



Efficacy and Safety of Oral Methazolamide in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: A 24-Week, Placebo-Controlled, Double-Blind Study

DOI: 10.2337/dc14-1038

Richard W. Simpson,¹
 Geoffrey C. Nicholson,² Joseph Proietto,³
 Alana Sarah,⁴ Kerrie M. Sanders,²
 Gabrielle Phillips,¹ Jo Chambers,⁴
 Rob MacGinley,⁵ Neil Orford,⁶
 Ken Walder,⁵ Guy Krippner,⁷ Kathy Skoff,⁷
 and Vincent J. Wacher⁷

OBJECTIVE

To evaluate the safety and efficacy of methazolamide as a potential therapy for type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

This double-blind, placebo-controlled study randomized 76 patients to oral methazolamide (40 mg b.i.d.) or placebo for 24 weeks. The primary efficacy end point for methazolamide treatment was a placebo-corrected reduction in HbA_{1c} from baseline after 24 weeks (Δ HbA_{1c}).

RESULTS

Mean \pm SD baseline HbA_{1c} was $7.1 \pm 0.7\%$ (54 ± 5 mmol/mol; $n = 37$) and $7.4 \pm 0.6\%$ (57 ± 5 mmol/mol; $n = 39$) in the methazolamide and placebo groups, respectively. Methazolamide treatment was associated with a Δ HbA_{1c} of -0.39% (95% CI $-0.82, 0.04$; $P < 0.05$) (-4.3 mmol/mol [$-9.0, 0.4$]), an increase in the proportion of patients achieving HbA_{1c} $\leq 6.5\%$ (48 mmol/mol) from 8 to 33%, a rapid reduction in alanine aminotransferase (~ 10 units/L), and weight loss (2%) in metformin cotreated patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Methazolamide is the archetype for a new intervention in type 2 diabetes with clinical benefits beyond glucose control.

Methazolamide is a carbonic anhydrase (CA) inhibitor that was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in 1959 as a treatment for glaucoma. The safety profile of methazolamide has been well characterized through its long history of clinical use at doses from 50 to 100 mg b.i.d. or t.i.d. The most common side effect reported for methazolamide is reversible, dose-dependent, metabolic acidosis, which is a consequence of CA inhibition (1).

The potential antidiabetes activity of methazolamide was identified using a Gene Expression Signature screening technology (2). The glucose-lowering efficacy of methazolamide was established in studies using *db/db* and DIO mice, where methazolamide was also found to have more-than-additive efficacy in combination with metformin. Methazolamide was ineffective in insulin-deficient streptozotocin-treated rats but significantly enhanced the glucose-lowering effect of exogenous

¹Box Hill Hospital, Box Hill, Victoria, Australia

²Department of Clinical and Biomedical Sciences, Geelong Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

³Heidelberg Repatriation Hospital, University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁴Clinical Trial Unit, Department of Medicine, Barwon Health, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

⁵Deakin University, Geelong, Victoria, Australia

⁶Barwon Health/Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Research Centre, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Victoria, Australia

⁷Verva Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., Southbank, Victoria, Australia.

Corresponding author: Vincent J. Wacher, vwacher@vervapharma.com.

Received 24 April 2014 and accepted 20 July 2014.

Clinical trial reg. no. ACTRN12609000634279, www.anzctr.org.au.

This article contains Supplementary Data online at <http://care.diabetesjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.2337/dc14-1038/-/DC1>.

G.C.N. is currently affiliated with the University of Queensland, Rural Clinical School, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia. K.M.S. is current affiliated with the NorthWest Academic Centre, Sunshine Hospital, University of Melbourne, St. Albans, Victoria, Australia. G.K. is currently affiliated with the Baker IDI Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.

© 2014 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.

insulin administered to these animals (3). These data suggest that methazolamide is a novel insulin sensitizer that is complementary to metformin. Ongoing mechanistic studies have shown that methazolamide exerts its metabolic effects by a mitochondrial mechanism distinct from CA inhibition.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

This was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, proof-of-concept study conducted at three sites in Australia between 2010 and 2012. The protocol and amendments were approved by independent ethics committees and institutional review boards. The study was implemented according to Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. All study patients gave written informed consent prior to screening.

Eligible patients included men and women between the age of 18 and 75 years with type 2 diabetes. Key inclusion criteria were a hemoglobin A_{1c} (HbA_{1c}) at screening of 6.5–8.5% (48–69 mmol/mol), body weight >50 kg, and a BMI ≤40 kg/m². The study included patients who were not treated with any diabetes medication (Non-MET) and patients who had been treated with metformin for at least 3 months and had a stable metformin dose for at least 8 weeks prior to study entry (MET). Metformin doses were not altered throughout the study (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either methazolamide (40 mg b.i.d.) or matching placebo for 24 weeks. Blood samples for biochemistry, venous blood gases, fasting blood glucose, and insulin were taken at screening, day 0, and weeks 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24. HbA_{1c} measurements, hematology, lipid profile, and urinalysis were conducted at screening, day 0, and weeks 12 and 24. Patients were consulted by telephone at weeks 3, 10, 15, and 21, and a telephone follow-up was conducted 30 days after study completion.

