot be completely ruled out. Third, the costs and logistics involved in carrying out glucose tolerance tests or A1C tests in all participants is prohibitive in a large, multinational study such as this, and hence these tests could not be done. Nevertheless, the majority of the participants in the study (97.3%) were tested for diabetes using fasting blood glucose. Fourth, information on different types of white rice would have further enhanced the results of this study, for example, whether parboiled rice or raw rice was used, as there are nutritional differences between the two. However, unfortunately, this information was not collected at the time of baseline data collection as country-specific FFQs were used, which did not have this level of granularity. Obviously, these unanswered questions provide opportunities for further research in this field.

In conclusion, we report that consumption of higher amounts of white rice was associated with increased risk of incident diabetes with the risk being most pronounced in South Asia, while in other regions the risk was modest and failed to reach statistical significance, the most notable example of this being China. Replacing highly polished white rice with other cereals or healthier varieties of rice or by adding adequate legumes and pulses may not only help to reduce the GI of the meal but also, possibly, to reduce the actual quantity of white rice consumed. These may be important public health strategies to be adopted in South Asian and other populations with rice as the staple food, which, if combined with measures to increase PA, could help to slow down the rapidly rising epidemic of type 2 diabetes in these regions.
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