

High Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes and Pre-Diabetes in Adult Offspring of Women with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus or Type 1 Diabetes – The Role of Intrauterine Hyperglycemia

Tine D. Clausen, M.D.¹, Elisabeth R. Mathiesen, M.D., DMSC.², Torben Hansen, M.D., Ph.D.³, Oluf Pedersen, M.D., DMSC.³, Dorte M. Jensen, M.D., Ph.D.⁴, Jeannet Lauenborg, M.D., Ph.D.¹, Peter Damm, M.D., DMSC.¹

Copenhagen Center for Pregnant Women with Diabetes, Department of ¹Obstetrics and ²Endocrinology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Denmark, ³Steno Diabetes Center, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁴Department of Endocrinology, Odense University Hospital, Denmark

Running title: Role of hyperglycemia in offspring risk of diabetes

Corresponding Author:

Tine Dalsgaard Clausen MD
Department of Obstetrics 4031, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9,
2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
tine.dalsgaard.clausen@dadlnet.dk

Received for publication 13 August 2007 and accepted in revised form 6 November 2007.

Additional information for this article can be found in an online appendix at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>.

ABSTRACT

Objective: The role of intrauterine hyperglycemia and future risk of type 2 diabetes in human offspring is debated. We studied glucose tolerance in adult offspring of women with either gestational diabetes (GDM) or type 1 diabetes, taking the impact of both intrauterine hyperglycemia and genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes into account.

Research Design and Methods: The glucose tolerance status following a 2-hour 75-g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was evaluated in 597 primarily Caucasians aged 18-27 years. They were subdivided into four groups according to maternal glucose metabolism during pregnancy and genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes: 1) offspring of women with diet-treated GDM (O-GDM), 2) offspring of genetically predisposed women with a normal OGTT (O-NoGDM), 3) offspring of women with type 1 diabetes (O-Type1) and 4) offspring of women from the background population (O-BP).

Results: The prevalence of type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetes (impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose) in the four groups was 21%, 12%, 11% and 4% respectively. In multiple logistic regression analysis, the adjusted odds ratios (OR) for type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes were 7.76 (95% CI 2.58-23.39) in O-GDM and 4.02 (1.31-12.33) in O-Type1 compared to O-BP. In O-Type1 the risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes was significantly associated with elevated maternal blood glucose in late pregnancy: OR 1.41 (1.04-1.91) per mmol/l.

Conclusions: A hyperglycemic intrauterine environment appears to be involved in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in adult offspring of primarily Caucasian women with either diet-treated GDM or type 1 diabetes during pregnancy.

ABBREVIATIONS. FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; LGA, large for gestational age; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; O-GDM, offspring of women with diet-treated GDM; O-NoGDM, offspring of women who were screened for GDM because of risk indicators, but had a normal OGTT; O-Type 1, offspring of women with type 1 diabetes; O-BP, offspring of women from the background population; PG, plasma glucose; SGA, small for gestational age.

The rapid global rise in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes constitutes a health threat to the individual and is a major burden for health economy. Therefore it is crucial to identify specific risk-groups targeting preventive strategies. Studies of developmental origins of health and disease have put focus on the possible role of intrauterine hyperglycemia in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes (1).

Maternal glucose crosses placenta easily, and maternal hyperglycemia leads to intrauterine hyperglycemia, fetal hyperinsulinemia and possible modification of growth and development of the fetus (2).

Pronounced hyperglycemia in relation to pregnancies of women with type 1 diabetes as well as mild hyperglycemia, as seen among women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), are both associated with increased fetal growth and perinatal morbidity (3,4). Also less severe forms of glucose intolerance are associated with increased feto-maternal morbidity (5).

In animal studies, intrauterine hyperglycemia increases the risk of abnormal glucose tolerance, diabetes, overweight and insulin-resistance in the offspring (6-10). Despite the very convincing animal studies, questions still exist concerning the long-term impact of intrauterine hyperglycemia in humans, especially regarding the impact in adult Caucasian. Observational studies as well as prospective studies among the Pima Indians and from the Chicago group support the findings of animal studies (11-18). The studies of the Pima Indians examine children and adult offspring; in contrast to the majority of the other studies where offspring before the end of puberty are investigated (14-16,18). Due to the very specific genetics of the Pima Indians the results are not directly applicable to

other populations (11-13,19). Furthermore some of the other studies have limitations due to: A small number of participants (17), a high number of drop outs during follow-up (14,15) and analyses including maternal type 1 and type 2 diabetes together (16). Only one follow-up study of children from a small randomized trial in women with GDM has been performed; without definitive conclusions (20).