The primary efficacy end point was the change in HbA_{1c} from baseline to 24 weeks (Δ HbA_{1c}) in the pooled (Non-MET+MET) methazolamide group compared with the pooled placebo group (Non-MET+MET). The primary safety end point was the incidence of metabolic acidosis (based on venous blood gas parameters), defined as one of the following: pH ≤7.25 in repeated venous

blood gas analysis and confirmed by arterial blood gas analysis, bicarbonate <20 mmol/L, or base excess below –5.

The study was powered to demonstrate superiority of the pooled (Non-MET+MET) methazolamide group over the pooled placebo group for the primary efficacy end point. There were insufficient patient numbers for comprehensive statistical comparisons between the Non-MET and MET groups. Statistical analyses were performed in accordance with ICH-E9 guidelines using SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Changes in HbA_{1c}, fasting blood glucose, serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), microalbumin, and blood pressure were evaluated using repeated-measures ANCOVA with missing data imputed using a last observation carried forward strategy. Treatment group differences were estimated using least squares means and 95% CIs based on the mean square error from ANCOVA using a one-sided significance level ($P < 0.05$).

RESULTS

A total of 132 patients were screened, and 76 were enrolled in the study. Baseline demographic parameters and HbA_{1c} were well matched between groups (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Ten patients (13%) discontinued the study: 5 placebo treated and 5 methazolamide treated.

The clinical study achieved its protocol-specified primary efficacy end point, demonstrating a statistically significant reduction in HbA_{1c} from baseline to week 24 in methazolamide-treated patients relative to placebo (Table 1). Greater reductions in HbA_{1c} were observed in patients with higher baseline HbA_{1c}, and a statistically significant regression was noted between Δ HbA_{1c} and baseline HbA_{1c} values in methazolamide-treated patients (Supplementary Fig. 2). The proportion of patients with HbA_{1c} ≤6.5% (48 mmol/mol) increased from day 0 to week 24 in the methazolamide group but was unchanged in the placebo group (Table 1).

Methazolamide treatment caused a significant reduction in serum ALT from baseline to week 24 compared with placebo (Table 1). The ALT reduction occurred as early as week 1 and continued for the duration of the study (Supplementary Fig. 2). There were no significant alterations to γ -glutamyltransferase (mean \pm SD for placebo 1.6 \pm 13.4 units/L,

methazolamide -1.8 ± 10.4 units/L) or other liver markers.

Methazolamide-treated patients also had reduced urinary microalbumin compared with placebo at week 24 owing exclusively to microalbumin reductions in MET patients. There were no significant changes in creatinine or urea, and the incidence of abnormal renal function (defined as >10% reduction in eGFR) was similar for methazolamide (30%) and placebo (36%).

Changes in body weight were not different between the pooled methazolamide and pooled placebo groups; however, in the MET group, methazolamide-treated patients lost more body weight (-2.2 ± 3.6 kg, $n = 21$) than placebo-treated patients (-0.3 ± 1.7 kg, $n = 18$) at week 24 ($P = 0.04$, ANOVA with two-sided t test). In the Non-MET group, methazolamide- and placebo-treated patients lost similar amounts of body weight (Supplementary Fig. 3).

There were no incidences of hypoglycemia or hypotension and no significant effects of methazolamide on fasting blood glucose; homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; fasting insulin; HDL, LDL or total cholesterol; triglycerides; blood pressure; venous pCO₂ or pO₂; electrocardiograms; safety laboratory measures; or electrolyte disturbances. Metabolic acidosis was diagnosed in seven methazolamide-treated patients (five MET, two Non-MET) and no placebo patients. None of the patients with acidosis showed any clinically significant symptoms, and all remained on study (Supplementary Table 2). A greater proportion of patients in the methazolamide treatment arm (26 of 37 [70%]) reported adverse events (primarily respiratory tract infections and nausea) compared with placebo (23 of 39 [59%]). None of the adverse events were considered to be definitely related to study medication (Supplementary Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Methazolamide is the archetype for a potential new class of type 2 diabetes therapy. In addition to reducing HbA_{1c}, methazolamide provided unexpected additional clinical outcomes, including a rapid and persistent reduction in ALT and weight loss in metformin-cotreated patients. Type 2 diabetes is a risk factor for the development of liver diseases (4,5)

Table 1—Baseline demographics and changes in key parameters upon methazolamide treatment

