Effects of Novel Dual GIP and GLP-1 Receptor Agonist Tirzepatide on Biomarkers of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes


OBJECTIVE
To determine the effect of tirzepatide, a dual agonist of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide and glucagon-like peptide 1 receptors, on biomarkers of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and fibrosis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS
Patients with T2DM received either once weekly tirzepatide (1, 5, 10, or 15 mg), dulaglutide (1.5 mg), or placebo for 26 weeks. Changes from baseline in alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), keratin-18 (K-18), procollagen III (Pro-C3), and adiponectin were analyzed in a modified intention-to-treat population.

RESULTS
Significant (P < 0.05) reductions from baseline in ALT (all groups), AST (all groups except tirzepatide 10 mg), K-18 (tirzepatide 5, 10, 15 mg), and Pro-C3 (tirzepatide 15 mg) were observed at 26 weeks. Decreases with tirzepatide were significant compared with placebo for K-18 (10 mg) and Pro-C3 (15 mg) and with dulaglutide for ALT (10, 15 mg). Adiponectin significantly increased from baseline with tirzepatide compared with placebo (10, 15 mg).

CONCLUSIONS
In post hoc analyses, higher tirzepatide doses significantly decreased NASH-related biomarkers and increased adiponectin in patients with T2DM.

The prevalence of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is ~25% globally and ~60–75% in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) (1,2). Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (NAFLD with inflammation and hepatocyte injury, with or without fibrosis) can progress to cirrhosis, liver failure, hepatocellular carcinoma, and increased cardiovascular risk (3,4). T2DM increases the risk of NASH twofold (5). Weight loss through lifestyle modification reduces liver fat; weight reductions ≥10% can induce NASH resolution in most patients (6).

Glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists (GLP-1 RAs) promote weight loss and may have efficacy in NASH (7). Tirzepatide, a 39-amino acid synthetic peptide, has agonist activity at both glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and GLP-1 receptors.
receptors (8). In a phase 2 T2DM trial, tirzepatide significantly reduced HbA1c and body weight at 26 weeks, with greater effects than dulaglutide (tirzepatide 15 mg vs. dulaglutide 1.5 mg mean differences 1.3% and 8.6 kg, respectively). More patients treated with tirzepatide 15 mg (37.7%) achieved ≥10% weight loss than with dulaglutide 1.5 mg (9.3%) (9). These weight loss findings suggest that dual GIP and GLP-1 RAs may have greater efficacy for patients with NASH than selective GLP-1 RAs. Therefore, we explored the effect of tirzepatide on biomarkers of NASH and fibrosis in the T2DM phase 2 trial.

**RESULTS**

Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics were similar across treatment groups (9) (Supplementary Table 1). Mean baseline concentrations of the biomarkers varied (coefficients of variation 5.4–17.7%) across treatment groups (Supplementary Table 2).

**Biomarkers**

Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), keratin-18 (K-18) M30 fragment (hepatic apoptosis biomarker; VLVbio, Nacka, Sweden), procollagen III (Pro-C3) (fibrosis biomarker; Nordic Bioscience A/S, Herlev, Denmark), and total adiponectin (adipokine with antithrombic and anti-steatogenic effects in the liver; Pacific Biomarkers Inc., Seattle, WA) were measured while fasting at baseline and after 12 and/or 26 weeks of treatment (Supplementary Data).

**Statistical Methods**

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 and GraphPad Prism 8 software. Results were analyzed in a modified intention-to-treat population (excludes data after study drug discontinuation or use of glucose-lowering rescue medication) using mixed-effects model repeated-measures analysis (9). Changes from baseline data are presented as least squares mean (LSM) and SE. Treatment differences are presented as LSM and 95% CI. Multiplicity control adjustment was not performed because this was not an efficacy analysis. The contribution of relevant confounding variables to the changes in NASH-related biomarkers was assessed by stepwise variable selection followed by multiple regression analysis (Supplementary Data).

**CONCLUSIONS**

These post hoc analyses demonstrated that higher doses of the dual GIP and GLP-1 RA tirzepatide improved K-18, Pro-C3, and adiponectin compared with placebo in a T2DM population. Although patients with NASH may have normal ALT and AST levels, higher ALT levels are associated with higher grades of inflammation and steatosis (10). Dose-dependent decreases from baseline in ALT and AST were observed with tirzepatide, but these decreases were not greater than with placebo. This may reflect the trial's sample size and the fact that only a minority of patients with T2DM have NASH (11,12). In a large pooled analysis of four clinical trials (N = 1,499), dulaglutide treatment in patients with T2DM and probable NAFLD was associated with significant decreases in ALT and AST compared with placebo (13).