Thus in the present paper we aimed to evaluate the prevalence of type 2 diabetes and pre-diabetes in young adult offspring of women with either diet treated GDM or type 1 diabetes in a mainly Nordic Caucasian population; taking the impact of both intrauterine hyperglycemia and genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes into account.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Subjects. Through 2002-2005 we did a follow-up study of 597 adult offspring born to women with GDM or type 1 diabetes and from two control groups. All subjects were born at Department of Obstetrics, Rigshospitalet, from 1978 to 1985 and coupling between mother and child was possible through the Danish Civil Registrar System. We included singletons only, and if more than one sibling from the study-period met criteria for inclusion, only the oldest was invited. The protocol was in accordance with the Helsinki declaration and approved by the local ethical committee. All participants gave a written consent before taking part in the survey.

Model. We sought a model to evaluate the possible impact of exposure to intrauterine hyperglycemia in both subjects with a relatively high genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes and subjects with a relatively low genetic predisposition. As there was no universal screening for GDM during 1978-85 and as there currently are no

available tools for adequate genetic testing for type 2 diabetes, our model is based on certain assumptions concerning phenotypic traits and genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes.

From 1978-1985 Danish routine screening of pregnant women for GDM was based on risk indicators (family history of diabetes (unspecified), $\geq 20\%$ pre-pregnancy overweight, previous GDM, previous delivery of a macrosomic baby (≥ 4.500 g), glucosuria) and fasting blood glucose (21). Women with risk indicators as well as two consecutive fasting capillary blood glucose ≥ 4.1 mmol were offered a 3-hour 50-g OGTT. Until September 1982 glucose during OGTT was measured in venous plasma; while capillary whole blood was used thereafter as previously published (22). The OGTT was defined as abnormal if ≥ 2 of seven values during the test exceeded the mean+3 SDs for a reference group of normal weight non-pregnant women without a family history of diabetes (23). We assumed all women, examined by an OGTT on this background, to have a relatively high genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes; independently of the result of the OGTT. The prevalence of GDM was 2% (21) meaning that 98% did not have GDM. Accordingly the background population was regarded as having a relatively low genetic risk of developing type 2 diabetes. Women with type 1 diabetes were regarded as having a comparable low genetic risk of developing type 2 diabetes.

Based on these assumptions, our model consists of four groups enriched with different combinations of intrauterine hyperglycemia and genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes (Figure 1):

1) Offspring of women with GDM: Intrauterine hyperglycemia, relatively high genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes, (O-GDM).

2) Offspring of women who were screened for GDM because of risk indicators and elevated fasting blood glucose, but had a normal OGTT: No intrauterine hyperglycemia, relatively high genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes, (O-NoGDM).

3) Offspring of women with type 1 diabetes: Intrauterine hyperglycemia, relatively low genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes, (O-Type1).

4) Offspring of women from the background population: No intrauterine hyperglycemia, relatively low genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes, (O-BP).

All mothers of O-GDM were diet-treated only. Mothers of O-NoGDM had all glucose values during the OGTT below the mean+2SDs of the reference group (23). Mothers of O-Type1 fulfilled three criteria: onset of diabetes ≤ 40 years, a classical history and insulin-treatment started ≤ 6 month after the diagnosis. The background population was defined as women from the local community referred for antenatal care and delivery.

Examination at follow-up. After an over-night fast, participants without known diabetes mellitus had a 2-hour 75-g OGTT with venous sampling at 0 and 120 minutes. Participants with known diabetes only had fasting venous samples. Weight and height were measured in light clothing and without shoes, and a questionnaire regarding information on occupation, health, medication, smoking, physical activity (24) as well as paternal diabetes status was filled in.

Biochemical methods. Blood samples for glucose measurements were drawn in heparin-sodium fluoride vials, kept on ice, centrifuged, plasma separated within 30 min and analyzed on a Cobas Mira analyzer by either the enzymatic UV test, HK/G-6PHD method (ABX Diagnostics Glucose HK 125, Horiba-ABX, Montpellier, France) or the

glucose dehydrogenase catalyzed oxidation method (Gluc-DH[®] Method, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Serum-C-peptide was measured automatically by a fluoroimmunoassay using monoclonal antibodies (AutoDELFIA[®] C-peptide kit, PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences, Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland). GAD65 auto-antibodies (GAD65ab) was detected by ELISA (GAD65 Autoantibody ELISA kit, RSR Ltd., Cardiff, UK), and defined positive when ≥ 5 U/ml.