Parameter	Placebo	Methazolamide	
Baseline*			
Patients, <i>n</i>	39	37	
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	22 (56)	28 (76)	
Age, years	63 ± 9	63 ± 9	
Race, % white	97	89	
Taking metformin, <i>n</i> (%)	19 (49)	22 (59)	
Concurrent cardiovascular condition, <i>n</i> (%)†	28 (72)	27 (73)	
Body weight, kg	90.4 ± 16.1	92.6 ± 14.3	
BMI, kg/m ²	30.9 ± 4.2	31.8 ± 4.9	
Fasting blood glucose (mmol/L)	8.6 ± 2.2	8.1 ± 1.5	
HbA _{1c} (mmol/mol)	7.4 ± 0.6 (57 ± 5)	7.1 ± 0.7 (54 ± 5)	
Microalbumin (mg/mL)	68.2 ± 211.1	29.7 ± 100.7	
ALT (units/L)	33.9 ± 16.1	31.5 ± 15.3	
GGT (units/L)	42.6 ± 37.0	38.4 ± 29.1	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	83.7 ± 9.1	81.6 ± 11.1	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	136.2 ± 15.2	137.3 ± 14.4	
	Placebo	Methazolamide	Treatment effect§
ΔHbA _{1c} from baseline to week 24 (mmol/mol)‡	0.1 (−0.2, 0.5); 1.1 (−2.2, 5.5)	−0.2 (−0.6, 0.1); −2.2 (−6.6, 1.1)	−0.39 (−0.82, 0.04); −4.3 (−9.0, 0.4); <i>P</i> = 0.0371
Patients with HbA _{1c} ≤6.5% (≤48 mmol/mol), <i>n</i> (%)			
Day 0	5 (13)	3 (8)	
Week 24	5 (14)	11 (33)¶	
ΔFasting blood glucose from baseline to week 24 (mmol/L)‡	0.25 (−0.32, 0.82)	0.01 (−0.54, 0.55)	−0.24 (−1.00, 0.52); <i>P</i> = 0.2597
ΔMicroalbumin from baseline to week 24 (mg/mL)‡	19.4 (−25.4, 64.3)	−37.4 (−86.0, 11.3)	−56.8 (−122.6; 9.0); <i>P</i> = 0.0446
ΔALT from baseline to week 24 (units/L)‡	−0.1 (−3.0, 2.9)	−10.2 (−13.3, −7.0)	−10.1 (−14.0; −6.1); <i>P</i> < 0.0001

Data are means ± SD or least squares means (95% CI) unless otherwise indicated. GGT, γ -glutamyltransferase. *Safety population included all patients who received at least one dose of study medication. †Percentage of all patients with at least one of the following: hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and/or hyperlipidemia; patients often had more than one. ‡The intent-to-treat population included all randomized patients who received at least one dose of study medication and who had at least one valid observation for an efficacy variable while on study medication. §Methazolamide change – placebo change. ¶*P* < 0.05 by post hoc analysis using a two-sided *t* test.

and studies are ongoing to evaluate methazolamide liver effects on liver pathology.

The current study was limited by a small sample size (requiring pooling of MET and Non-MET patients) and low baseline HbA_{1c} levels, which reflected the available patient demographic at the study sites. A larger study of methazolamide in patients with higher baseline HbA_{1c} levels is expected to demonstrate greater HbA_{1c} reductions and enable a prospective evaluation of methazolamide effects on body weight and liver function. Lower methazolamide doses may reduce the potential incidence of metabolic acidosis, and the present data encourage the development of novel, non-CA inhibiting methazolamide analogs as diabetes therapies.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank Sonja K. Billes, August Scientific, for help with preparing the manuscript. Verva Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., gratefully acknowledges the contributions

of Dr. Georgina Parker (Verva Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Geelong, Victoria, Australia) for the initiation of the study.

Duality of Interest. This study was funded by Verva Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. R.W.S. received funding from Verva Pharmaceuticals, Ltd., to conduct the study and was compensated for attending advisory meetings. K.S. and V.J.W. were employed by Verva Pharmaceuticals during the course of the study, and G.K. received funding from Verva Pharmaceuticals as a consultant during the course of the study. K.W.'s laboratory at Deakin University has previously been funded by Verva Pharmaceuticals to undertake preclinical studies. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions. R.W.S., G.C.N., J.P. (all three clinical investigators), and V.J.W. contributed to the conception and design of the study, acquisition and analysis of data, and drafting or revision of the manuscript. A.S., G.P., J.C., and K.S. made substantial contributions to the acquisition of data and drafting or revision of the manuscript. K.M.S., K.W., and G.K. contributed to the conception and design of the study and drafting or revision of the manuscript. R.M. and N.O. made substantial contributions to the acquisition and analysis of data, protocol revisions,

and drafting or revision of the manuscript. V.J.W. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

References

1. Methazolamide prescribing information. Effcon Laboratories, Inc. Marietta, Georgia, 2013
2. Konstantopoulos N, Foletta VC, Segal DH, et al. A gene expression signature for insulin resistance. *Physiol Genomics* 2011;43:110–120
3. Konstantopoulos N, Molero JC, McGee SL, et al. Methazolamide is a new hepatic insulin sensitizer that lowers blood glucose in vivo. *Diabetes* 2012;61:2146–2154
4. Masuoka HC, Chalasani N. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: an emerging threat to obese and diabetic individuals. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2013; 1281:106–122
5. Schindhelm RK, Diamant M, Dekker JM, Tushuizen ME, Teerlink T, Heine RJ. Alanine aminotransferase as a marker of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in relation to type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2006;22:437–443