Caspases cleave K-18 during hepatocyte apoptosis, leading to elevated plasma levels of K-18 in patients with NASH. For the diagnosis of NASH, the K-18 M30 fragment has pooled sensitivity and specificity of 83% and 71%, respectively; diagnostic cutoffs range from 122 to 380 units/L, with an average of ~250 units/L (14). In this study, mean baseline K-18 levels ranged from 363.3 to 478.3 units/L. Tirzepatide 10 mg treatment significantly decreased serum K-18 levels by 135.2 units/L compared with placebo (13.5 units/L (14)). In this study, mean baseline K-18 levels ranged from 363.3 to 478.3 units/L. Tirzepatide 10 mg treatment significantly decreased serum K-18 levels by 135.2 units/L compared with placebo, an effect that may be clinically meaningful for the treatment of NASH. In the PIVENS trial (Pioglitazone vs. Vitamin E vs. Placebo for the Treatment of Nondiabetic Patients with Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis), a reduction in K-18 of 150 units/L was associated with a 1.5-fold greater chance of NASH resolution (15).

Type III collagen is synthesized and deposited during fibrogenesis. The Pro-C3 assay measures a fragment of the NH2-terminal propeptide of Pro-C3 that is cleaved during type III collagen maturation and deposition (16,17). For detection of advanced fibrosis, proposed Pro-C3 cutoffs are lower in patients with T2DM than in those with NASH (13.2 vs. 20.9 ng/mL) (16,17). In this study, mean
Baseline Pro-C3 ranged from 8.6 to 9.9 ng/mL, consistent with early stages of liver fibrosis. In a longitudinal NASH study, mean Pro-C3 increased with fibrosis worsening and decreased with fibrosis improvement (16). In a study of pioglitazone and vitamin E in patients with T2DM and NASH, changes in Pro-C3 and fibrosis stage were correlated; decreases in Pro-C3 associated with a one-stage improvement in fibrosis were similar to those observed with tirzepatide 15 mg (2.1 ng/mL vs. placebo) in this study (17).

Serum adiponectin levels are typically lower in patients with NAFLD compared with healthy control subjects and may decrease as simple steatosis progresses to NASH (18). In this study, baseline adiponectin values ranging from 4.0 to 5.4 mg/L were comparable to levels in patients with NASH (18). Treatment with higher doses of tirzepatide was associated with significant increases in adiponectin (21.8–26.4%), possibly because of weight loss. A 1-year lifestyle intervention study in patients with T2DM reported that 13% weight loss was associated with a 36% increase in adiponectin (19).

Although greater weight loss was achieved with higher doses of tirzepatide than with dulaglutide 1.5 mg, the improvements in K-18, Pro-C3, and adiponectin levels did not differ between treatments. However, greater decreases in ALT occurred with tirzepatide 10 and 15 mg than with dulaglutide. Clinical trials assessing liver histology will be needed to compare dual GIP and GLP-1 RAs and selective GLP-1 RAs in NASH.

This work has limitations because it is a post hoc analysis that is exploratory in nature and hypothesis generating. An unknown percentage of patients in this study had NASH, and liver fat content was not assessed. Therefore, the magnitude of the treatment effect should not be compared with studies of patients with biopsy-confirmed NASH. Baseline NASH biomarker values were not matched across treatment groups (likely because of unequal distribution of patients with NASH across groups), resulting in some inconsistency of the magnitude of changes from baseline. This may explain why the changes in the biomarkers were not consistently dose responsive. The
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**Figure 1**—Change from baseline in NASH-related biomarkers ALT (A), AST (B), K-18 (C), Pro-C3 (D), and adiponectin (E) through 26 weeks in patients with T2DM. Data are LSM (SE). Modified intention-to-treat population excludes data after study drug discontinuation or use of glucose-lowering rescue medication. *P < 0.05 change from baseline; #P < 0.05 vs. placebo; ‡P < 0.05 vs. dulaglutide.
baseline value of the biomarker was a more important determinant of the change from baseline of the biomarker than the amount of weight loss or the change in HbA1c. This may reflect the fact that this population of patients with T2DM likely included many patients who did not have NASH.

In conclusion, higher doses of the dual GIP and GLP-1 RA tirzepatide significantly improved NASH-related biomarkers in a T2DM population. Because of the limitations of this study, these results should not be interpreted as providing evidence of efficacy for tirzepatide in patients with NASH. Nonetheless, these NASH biomarker data, along with the weight loss findings (9), support further evaluation of tirzepatide in patients with NASH.
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