Covariates. Outcome. The prevalence of either type 2 diabetes or pre-diabetes in the offspring at follow-up was our primary outcome. The OGTTs were evaluated according to the World Health Organization criteria 1999 (25). Classification of diabetes-type was based on history, medical records, and levels of fasting C-peptide and GAD65ab. Pre-diabetes was defined as either impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose.

Exposure. We used “group” (O-GDM, O-NoGDM, O-Type1 and O-BP) as an estimate of different levels of intrauterine hyperglycemia in analyses including all offspring. In a sub-analysis of offspring born to women having an OGTT during pregnancy we included either fasting or 2-h blood glucose from this OGTT in the analyses. In women with type 1 diabetes estimates of mean blood glucose in the first trimester and in late pregnancy were available, and the predictive value of these variables were tested for O-Type1.

Potential confounding covariates. Socio-economic position was based on the highest occupational status of the parents at present, and coded into family social class I-V in accordance with the standards of the Danish National Institute of Social Research, similar to the British Registrar General’s Classification I-V. We added a social class VI representing people on transfer income, including sickness benefits and

disability pension (26), and dichotomized the variable into, family social class (V-VI vs. I-IV). Ethnic origin was defined as Nordic Caucasian if the mother originated from Denmark, Norway, Sweden or Island, (yes vs. no). Paternal diabetes was defined as unspecified diabetes at follow-up, (yes vs. no). Maternal family history of diabetes was defined as unspecified diabetes in a first degree relative on maternal side, (yes vs. no). Maternal smoking during pregnancy was entered (≥ 1 cigarette per day, yes vs. no), age at delivery (<25 vs. ≥ 25 years), parity (≥ 1 partus vs. nulliparity), and pregestational BMI (≥ 25 vs. < 25 kg/m²). Offspring sex was entered (male vs. female), physical activity (≥ 30 vs. < 30 minutes per day), current smoking (≥ 1 cigarette per day, yes vs. no) and age (years).

We considered offspring BMI (≥ 25 vs. < 25 kg/m²), to be a possible mediating covariate in the casual pathway from exposure to intrauterine hyperglycemia to glucose metabolism in the offspring.

The offspring were defined as small- or large for gestational age (SGA and LGA respectively) according to a Danish standard population (27). In O-GDM and especially in O-Type1 birth weight, gestational age and offspring risk of becoming LGA were extensively influenced by factors attributed to the disease as well as to interventions related to the treatment. Therefore we considered the following covariates as both potential mediators and proxy variables for the two treated groups (O-GDM, O-Type1): Preterm delivery (<37 weeks gestation, yes vs. no), birth weight (gram), gestational age (days), SGA (yes vs. no) and LGA (yes vs. no). To deal with this we studied the possible effect of these variables on offspring risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes without the “group”-covariate in the model. We did analyses including offspring from all

four groups together but also analyses separately for offspring in the two treated groups (O-GDM and O-Type1) and offspring in the two untreated groups (O-NoGDM and O-BP).

Statistical analyses. Continuous variables are given as mean (standard deviation) or geometric means (2.5-97.5 percentiles). Differences between groups were analyzed with Chi², ANOVA or Students T-test when appropriate. Non-normally distributed data were log-transformed before analysis. Post hoc tests were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. P-values were multiplied by four as we compared O-BP with the other three groups as well as O-GDM with O-NoGDM. First we did simple logistic regression analyses giving the unadjusted OR of having type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes for each of the covariates. Secondly we used a "change-in-estimate method" (28) to assess confounding. Covariates that altered the unadjusted odds ratios (OR) of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes more than 10% were considered confounders. Multiple logistic regression models included only confounders and they were not reduced. The results of the logistic regression analyses are expressed as OR and 95% confidence intervals (CI). All tests were two-tailed, and a significance level of 0.05 was chosen. Data were processed using SPSS (version 13.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Characteristics of the study population. The overall participation rate in the study was 56% (597/1066) (figure 1). Among the subjects lost to follow-up: 40% did not respond, 34% refused to participate, 10% had emigrated, 6% did not show up, 5% had died and 5% had other reasons for not to participate. The participation rate was comparable in the four groups.

Table 1 gives baseline data from the original medical records on the pregnant women in the four groups. There was no difference between participants and subjects lost to follow-up except from a slightly lower pregestational maternal BMI (21.7 vs. 21.9 kg/m², P=0.04) and a lower rate of non-Nordic Caucasian mothers among participants (6 vs. 14%, P<0.001).

OGTTs were performed at 33 weeks' gestation (20-39 weeks). The prevalence of risk indicators in mothers of O-GDM and O-NoGDM were comparable according to: family history of diabetes (30 vs. 35%, P=0.4), ≥20% pre-pregnancy overweight (30 vs. 24%, P=0.3), previous delivery of a macrosomic baby (5% vs. 11%, P=0.08) and glucosuria (41% vs. 42%, P=0.8). Also the prevalence of more than one risk indicator was comparable (21% vs. 15%, P=0.2), but slightly more mothers of O-GDM had GDM previously (9 vs. 2%, P=0.02). As expected, women with GDM had a higher fasting (5.2 vs. 4.7 mmol/l) and 2-hour glucose (7.8 vs. 5.2 mmol/l), P<0.001.

Women with type 1 diabetes had 12 years (5-95 percentiles, 2-23) duration of diabetes and 52% had late diabetic complications (retinopathy or nephropathy). The majority was on 2 or 4 times insulin per day with insulin dosage increasing from 42 IU (23-66) to 73 IU (44-111) daily during pregnancy. Twice during pregnancy women with type 1 diabetes were hospitalized for 3 days and blood glucose was measured 7 times/day in capillary whole blood. In the first trimester the mean blood glucose was 8.9 mmol/l (2.8 mmol/l) and in late pregnancy, within the last 4 weeks before the estimated date of delivery, it was 6.8 mmol/l (1.8 mmol/l).

Data at follow-up. Table 1 and online appendix 1 (available at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org>) gives data on offspring at follow-up. The prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-

diabetes was 21% in O-GDM, 12% in O-NoGDM and 11% in O-Type1 compared to 4% in O-BP. Only one of the 11 cases of type 2 diabetes was known before the study, the remaining being diagnosed during the study. Two of the nine cases of type 1 diabetes were diagnosed during the study. Both were O-Type1, presenting with classical hyperglycemic symptoms, 2h PG >30 mmol/l and ketonuria.

O-GDM had significantly higher fasting and 2-h plasma glucose than O-BP. Also the O-NoGDM had significantly higher fasting plasma glucose than O-BP. Finally O-Type1 had significantly higher 2-h plasma glucose than O-BP. BMI was significantly higher in O-GDM, O-NoGDM and O-Type1 than in O-BP.

Online appendix 2 shows risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in the four offspring groups as well as effects of the potential confounding covariates. The unadjusted OR for type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes was markedly increased in O-GDM, O-NoGDM and O-Type1 compared to O-BP. Adjustment for maternal family history of diabetes, maternal overweight and offspring age (Model 1) slightly reduced this association; ORs were: 7.76 (2.58-23.39) in O-GDM, 4.46 (1.38-14.46) in O-NoGDM and 4.02 (1.31-12.33) in O-Type1 compared to O-BP. When O-GDM was compared to O-NoGDM, OR was 1.74 (0.89-3.40). Additional adjustment for offspring overweight (Model 2) further decreased the association but did not change the pattern.

In O-Type1 the risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes was significantly associated with elevated maternal glucose in late pregnancy: OR 1.41 (1.04-1.91) per mmol/l; when adjusted for covariates included in Model 1. Further adjustment for offspring overweight did not change this.

Maternal age at delivery, ethnicity, smoking during pregnancy, parity,

paternal diabetes status at follow-up, family social class, sex, offspring physical activity and offspring smoking habits were not found to be confounders and had no effect on offspring risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes when entered in multiple logistic regression models. We found no interaction between "group" and the covariates in the two models.

No associations between birth weight, gestational age, preterm delivery, LGA and SGA and offspring risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes were found. Neither when all offspring were studied together nor when studied in subgroups. Furthermore ORs for type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes did not change significantly when these variables were forced into the logistic regression models.

CONCLUSIONS

We found a high prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes among adults exposed to a hyperglycemic intrauterine environment. More than 20% of offspring born to mothers with diet-treated GDM and more than 10% of offspring born to mothers with type 1 diabetes had type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes at the age of 22 years. Compared to offspring from the background population the adjusted risk of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes were 8- and 4-fold increased, respectively, and this was not explained by differences in offspring overweight, birth weight or gestational age. Furthermore, we found an association between elevated maternal blood glucose in late pregnancy and type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in offspring of women with type 1 diabetes.

Strengths and limitations of this study. We have a high number of subjects with long-term follow-up, a high participation-rate, an internal control-group and data on many potential confounding covariates including

paternal diabetes status, social class and physical activity as well as data on levels of C-peptide and GAD65ab in the offspring. A low prevalence of GDM in our background population has previously been documented (21) suggesting that the majority had a normoglycaemic intrauterine milieu both in the O-BP and the O-NoGDM. Undiagnosed cases of GDM among mothers to O-BP would only push our results towards the null-hypothesis and thus underrate the difference between the O-BP and the three other groups. Pregnant women with type 1 diabetes had documented high plasma glucose values during pregnancy and although we did not document high glucose levels continuously during pregnancy in the treated GDM mothers we have previously demonstrated an impact of a hyperglycemic milieu on the fetus in a similar group of diet treated women with GDM (29) suggesting a hyperglycemic environment also in O-GDM. However, we are aware that diet-treatment after the diagnosis of GDM may reduce the predictive value of glycemia during OGTT leading to an underestimation of the difference between O-GDM and O-NoGDM. Nevertheless "Group" as well as fasting or 2-h glucose are crude estimates of maternal glucose metabolism during pregnancy. In the two groups with a relatively high genetic predisposition in our model, we have documented a higher prevalence of maternal family history of diabetes (30 and 35%) compared to the two groups with a low genetic predisposition (16 and 20%). According to our model the observed differences in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes between O-GDM and O-NoGDM (21% vs. 12%) and between O-Type1 and O-BP (11% vs. 4%) may be interpreted as an effect of maternal hyperglycemia whereas the differences between O-GDM and O-Type1 (21% vs. 11%) and between O-NoGDM and O-BP (12% vs. 4%) may

be interpreted as an effect of genetics (online appendix 1). These indications of an effect of maternal hyperglycemia are supported by multiple logistic regression analysis, as OR for type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes were higher in O-Type1 compared to O-BP (4.02, 1.31-12.33) as well as in O-GDM- compared to O-NoGDM (1.74, 0.89-3.40), even though the later didn't reach the level of significance. Finally, the significant association between higher glucose levels in late pregnancy and type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in O-Type1 supports the hypothesis that intrauterine hyperglycemia plays a role for the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes in the offspring. We find that bias from losses to follow-up is unlikely. Long-term studies of the risk of type 2 diabetes in normoglycemic women with risk indicators for GDM (the O-NoGDM group) are lacking, and whether the observed difference in the prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes between O-GDM and O-NoGDM is due to a more pronounced intrauterine hyperglycemia in O-GDM, "stronger" genetic predisposition, postnatal environmental factors or a combination cannot be further elucidated from our data.. A more ideal control-group of the O-GDM might have been discordant siblings, but that model weren't feasible in our population with the low GDM rate and the screening program used.

The high prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in adult offspring of women with type 1 diabetes has not previously been documented but is in accordance with studies of children born to women with type 1 diabetes (14,16). Furthermore a small study found a higher prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and decreased insulin secretion among adult offspring of mothers- compared to offspring of fathers with type 1 diabetes (17).

The significant association between higher glucose levels in late pregnancy

in mothers with type 1 diabetes and the incidence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in the offspring has not been reported previously, but is supported by the finding of an association between maternal 2-h glucose during OGTT and offspring glucose metabolism in glucose tolerant Pima Indians (30).

This study is the first to show a high prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes in adult offspring of mothers with GDM in a primarily Caucasian population. This finding is in accordance with results from high-risk populations (11) as well as studies of children from Caucasian populations (14,16).

Abnormal glucose tolerance is associated with either decreased insulin secretion, decreased insulin sensitivity or a combination of both. The few human studies looking into this in offspring of diabetic women give various results with decreased insulin sensitivity reported by some authors (31) (14,32,33) and decreased insulin secretion by others (14,17,34).

In conclusion: The prevalence of type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes is markedly increased among adult

primarily Nordic Caucasian offspring born to women with hyperglycemia during pregnancy; as seen in diet-treated GDM and type 1 diabetes. Our findings support the hypothesis that a hyperglycemic intrauterine environment plays a role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. Identification of risk-groups gives unique opportunities for lifestyle interventions; furthermore, aiming at a normoglycemic intrauterine environment in pregnant women may reduce the risk of type 2 diabetes in future generations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The assistance on data collection from J. Døssing, S. Polmann, K.M. Larsen, M. Wahl and E. Stage is gratefully acknowledged. We also thank L.T. Skovgaard for statistical advice.

The study was supported by The Copenhagen University, The P. Carl Petersens Foundation, The Danish Medical Research Council, The Copenhagen University Hospital Foundation, The Danish Diabetes Association, Lægeforeningens Forskningsfond/MC and JK Moltums Fond.

REFERENCES

1. Gillman MW: Developmental origins of health and disease. *N Engl J Med* 353:1848-1850, 2005
2. Pedersen J: Weight and length at birth of infants of diabetic mothers. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 16:330-342, 1954
3. Jensen DM, Damm P, Moelsted-Pedersen L, Ovesen P, Westergaard JG, Moeller M, Beck-Nielsen H: Outcomes in type 1 diabetic pregnancies: a nationwide, population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 27:2819-2823, 2004
4. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS: Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* 352:2477-2486, 2005
5. Jensen DM, Damm P, Sorensen B, Molsted-Pedersen L, Westergaard JG, Klebe J, Beck-Nielsen H: Clinical impact of mild carbohydrate intolerance in pregnancy: a study of 2904 nondiabetic Danish women with risk factors for gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 185:413-419, 2001
6. Aerts L, Holemans K, Van Assche FA: Maternal diabetes during pregnancy: consequences for the offspring. *Diabetes Metab Rev* 6:147-167, 1990
7. Holemans K, Aerts L, Van Assche FA: Evidence for an insulin resistance in the adult offspring of pregnant streptozotocin-diabetic rats. *Diabetologia* 34:81-85, 1991
8. Holemans K, Gerber RT, Meurrens K, De Clerck F, Poston L, Van Assche FA: Streptozotocin diabetes in the pregnant rat induces cardiovascular dysfunction in adult offspring. *Diabetologia* 42:81-89, 1999
9. Plagemann A, Harder T, Janert U, Rake A, Rittel F, Rohde W, Dorner G: Malformations of hypothalamic nuclei in hyperinsulinemic offspring of rats with gestational diabetes. *Dev Neurosci* 21:58-67, 1999
10. Gill-Randall R, Adams D, Ollerton RL, Lewis M, Alcolado JC: Type 2 diabetes mellitus--genes or intrauterine environment? An embryo transfer paradigm in rats. *Diabetologia* 47:1354-1359, 2004
11. Pettitt DJ, Aleck KA, Baird HR, Carraher MJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC: Congenital susceptibility to NIDDM. Role of intrauterine environment. *Diabetes* 37:622-628, 1988
12. Pettitt DJ, Knowler WC: Long-term effects of the intrauterine environment, birth weight, and breast-feeding in Pima Indians. *Diabetes Care* 21 Suppl 2:B138-B141, 1998
13. Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS, Pettitt DJ, Imperatore G, Gabir MM, Roumain J, Bennett PH, Knowler WC: Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and obesity: a study of discordant sibships. *Diabetes* 49:2208-2211, 2000
14. Plagemann A, Harder T, Kohlhoff R, Rohde W, Dorner G: Glucose tolerance and insulin secretion in children of mothers with pregestational IDDM or gestational diabetes. *Diabetologia* 40:1094-1100, 1997
15. Plagemann A, Harder T, Kohlhoff R, Rohde W, Dorner G: Overweight and obesity in infants of mothers with long-term insulin-dependent diabetes or gestational diabetes. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 21:451-456, 1997
16. Silverman BL, Rizzo TA, Cho NH, Metzger BE: Long-term effects of the intrauterine environment. The Northwestern University Diabetes in Pregnancy Center. *Diabetes Care* 21 Suppl 2:B142-B149, 1998

17. Sobngwi E, Boudou P, Mauvais-Jarvis F, Leblanc H, Velho G, Vexiau P, Porcher R, Hadjadj S, Pratley R, Tataranni PA, Calvo F, Gautier JF: Effect of a diabetic environment in utero on predisposition to type 2 diabetes. *Lancet* 361:1861-1865, 2003
18. Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman S, Berkey CS, Field AE, Colditz GA: Maternal gestational diabetes, birth weight, and adolescent obesity. *Pediatrics* 111:e221-e226, 2003
19. Dabelea, D. The Predisposition to Obesity and Diabetes in Offspring of Diabetic Mothers. *Diabetes Care* 30(suppl 2), S169-S174. 2007.
20. Malcolm JC, Lawson ML, Gaboury I, Lough G, Keely E: Glucose tolerance of offspring of mother with gestational diabetes mellitus in a low-risk population. *Diabet Med* 23:565-570, 2006
21. Guttorm E: Practical screening for diabetes mellitus in pregnant women. *Acta Endocrinol Suppl (Copenh)* 182:11-24, 1974
22. Damm P, Kuhl C, Bertelsen A, Molsted-Pedersen L: Predictive factors for the development of diabetes in women with previous gestational diabetes mellitus. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 167:607-616, 1992
23. Kuhl C: Glucose metabolism during and after pregnancy in normal and gestational diabetic women. 1. Influence of normal pregnancy on serum glucose and insulin concentration during basal fasting conditions and after a challenge with glucose. *Acta Endocrinol (Copenh)* 79:709-719, 1975
24. Saltin B, Grimby G: Physiological analysis of middle-aged and old former athletes. Comparison with still active athletes of the same ages. *Circulation* 38:1104-1115, 1968
25. WHO. Definition, Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus and its Complications. 1-59. 1999.
26. Christensen U, Lund R, Damsgaard MT, Holstein BE, Ditlevsen S, Diderichsen F, Due P, Iversen L, Lynch J: Cynical hostility, socioeconomic position, health behaviors, and symptom load: a cross-sectional analysis in a Danish population-based study. *Psychosom Med* 66:572-577, 2004
27. Larsen T, Petersen S, Greisen G, Larsen JF: Normal fetal growth evaluated by longitudinal ultrasound examinations. *Early Hum Dev* 24:37-45, 1990
28. Greenland S: Modeling and variable selection in epidemiologic analysis. *Am J Public Health* 79:340-349, 1989
29. Jensen DM, Damm P, Sorensen B, Molsted-Pedersen L, Westergaard JG, Korsholm L, Ovesen P, Beck-Nielsen H: Proposed diagnostic thresholds for gestational diabetes mellitus according to a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test. Maternal and perinatal outcomes in 3260 Danish women. *Diabet Med* 20:51-57, 2003
30. Franks PW, Looker HC, Kobes S, Touger L, Tataranni PA, Hanson RL, Knowler WC: Gestational glucose tolerance and risk of type 2 diabetes in young Pima Indian offspring. *Diabetes* 55:460-465, 2006
31. Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Saad MF, Charles MA, Nelson RG, Knowler WC: Abnormal glucose tolerance during pregnancy in Pima Indian women. Long-term effects on offspring. *Diabetes* 40 Suppl 2:126-130, 1991
32. Silverman BL, Metzger BE, Cho NH, Loeb CA: Impaired glucose tolerance in adolescent offspring of diabetic mothers. Relationship to fetal hyperinsulinism. *Diabetes Care* 18:611-617, 1995
33. Weiss PA, Scholz HS, Haas J, Tamussino KF, Seissler J, Borkenstein MH: Long-term follow-up of infants of mothers with type 1 diabetes: evidence for

- hereditary and nonhereditary transmission of diabetes and precursors. *Diabetes Care* 23:905-911, 2000
34. Gautier JF, Wilson C, Weyer C, Mott D, Knowler WC, Cavaghan M, Polonsky KS, Bogardus C, Pratley RE: Low acute insulin secretory responses in adult offspring of people with early onset type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 50:1828-1833, 2001

TABLE 1. Baseline data on the mother and offspring as well as offspring follow-up characteristics. Presented according to presence of gestational diabetes mellitus (O-GDM), mother screened for GDM but not diagnosed GDM (O-NoGDM), type1 diabetes (O-Type1) or coming from the background population (O-BP)

	O-GDM	O-NoGDM	O-Type1	O-BP	P [†]
n	168	141	160	128	
Maternal data					
Age at delivery (Years)	29.5 (5.4) [§]	28.2 (5.0)	26.5 (4.2)	27.6 (4.3)	<0.001
Nordic Caucasian	91% (153/168)	92% (130/141)	99% (158/160) [§]	92% (118/128)	0.02
Maternal family history of diabetes	30% (51/168) [§]	35% (45/130) [§]	20% (32/157)	16% (20/126)	0.001
Smoking during pregnancy	37% (54/146)	42% (49/117)	60% (88/148)	48% (54/113)	0.001
Multiparity (≥1 partus)	58% (97/168)	53% (75/141)	46% (73/160)	45% (57/128)	0.06
Pregestational BMI (kg/m ²)*	24.3(15.6-38.0) ^{‡§}	22.3 (15.8-31.6) [§]	21.5 (17.6-26.4)	21.0 (15.9-27.8)	<0.001
Pregestational BMI ≥25 (kg/m ²)	38% (64/168) ^{‡§}	23% (28/121) [§]	6% (9/152)	11% (14/126)	<0.001
Birth data					
Male	54% (91/168)	45% (63/141)	45% (72/160)	49% (63/128)	0.3
Birth weight (gram)	3410 (530)	3492 (497)	3269 (760) [§]	3474 (481)	0.004
SGA	5% (8/168)	6% (9/141)	3% (5/160)	7% (9/127)	0.4
LGA	22% (37/168)	16% (22/141)	49% (79/160) [§]	16% (20/127)	<0.001
Gestational age (days)*	271 (253-290) ^{‡§}	280 (259-303)	254 (225-287) [§]	280 (259-301)	<0.001
Preterm delivery (<37 weeks' gestation)	8% (14/168)	4% (6/141)	43% (69/160) [§]	4% (5/127)	<0.001
Offspring follow-up data					
Glucose tolerance status					
Type 2 diabetes/pre-diabetes	21% (36/168) [§]	12% (17/139)	11% (17/160)	4% (5/128)	<0.001
Type 2 diabetes	4% (7/168)	0% (0/141)	2% (3/160)	1% (1/128)	0.04
Pre-diabetes (IGT or IFG)	17% (29/168) [§]	12% (17/139) [§]	9% (14/160)	3% (4/128)	0.001
IGT	11% (19/168) [§]	5% (7/139)	5% (8/160)	2% (3/128)	0.009
IFG	6% (10/168)	7% (10/140)	4% (6/160)	1% (1/128)	0.06
Type 1 diabetes	0% (0/168)	1% (2/141)	4% (7/160)	0% (0/128)	0.004
Glucose estimates					

Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/l) ^{††}	5.5 (0.9) [§]	5.3 (0.5) [§]	5.2 (0.5)	5.1 (0.4)	<0.001
2-h plasma glucose (mmol/l) ^{††}	5.9 (2.1) [§]	5.6 (1.3)	5.8 (1.6) [§]	5.3 (1.3)	0.005
Other					
Age (years)	21.6 (1.8) [§]	21.1 (2.1) [§]	22.5 (2.2)	22.9 (2.2)	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²) [*]	24.6 (16.7-36.3) [§]	24.1 (17.1-34.0)	24.4 (16.8-35.4) [§]	22.4 (17.4-30.5)	0.007
Paternal diabetes	8% (13/164)	8% (11/136)	5% (8/157)	9% (11/125)	0.6
GAD65ab positive (≥5 U/ml) ^{**}	1% (2/166)	4% (5/138)	4% (6/152)	2% (3/128)	0.4
Family social class V or VI	27% (45/167) [§]	18% (25/140)	18% (29/160) [§]	8% (10/128)	<0.001
Physical activity (≥30 minutes/day)	56% (94/168)	56% (79/141)	48% (77/160)	50% (64/128)	0.4
Offspring current smoker	46% (77/168)	42% (59/141)	36% (57/160)	35% (45/128)	0.2

Data are mean (standard deviation) or proportions (number) if not otherwise stated. For some of the variables, numbers are changing due to missing data. IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; NGT, normal glucose tolerance (25)

^{*}Data are geometric mean (2.5-97.5 percentiles) as data was not normally distributed and therefore log-transformed.

[†]Analyses of differences between means and proportions were by ANOVA or Chi² test, respectively.

[‡]Compared with O-NoGDM group, P<0.05, (post hoc test: Students T-test or Chi² test. P-values multiplied by four)

[§]Compared with O-BP group, P<0.05, (post hoc test: Students T-test or Chi² test. P-values multiplied by four)

^{||}Including individuals with NGT, IFG, IGT, or screen-detected treatment naive type 2 diabetes and non-hemolyzed fasting samples during OGTT, n:165, 137, 152, 128 respectively

[¶]Including individuals with NGT, IFG, IGT, or screen-detected treatment naive type 2 diabetes and non-hemolyzed samples at fasting state and at 30 minutes during OGTT, n: 153, 128, 149, 112 respectively

^{**}In offspring without type 1 diabetes positive GAD65 auto-antibodies was found in 3% (16/588). One O-GDM and one O-NoGDM had IFG, the remaining 14 had NGT and normal C-peptide.

In offspring with type 1 diabetes positive GAD65 auto-antibodies were found in 89% (8/9)

^{††}Including individuals with NGT, IFG, IGT, or screen-detected treatment naive type 2 diabetes n: 167, 139, 153, 128

FIGURE 1

Heading:

Subjects in the study stratified according to relative genetic predisposition to type 2 diabetes and exposure to intrauterine hyperglycemia

Subtext:

O-GDM, offspring of women with diet-treated GDM; O-NoGDM, offspring of women who were screened for GDM because of risk indicators, but had a normal OGTT; O-Type 1, offspring of women with type 1 diabetes; O-BP, offspring of women from the background population; O-GDM : Offspring of women with diet-treated GDM

Figure 1

